

## COMBINING ABILITY ANALYSIS IN NEW WHITE MAIZE INBRED LINES (ZEA MAYS L.)

A.A. Abd El Mottalb and H.A.A. Gamea

Maize research section, Field crops research institute, Agricultural research center, Egypt.

(Received : Nov. 12 , 2013)

**ABSTRACT:** *Diallel crosses among seven advanced white inbred lines derived from different maize populations without reciprocals were made in 2011 season at Sids Agric. Res. Station. The resultant 21 crosses along with three commercial check hybrids i.e. (SC 10, SC 128 and SC 129) were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with four replications at two locations i.e. Sids and Sakha Agric. Res. Stations in 2012 season. Mean squares due to crosses, G.C.A. and S.C.A. were highly significant for all studied traits. The ratio of G.C.A. variance to S.C.A. variance was exceed than unity for all studied traits, except for grain yield, indicating that the relative importance of additive gene effects vs. non-additive gene effect in the inheritance of these traits. The parental inbred lines  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ , and  $P_7$  had significant positive GCA effects for grain yield, and five crosses  $P_1 \times P_7$ ,  $P_2 \times P_5$ ,  $P_3 \times P_5$ ,  $P_3 \times P_6$  and  $P_4 \times P_7$  showed significant positive SCA effects. Four crosses had significant superiority over the check hybrid SC 10. The crosses  $P_2 \times P_5$  and  $P_4 \times P_7$  showed higher mean value than the highest yielding check hybrid SC 128. These promising crosses may be released as commercial hybrids by maize research program after further testing.*

**Key words:** *Maize, diallel crosses, gene effect, combining ability.*

### INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt. It ranks the third among cereal crops, after wheat and rice. Maize is used as food, feed, and fodder. It also has several industrial uses such as oil extraction, starch, gluten, alcohol, glucose and ethanol production and many more products.

The conventional crop breeding methodology mainly depends upon the development of inbred lines from open pollinated varieties or other heterogeneous sources and evaluation of these lines through different techniques and select the best hybrids for commercial use.

The choice of inbred lines to be included in the development of hybrids is based on the results of diallel analysis. The diallel analyses have been widely used to estimate the combining ability of parents in hybrids. Such information serves as a useful guide in the determination of the best hybrid combinations.

Griffing (1956) gave a complete analysis of diallel crosses for fixed and random set of parents. El-Shamarka (1995), Mostafa *et al.*

(1996), Abd El-Aty and Katta (2002) and Ibrahim *et al.* (2010) reported that specific combining ability effects were much more important in the inheritance of grain yield and its components. Meanwhile, Beck *et al.* (1991), El-Hosary *et al.* (1999), Abd El-Moula (2005), Derera *et al.* (2008), Vivek *et al.* (2010) and Sibiya *et al.* (2011) reported that general combining ability was more important in determining yield and other characters. El-Hosary and Sedhom (1990), Mohamed (1993) and Sedhom (1994) concluded that the additive genetic variance was more affected by genotype x environment interaction than the non-additive variance for grain yield per plant. On the contrary, Nawar *et al.* (2002), El-Hosary *et al.* (2006) and Sedhom *et al.* (2007) reported that the non-additive effects were more affected by interaction with environments than the additive effects for grain yield. The present study was planned to 1) obtain information on relative importance of general and specific combining ability for grain yield, and some agronomic traits. 2) to identify the best promising crosses.

## **MATREIALS AND METHODS**

Seven (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines selected with a wide range of diversity for several traits Table.1. were crossed in a half diallel mating scheme in 2011 season at Sids Agric. Res. Station by hand method giving a total of 21 crosses seed. The resultant 21 crosses along with three commercial check hybrids i.e. (SC 10, SC 128 and SC 129) were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with four replications at two locations i.e. Sids and Sakha Agric. Res. Stations in 2012 season. The experimental plot was one ridge of six m length and 0.80 m width.

