

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

.

-

:

() .

. () () . ()

.

:

()

. ()

:

:

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

.

:

.

(:)

.

(- :) .

(:) .

(:) .

() Snder

() Cutshall () Gohlinghorst & Wessels

() Al-Balhan () Shearer

" "

).

.(:

() Gardner & Hatch

:

Rogalla & Margison)

()

Ego strength
Emotional " Stability
"

Neuroticism

(:)

" "

(:)

" "

(:) - :

(Ego)

" "

(:) . Self Mechanisms

" " " "

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

(:)

" "

(:).

.(:)

" "

" "

" "

(Deri, 1990: 518) .

(:)

" (:)

"

"

" Symonds

"

"

...

:



..

(Jody Koenig ; April R.Trees et al. ,2010)

(:)

:) . (

" "

) ((:) (

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

" "

:

:

-

-

٧

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

:

"

"

:

-

-

-

:

-

-

-

-

-

٨

Multiple Intelligence

(Nelson,1998 :9).

Capacities

Faculties

). stupid Smart

()

(:

:

:

/

Frames of () Gardner mind
Multiple Intelligence Theory
()
()

(:) .
(Seghers & Baker,1998 :2)
(- :

" " " "
" " " "
(I.Q)

"

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

" "

(- :) .

(:) (:) .
(:)

.

:

:

: Verbal Linguistic Intelligence

-١

).

(:

: Logical Mathematical Intelligence

-٢

(:) .

.

.

(:)
: Visual Spatial Intelligence

).

(:

(:)
: Musical Rhythmic Intelligence

-٣

(Gardner & Hatch , 1989 :5 & Harry 1992 : 29 ,)

: Bodily Kinesthetic Intelligence

-٤

... (Gardner & Hatch , 1989 :6)

(:)

John

Raty & Elkhonon Goldberg

(:)

: Interpersonal Intelligence () -٥

()

(:) .

Jody Koenig (:) .

. Intrapersonal Intelligence()

()

:

(:)

()

" " : Naturalist Intelligence -

((:)).

) (Campble et al ., 1999 :231 : Teele,1999 : 40)

(Checkley ,1997 : 8-13) (:

: Existential Intelligence -

" "

(Checkley ,1997 : 8-13)

:

:

:

-

-

-

() -

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

Ego Strength :

(:) Wolman

(:)"

" ()

(:) ."

(:) .

(:) Fontana

(:)

:

- :

" "

(: .)".

" "

(: 1987)

(:)

" "

) .

(:

:

:

:

:

:

()

:

(:) .

:



.

.

.

:

.

.

"

"

.

/

.

:

·
-
:" "

(:) .

: -

)

(

(:) .

" "

(- :) .

" "

:

·

()

(- :)

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

∴
()

" " ()

" " (:) .

(:)

(- :) .

(: -)
:

" "

"

:

:

(:) -

-

" " . (:)

)

)

(

(/)

()

(- :)

(:) .

(:) .

:

-

.

.

:

()

"

"

() ()

-

..

.

()

"

"

-

() ()

.

" "

:

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

:

.

.

.

() Snder

()

Metropolitan

Grade Point Average (GPA)

Test (MAT) Achievement

Basic Skills Assessment Profile

2

" "

(BSAP)

()

()

.(-)

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

()

()

دراسة ماركستروم وكالمنير (2001) Markstrom & Kalmanir

)

()

(

() Furnham , Akande

)

(

()

() ()

()

)

(

(() () ()
)
(TIMI) Teele ()
)
(" "

markstrom et al.,(2007)

()
markstrom et al.,(1997)
(-)

()

. ()

:

:

-

-

.

:

:

.

-

./

-

.

.

.

.

.

.

,

,

.

القائمة النفس اجتماعية لقوة الأنا
Psychosocial Inventory of Ego Strength (PIES)

()

Markstrom, C., Sabino, V., Turner, B., & Berman, R. (1997).