Planting was done in hills evenly spaced by 25 cm with two kernels per hill on one side of the ridge. The seedlings were thinned one plant per hill. Agricultural practices were done as recommended for maize cultivation. Data were recorded for No. of days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield/fad adjusted to 15.5 percent grain moisture and calculated in ardab per faddan ( $\text{ard fad}^{-1}$ ) ( $\text{ardab}=140\text{kg}$ ,  $\text{faddan}=4200\text{m}^2$ ). Bartlett test was used to test the homogeneity of error variance between the two locations. Analysis of variance was performed for the combined data over the two locations according to Steel and Torri (1980). General and specific combining abilities were computed using method 4, model 1 of Griffing (1956).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Analysis of variance:**

Analysis of variance for all studied traits over the two locations are presented in

Table 2. Location mean squares were highly significant for all the studied traits, indicating that the two locations differed in their environmental conditions. Crosse mean squares were highly significant for all the studied traits indicating that, the wide diversity between the parental materials used in this investigation. Insignificant interaction mean squares between crosses and locations were detected for all studied traits, except ear height, revealing the performance of crosses responded similarly to location changes. For the exceptional trait i.e. ear height significant interaction mean squares between crosses and locations indicating that, these crosses behaved somewhat differently from location to another. Mean squares due to G.C.A. and S.C.A. were highly significant for all studied traits, indicating that both additive and non-additive gene effects were important in the inheritance of the studied traits.

The mean squares of interaction between locations and G.C.A were significant for all the studied traits, except for days to 50 % silking, plant height and ear diameter indicating that the additive type of gene action varied from location to another. So, it would not be effective to make selection on the basis of evaluation in a single environment and more environments are needed. The same results were obtained by El-Hosary (1989), Barakat *et al.* (2003) and Osman *et al.* (2012), they found that the interaction between both types of combining abilities and environment was highly significant. While, the mean squares due to S.C.A. x locations was detected to be insignificant for all the studied traits.

**Table.1. Sources of parental inbred lines used in currently study.**

Parents	Source
P <sub>1</sub>	G-2 Ev- 7
P <sub>2</sub>	G-2 Ev- 8
P <sub>3</sub>	G-2 Ev- 9
P <sub>4</sub>	Tep-5
P <sub>5</sub>	Pool BC 1
P <sub>6</sub>	TWC 2665
P <sub>7</sub>	Exotic

**Table. 2. Combined analysis of variance for studied traits over two locations, 2012 season.**

S.O.V.	df	MS					
		Days to 50% silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard fad <sup>-1</sup> )
Loc. (L)	1	575.72**	3750.06**	90211.01**	72.29**	11.42 **	500.91 **
Reps/Loc.	6	11.35	2683.01	923.41	0.82	0.04	43.41
Crosses (C)	20	25.04**	902.96**	439.66**	6.04**	0.23**	109.04**
C x L	20	2.76	155.49	109.27*	1.55	0.02	18.22
GCA	6	50.15**	2115.50**	813.59**	8.60**	0.59**	94.66**
SCA	14	14.27**	383.30**	279.41**	4.95**	0.07**	115.20**
GCA x L	6	2.65	206.12	186.20*	3.99**	0.05	25.62**
SCA x L	14	2.80	133.80	76.30	0.49	0.01	15.05
Error	120	1.78	149.68	63.25	0.97	0.03	11.44
GCA/SCA	-	3.51	5.52	2.91	1.74	7.50	0.82
GCAxL/GCA	-	0.05	0.09	0.22	0.46	0.08	0.27
SCAxL/SCA	-	0.19	0.34	0.27	0.10	0.11	0.13
C.V.	-	2.21	4.91	5.66	4.83	3.67	11.86

\* \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

GCA/SCA ratio, which exceeded the unity, was obtained for all traits, except for grain yield revealing the predominance of additive and additive by additive gene effect for these traits. The same trend results were reported by Abd El-Aty and Katta (2002) and Bujak *et al.* (2006) found that ear length was mostly determined by additive gene action. Abd El-Moula (2005), Derera *et al.* (2008), Vivek *et al.* (2010), Sibiya *et al.* (2011) and Ibrahim (2012) found that the additive gene action was more important than the non-additive for grain yield. However, Salama *et al.* (1995), Sadek *et al.* (2001), Singh and Roy (2007), Abdallah and Hassan (2009) and Osman *et al.* (2012), reported that the non-additive type of gene action appeared to be more important in the inheritance of yield.

The ratio for SCAxL/ SCA was higher than the ratio of GCAxL/ GCA for all the studied traits, except for ear length and grain yield indicating that non-additive genetic

effects were more influenced by the environmental condition than additive genetic effects for these traits. These results are in well agreement with those reported by Gilbert (1958). While the additive genetic effects were more influenced by the environmental condition than non-additive genetic effects for the exceptional traits i.e. ear length and grain yield. Similar findings were reported by Motawei (2006), Ibrahim *et al.* (2010) and Ibrahim (2012) for grain yield.

**Mean performance:**

Mean performance of the 21 crosses along with the three check hybrids for all studied traits are presented in Table.3. For no. of days to 50% silking, three crosses were significantly earlier than the earliest check hybrid SC 128. These crosses were P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>; whereas, 10 crosses did not differ significantly from the same check. On the other hand, eighteen

**Abd El Mottalb and Gamea**

crosses were significantly earlier than the latest check hybrid SC 10. While, only three crosses were significantly earlier than the earliest check hybrids SC 128. The earliest crosses were P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>. While, the cross P<sub>5</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> was the latest one. With respect to plant and ear heights, all

crosses were significantly shortest than the check hybrid SC 10. While, only one cross P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> gave the lowest values (226.75/124.13 cm) than the shortest check hybrid i.e. SC 128. However, the highest values for both traits were recorded by the cross P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> (269.13/149.63 cm).

**Table (3). Combined mean performance of 21 crosses and three check hybrids, for all studied traits, 2012 season.**

Crosses	Days to 50% silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard fad <sup>-1</sup> )
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub>	59.13	252.13	141.13	19.98	4.80	28.89
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	60.50	236.75	129.50	20.70	4.90	26.01
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	61.25	245.50	138.75	19.78	4.73	23.64
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	62.13	240.63	137.50	20.68	4.95	24.70
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	62.50	236.75	134.38	20.73	4.43	27.46
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	60.25	226.75	124.13	19.80	4.50	30.33
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	58.63	251.13	141.75	19.80	4.85	33.20
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	57.25	269.13	149.63	19.58	4.75	24.54
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	61.50	246.88	137.50	20.70	4.95	35.02
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	59.63	246.50	141.75	20.63	4.45	29.17
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	56.63	238.75	128.50	18.98	4.68	31.12
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	57.13	263.13	140.25	19.00	4.88	26.71
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	58.88	260.63	149.50	20.15	4.75	31.28
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	60.38	251.63	141.00	20.15	4.75	33.45
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	61.63	259.50	149.00	20.83	4.75	27.33
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	60.50	260.50	145.63	22.28	4.80	27.58
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	60.50	264.25	151.75	20.08	4.48	27.37
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	61.38	245.38	146.13	21.40	4.70	35.66
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	63.25	248.13	148.75	22.35	4.53	23.59
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	60.38	245.50	136.75	20.35	4.68	23.83
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	61.25	246.88	139.63	20.38	4.45	28.14
<i>Checks:</i>						
SC 10	63.00	292.00	167.88	22.65	4.53	28.97
SC 128	59.63	253.50	135.00	22.35	4.83	34.43
SC 129	60.63	275.75	155.00	21.60	4.68	32.99
LSD 0.05	1.31	11.99	7.79	0.96	0.17	3.31

For ear length, no one of the crosses surpassed superiority over the highest value of the check hybrid SC 10. While, two crosses  $P_4 \times P_5$  and  $P_5 \times P_6$  did not differ significantly from the check hybrid SC 10. The highest mean value for this trait was detected by the hybrid  $P_5 \times P_6$  (22.35 cm).

Regarding to ear diameter, none of the crosses surpassed superiority over the highest value of the check hybrid SC 128. While, five crosses  $P_1 \times P_3$ ,  $P_1 \times P_5$ ,  $P_2 \times P_3$ ,  $P_2 \times P_5$  and  $P_3 \times P_4$  showed significant difference from the check hybrid SC 129. The highest mean value for this trait was detected by the hybrid  $P_1 \times P_5$  (4.95 cm). Concerning grain yield, four crosses had significant superiority over the check hybrid SC 10. While, six crosses showed insignificant difference from the highest yielding check hybrid SC 128. These crosses exhibited significant increase of one or more of traits contributing to grain yield, especially SC  $P_2 \times P_7$  which was earlier and shortest than the check hybrid SC 128 (Table 3). The hybrids  $P_2 \times P_5$  and  $P_4 \times P_7$  showed higher mean value (35.02 and 35.66 ard/fed.), respectively. These crosses may be released as commercial hybrids by maize research program after further testing and evaluation.