الوصف الأساسي للمقياس

(Erikson,1985:3) . Ego

Virtus " " inherent Strength " " Virtal

Strength " " Basic Strength . " (Erikson

,1964;;1968;1985)

well being

The Psychosocial Inventory of Ego Strengths (PIES)

(Markstrom & Marshall, 2007; Markstrom, Sabino, Turner, & Berman, 1997)

PIES

Dystonic

.(

:

: () .Hope -

قدرة الفرد

(Erikson, 1963a: 221).

"

على اكتساب الإحساس بالثقة في الآخرين وفي العالم

(Jody Koenig ; April R.Trees et al. 2010)

: Will _____ -

ree - Choice

(Jody Koenig ; April R.Trees et al. ,2010) .Self - Restraint

: Purpose _____ -٣

"

"

(Erikson,1964:122) .

.Cometence (_____) _____ -

(Jody Koenig ; April R.Trees et al. ,2010) .

.Fidelity (_____) _____ -

. وتعنى قدرة الفرد على تحديد معنى وجوده وأهدافه فى الحياة

ووضوح خطته لتحقيق هذه الأهداف . (Jody Koenig ; April R.Trees et al. ,2010)

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

.Love _____ -

.Care (_____) -٧

(Jody Koenig ; April R.Trees et al. ,2010) .

.Wisdom _____ -٨

(Jody .

Koenig ; April R.Trees et al. ,2010)

)

(

(

)

()

م	المعنى	أرقام البنود الإيجابية	أرقام البنود العكسية
١	الحب love	٦٠، ٥٧، ٧، ١	٣٨، ٣٤، ٢٣، ١٤
٢	الإرادة Will	٦٣، ٣٣، ١٩، ٢	٥٤، ٣٦، ٢٧، ٤
٣	الكفاءة competence	٥٩، ٣٥، ١٣، ٣	٦٤، ٦١، ٢٩، ١١
٤	التفاني fidelity	٥٣، ٣٧، ٢٠، ١٨	٤٨، ٤١، ١٠، ٦
٥	الحكمة wisdom	٥٦، ٤٩، ٣١، ٥	٥٠، ٤٣، ٢٢، ١٢
٦	الأمل Hope	٣٩، ٣٢، ١٥، ٨	٥٨، ٤٤، ٤٢، ٢٦
٧	الرعاية care	٥٢، ٤٦، ١٧، ٩	٥٥، ٤٧، ٣٠، ٢١
٨	الهدف purpose	٦٢، ٤٥، ٢٨، ٢٤	٥١، ٤٠، ٢٥، ١٦

:

"

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

"

"

"

.

.

=

=

=

=

=

:

:

()

:

-

-

-

()

/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/

:

:

-

()

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب _____

:

Validity :

Content Validity -

:

-

()

*

- ()

:

-

-:

()

								-	
							-	**	
							-	**	*
							-	**	*
							-	**	**
							-	**	**
							-	**	**
							-	**	*
							-	**	*
							-	**	*
							-	**	**

/

*

**

()

- Content

-

() McKenzie

() . ()

:

()

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

:

جدول (٦) توزيع مفردات مقياس الذكاءات المتعددة

م	الذكاء	أرقام المفردات
١	اللغوي	٨٢،٧٣،٦٤،٥٥،٤٦،٣٧،٢٨،١٩،١٠،١
٢	المنطقي	٨٣،٧٤،٦٥،٥٦،٤٧،٣٨،٢٩،٢٠،١١،٢
٣	المكاني	٨٤،٧٥،٦٦،٥٧،٤٨،٣٩،٣٠،٢١،١٢،٣
٤	الجسمي	٨٥،٧٦،٦٧،٥٨،٤٩،٤٠،٣١،٢٢،١٣،٤
٥	الموسيقى	٨٦،٧٧،٦٨،٥٩،٥٠،٤١،٣٢،٢٣،١٤،٥
٦	الشخصي	٨٧،٧٨،٦٩،٦٠،٥١،٤٢،٣٣،٢٤،١٥،٦
٧	الاجتماعي	٨٨،٧٩،٧٠،٦١،٥٢،٤٣،٣٤،٢٥،١٦،٧
٨	الطبيعي	٨٩،٨٠،٧٢،٦٢،٥٣،٤٤،٣٥،٢٦،١٧،٨
٩	الوجودي	٩٠،٨١،٧٣،٦٣،٥٤،٤٥،٣٦،٢٧،١٨،٩