### **Combining ability effects:**

#### **a. General combining ability effects:**

Estimates of GCA effects ( $\hat{g}_i$ ) for individual parental inbred lines for each trait are presented in Table.4. The obtained high positive values for all traits in question except days to 50% silking as well as plant and ear heights would be useful from the breeder's point of view.

The parental inbred line  $P_1$  exhibited significant negative  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for plant and ear heights, indicating that this inbred line could be considered as a good combiner for developing shortest genotypes. However, it gave (undesirable)  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for days to 50% silking and grain yield.

The parental inbred line  $P_2$  behaved as the best combiner for days to 50% silking,

indicating that this inbred line could be considered as a good combiner for developing early genotypes. In addition, it showed significant positive  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for ear diameter and grain yield. However, it is exhibited either undesirable  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for ear length.

The parental inbred line  $P_3$  expressed significant negative  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for days to 50% silking. Also, it showed significant positive  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for ear diameter and grain yield. However, it gave (undesirable)  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for the other traits.

The parental inbred line  $P_4$  exhibited significant negative  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for days to 50% silking. In addition, it gave (undesirable)  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for plant and ear heights and grain yield.

The parental inbred line  $P_5$  seemed to be the best combiner and ranked the first best inbred line for ear length. Also, it showed positive  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for ear diameter. However, it showed significant (undesirable) or insignificant  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for other traits.

The parental inbred line  $P_6$  behaved as the best combiner for ear length. However, it is exhibited either (undesirable)  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for days to 50% silking, ear height and ear diameter.

The parental inbred line  $P_7$  expressed significant desirable  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for plant and ear heights. Also, it is exhibited significant positive  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for grain yield. In addition, it gave (undesirable)  $\hat{g}_i$  effects for ear diameter.

From the previous result, it could be concluded that the parental inbred line  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$  and  $P_4$  the best combiners and possessed favorable genes for improvement of hybrid, with earliness,  $P_1$  and  $P_7$  for shortness and lower placement,  $P_5$  and  $P_6$  for ear length,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$  and  $P_5$  for ear diameter and  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$  and  $P_7$  for grain yield.

**Table. (4). Estimates of GCA ( $\hat{g}_i$ ) effects of 7 inbred lines for all studied traits, combined over two locations, 2012 season.**

Inbred lines	Days to 50% silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard fad <sup>-1</sup> )
P <sub>1</sub>	0.88**	-11.52**	-7.66**	-0.14	0.02	-2.02**
P <sub>2</sub>	-1.71**	1.68	-0.69	-0.54**	0.05**	2.16**
P <sub>3</sub>	-0.84**	5.33**	1.46	-0.34*	0.13**	1.36**
P <sub>4</sub>	-0.66**	10.35**	5.68**	-0.05	0.02	-1.13*
P <sub>5</sub>	1.06**	1.23	2.38*	0.82**	0.09**	-1.03*
P <sub>6</sub>	1.23**	-0.40	2.71*	0.38**	-0.23**	-0.39
P <sub>7</sub>	0.04	-6.67**	-3.91**	-0.13	-0.09**	1.05*
S.E. ( $\hat{g}_i$ )	0.19	1.79	1.16	0.14	0.02	0.49
S.E. ( $\hat{g}_i - \hat{g}_j$ )	0.29	2.73	1.77	0.21	0.03	0.75

\* \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

**b. Specific combining ability effects:**

Specific combining ability effects were only estimated whenever significant SCA variances were obtained. Specific combining ability effects of 21 crosses for all studied traits are presented in Table 5. With regard to days to 50% silking, plant height and ear height, negative SCA effects are desirable, while for other traits positive are desirable.

As for days to 50% silking, five crosses expressed significant negative  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  effect. Also, results indicated that the crosses P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>4</sub> gave the highest desirable  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  values. So, it could be useful in areas that require early maturing hybrids. The other crosses had either significant positive or insignificant  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  effects. Regarding plant height, only one cross combinations namely, P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> (-7.65\*\*) gave the highest significant and negative  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  effects. For ear height, five crosses (P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>4</sub>) expressed the highest significant and negative  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  effects. Therefore, these crosses were considered the best among studied crosses for ear height. This may suggest the immediate

used to decrease lodging, and in turn, increase the yield potentiality.

Five crosses (P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub> and P<sub>5</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>) had significant positive  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  effects for ear length. While, only three crosses (P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub> and P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>) showed significant effects for ear diameter. With regard to grain yield, five crosses (P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>6</sub> and positive  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>) expressed significantly positive  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  effects. These crosses (P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>xP<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>7</sub>) exhibited the highest  $\hat{S}_{ij}$  effects (5.36, 3.59 and 7.21), respectively and also it gave the highest mean performance for grain yield (35.02, 33.45 and 35.66 ard/fed), respectively. These crosses represented the parental combinations of (high x low) GCA effects. So, this suggests that additive x dominance genetic interaction were involved in these crosses. Hence, these crosses may be released as a good inbred lines commercial hybrids by the Maize Research Program after further testing and evaluation. Similar findings were reported earlier by Nawar and El-Hosary (1985), Soliman *et al.* (2001), Sadek *et al.* (2002), Gaber *et al.* (2008) and Abdallah *et al.* (2009).

**Table (5). Estimates of SCA ( $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ ) effects of 21 crosses for all studied traits combined over two locations, 2012 season.**

Crosses	Days to 50% silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard fad <sup>-1</sup> )
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub>	-0.267	12.617**	8.858**	0.267	0.027	0.232
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	0.233	-6.408	-4.917*	0.797**	0.047	-1.858
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	0.808*	-2.683	0.108	0.423	-0.018	-1.730
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.042	1.567	2.158	-0.403	0.142**	-0.774
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	0.158	-0.683	-1.292	0.087	-0.068	1.354
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.892*	-4.408	-4.917*	-0.323	-0.128**	2.776**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	0.958*	-5.233	0.358	0.297	-0.038	1.149
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	-0.592	7.742*	4.008	-0.223	-0.028	-5.015**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	1.933**	-5.383	-4.817*	0.022	0.107*	5.366**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-0.117	-4.133	-0.892	0.387	-0.078	-1.119
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-1.917**	-5.608	-7.517**	-0.748**	0.012	-0.614
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	-1.592**	-1.908	-7.517**	-0.993**	0.017	-2.052*
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-1.567**	4.717	5.033	-0.723**	-0.173**	2.417*
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-0.242	-2.658	-3.792	-0.283	0.142**	3.598**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	2.208**	11.492**	10.833**	0.907**	0.007	-3.615**
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.117	-0.433	-3.067	1.107**	-0.013	1.219
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-0.292	4.942	2.733	-0.635*	-0.023	0.366
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	1.783**	-7.658*	3.733	1.187**	0.067	7.212**
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	0.733	-2.058	3.033	0.742**	-0.038	-3.513**
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.942*	1.592	-2.342	-0.743**	-0.023	-4.714**
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.242	4.592	0.208	-0.278	0.067	-1.045
S.E ( $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ )	0.380	3.531	2.290	0.281	0.042	0.971
S.E ( $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} - \hat{\sigma}_{ik}$ )	0.591	5.473	3.552	0.430	0.060	1.511
S.E ( $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} - \hat{\sigma}_{kl}$ )	0.512	4.730	3.070	0.372	0.063	1.301

\*\*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

## REFERENCES

- Abdallah, T. A. E., Afaf A. I. Gabr and A.A. El Khishen (2009). Combining ability in line x tester crosses of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 47(1): 11-20.
- Abdallah, T.A.E. and M.M. Hassan (2009). Combining ability analysis for grain yield and some agronomic traits in maize. *Egypt. J. App. Sci.*, 24(11):164-174.
- Abd El-Aty, M.S. and Y.S. Katta (2002). Estimation of heterosis and combining ability for yield and other agronomic traits in maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 27(8): 5137-5146.
- Abd El-Moula, M.A. (2005). Combining ability for grain yield and other traits in some newly developed inbred lines of yellow maize. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.*, 9(2): 53-70.
- Barakat, A.A., M.A. Abd El-Moula and A.A. Ahmed (2003). Combining ability for maize grain yield and its attributes under different environments. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 34(3): 15-25.
- Beck, D.L., S.K. Vassal and J. Crossa (1991). Heterosis and combining ability

- among subtropical and temperate intermediate maturity maize germplasm. *Crop Sci.*, 31: 68-73.
- Bujak, H., S. Jedynski, J. Karczmarek, C. Karwowska, Z. Kurczyk and J. Adamczyk (2006). Evaluation of breeding value of inbred lines of maize on the basis of multitrait analysis. *Biuletyn Instytutu Hodowli Aklimatyzacji Roslin, Poland.* 240/241, 211-216. [Computer search]
- Derera, J., P. Tongoona, K.V. Pixly, B. Vivek, M.D. Laing and N.C. van Rij (2008). Gene action controlling gray leaf spot resistance in southern African maize germplasm. *Crop Sci.*, 48: 93-98.
- El-Hosary, A.A. (1989). Heterosis and combining ability of six inbred lines of maize in diallel crosses over two years. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, 14(1-2): 47-58.
- El-Hosary, A.A. and S.A. Sedhom (1990). Diallel analysis of yield and other agronomic characters in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Annals Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 28(4): 1985- 1998.
- El-Hosary, A.A., A.A. Abdel-Sattar and M.H. Motawea (1999). Heterosis and combining ability of seven inbred lines of maize in diallel crosses over two years. *Minufiya, J. Agric. Res.*, 24(1): 65-84.
- El-Hosary, A.A., M.EL.M. El-Badawy and Y.M. Abdel-Tawab (2006). Genetic distance of inbred lines and prediction of maize single-cross performance using RAPD and SSR markers. *Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol.* 35: 209-224.
- El-Shamarka, Sh.A. 1995. Estimation of heterotic and combining ability effects for some quantitative characters in maize under two nitrogen levels. *Minufiya, J. Agric. Res.*, 20(21): 441-462.
- Gabr, Afaf A. I., M. E. M. Abd E-Azeem and T. A. E. Abdallah (2008). Combining ability analysis of grain yield and some agronomic traits of nine maize inbred lines. *Egypt. J. Ap. Sci.* 23(12B): 520-529.
- Gilbert, N.E.G. (1958). Diallel cross in plant breeding. *Heredity.* 12 : 477-492
- Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability relation to diallel crossing system. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, 9: 463-493.
- Ibrahim, Kh.A.M. (2012). Combining analysis of some yellow (*Zea mays* L.) inbred for grain yield and other traits. *Egypt J. Agric. Res.* 90 (4): 33-46.
- Ibrahim, Kh.A.M., M.A. Abd El-Moula and M.E.M. Abd El-Azeem (2010). Combining ability analysis of some yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 88(1): 33-50.
- Mohamed, A. A. (1993). Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on the performance and combining ability of maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.). *Annals of Agric. Sci. (Cairo)*. 38(2): 531-549.
- Mostafa, M.A., A.A. Abd El-Aziz, G.M.A. Mahgoub and H.Y.S. El-Sherbieny (1996). Diallel analysis of grain yield and natural resistance to late wilt disease in newly developed inbred lines of maize. *Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.*, 47: 393-404.
- Motawei, A.A. (2006). Additive and non-additive genetic variances of important quantitative traits in new maize inbred lines via line x tester analysis. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 31(11): 6855-6865.
- Nawar, A. A. and A. A. El-Hosary (1985). A comparison between two experimental diallel cross designs. *Minoufiya J. Agric. Res.* 10: 2029-2039.
- Nawar, A.A., S.A. El-shamarka and E.A. El-Absawy (2002). Diallel analysis of some agronomic traits of maize. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 27 (11): 7203-7213.
- Osman, M.M.A., Kh.A..M. Ibrahim and M.A.M. El-Ghomeny (2012). Diallel analysis of grain yield and some other traits in yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. *Assuit J. Agric. Sci.*, 43:16-26
- Sadek, S.E., M.S.M. Soliman and A.A. Barakat (2001). Evaluation of newly developed maize lines using commercial inbred testers. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*16:406-425.
- Sadek, S. E., M.S.M. Soliman, A. A. Barakat and K. I Khalifa (2002). Topcross analysis for selecting maize lines in the early self generations. *Minoufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 27:197-213.



## ***Combining ability analysis in new white maize inbred lines (Zea mays L.)***

- Salama, F.A., Sh.F. Abo El.Saad and M.M. Ragheb (1995). Evaluation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) top crosses for grain yield and other agronomic traits under different environmental conditions. J.Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 20(1): 127-140.
- Sedhom, S.A. (1994). Genetic study on some top crosses in maize under two environments. Annals Agric. Sc. Moshtohor, 32(1): 131- 141.
- Sedhom, A.S., M.EL.M. EL-Badawy, A.M. and A.A.A. EL-Hosary (2007). Diallel analysis and relationship between molecular polymorphisms and yellow maize hybrid performance. Annals of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor, Vol. 45 (1): 1-20.
- Sibiya, J., P. Tongoona, J. Derera and N. van Rij (2011). Genetic analysis and genotype x environment (GxE) for grey leaf spot disease resistance in elite African maize (*Zea mays* L.) germplasm. Euphytica, 179 (1): 312-325.
- Singh, P.k. and A.K. Roy (2007). Diallel analysis of inbred lines in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Intl. J. Agric. Sci. 3(1):213-216.
- Soliman, M. S. M., A. A. Mahmoud, Afaf A. I. Gabr and F. H. S Soliman (2001). Utilization of narrow base testers for evaluating combining ability of newly developed yellow maize inbred lines (*Zea mays* L.). Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 5:61-76.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. Mc. Graw-Hill Book Company, New York, USA.
- Vivek, B.S., O. Odongo, J. Njuguna, J. Imanywoha, G. Bigirwa, A. Diallo and K. Pixley. (2010). Diallel analysis of grain yield and resistance to seven diseases of 12 African maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. Euphytica, 172: 329-340.

## **تحليل القدرة على التآلف في بعض سلالات الذرة الشامية البيضاء**

**أيمن أحمد عبدالمطلب ، حمدي السيد أحمد جامع**

قسم بحوث الذرة الشامية - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية-مصر

### **المخلص العربي**

تم إجراء جميع الهجن الممكنة (ماعد العكسية) بين 7 سلالات من الذرة الشامية البيضاء المرياة داخليا بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسدس في الموسم الزراعي 2011. تم تقييم هجن الجيل الأول وعددها 21 هجين مع ثلاثة هجن للمقارنة وهما ه.ف . 10 ؛ ه.ف . 128 و ه.ف 129 في الموسم الزراعي 2012 بمحطتي البحوث الزراعية بسدس وسخا بهدف تحديد أفضل التراكيب الوراثية الجديدة . أظهرت النتائج وجود اختلافات عالية المعنوية بالنسبة للهجن والقدرة العامة والخاصة على التآلف لكل الصفات موضع الدراسة. أظهرت النسبة بين تباين القدرة العامة والخاصة على التآلف أهمية نسبية لفعل الجين المضيف بالنسبة لجميع الصفات محل الدراسة ما عدا صفة المحصول. أظهرت النتائج أيضا أن السلالات الأبوية  $P_2$ ،  $P_3$  ،  $P_7$  كانت أفضل السلالات من حيث تأثيرات القدرة العامة على التآلف لصفة الإنتاجية أما بالنسبة للقدرة الخاصة على التآلف فان الهجن  $P_1 \times P_7$  و  $P_2 \times P_5$  و  $P_3 \times P_6$  و  $P_3 \times P_5$  و  $P_4 \times P_7$  أظهرت قدرة خاصة عالية المعنوية. بالنسبة لمحصول الحبوب للقدرة تفوقت أربع هجن تفوقا معنويا مقارنة بهجين المقارنة ه.ف 10 بينما كان هناك هجينين فقط ( $P_2 \times P_5$ ) و ( $P_4 \times P_7$ ) والذي أعطوا أعلى متوسط لإنتاجية الحبوب للقدرة مقارنة بأعلى هجن المقارنة إنتاجية ه.ف 128. تعتبر هذه الهجن مبشرة ويمكن إدخالها في مراحل التقييم المختلفة لإطلاقها كهجن تجارية.