()

. ()

. ()

() :

()

:

%

" "

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

:

:

()

()

:

:

"

"

:

:

:

"

"

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

()

(=)

		**	-		**				-
-		*				**	-	-	-
-		*		**	-	*	-	-	**
**	-	**	-	*	-		-	-	**
*	-	**	-		**	-	*	**	-
**		**	-	*	-		-	*	**
					-	-	**	-	-
			-	**	-			-	-
-	**	**	-				-		-
		**		-	-	**	*	-	

= , ** = , *

. ()

(-)

()

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

(-)

)

(

(labuvie,1990).

()

(

)

()

(-)

(-)

(-) (-)
-) (-)
(

()snyder

() ()
()
()
-) (-) (-)

(

(-)

()

()

-) (-)

(

(-) (-)

() Barnes, B. & Shrinivas

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

(- :)

(-)

(Jody Koenig .

; April R.Trees et al. ,2010)

(-)

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

() ()
(-)

() ()
(-)

() Chan

()

()

()

:

()

		=		=		
.						
.						
.						
.	-					
.	-					
.	-					
.						
.						
.	-					
.						
.	-					
.						
.	-					
.	-					
.						
.						
.	-					
.						

= , ** , = , *

- - -)
 (- - - -)
 (-) . ()
 (-) . ()

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

()

()

()

()

()

()

-

-

د/ سامية سمير شحاتة

()

	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
" "	-
" " "	-
	-
	-

. ()

. - 209.

. ()

. ()

. ()

. (1987)

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. - ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. - ()

الذكاءات المتعددة وقوة الأنا لدى الطلاب

. () .

. (1997) .

. () .

. () .

- 309 .

. () .

- . () .

. () .

. () .

. () .

. () .

- . () .

. (1996) .

- 56 . () .

. () .

. () .

. () .

. ()

. (2004)

. ()

-. ()

. ()

-
. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. (1998)

. ()

.()

. ()

- . ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

. ()

45. Al-Balhan , E.(2006). Multiple Intelligence Styles in Relation to Improved Academic Performance in Kuwaiti Middle School Reading . **Digest of Middle East Studies** . 15 (1). 18-34.

46. Barnes, B. & Shrinivas, R. (1993) . Personality traits of self-actualized women. **Psychological Studies**, 38 (1).7-9.

47. Campbell, Linda; Campbell,Bruce & Dickinson, Dee, (1999) . **Teaching & Learning Through Multiple Intelligences**. Second Edition, Allyn& Bacon, U.S.A, Internet: www.abacon.Com.

48. Chan , D. (2003). Multiple Intelligences and Perceived Self – Efficacy Among Chinese Secondary School Teachers in Hong Kong . **Educational Psychology** , Vol. 23 (5). 521-533.

49. Checkley, Kathy (1997) .The first seven and the eighth: a conversation with Howard Gardner. **Educational Leadership** , V.55, No. BEDI 97022212.8:13.
50. Cutshall , L.(2003). **The Effects of Student Multiple Intelligence preference on Integration of Earth Science Concepts and Knowledge within a Middle Grades Science Classroom** . Master of Arts , Johnson Bible College. .1-47.
51. Deri, S. (1990): Changing Concepts of the Ego in psychoanalytic theory, **psychoanalytic Review**, vol. 77(4) . 511-518.
52. Erikson, E. H. (1968). **Identity: Youth and crisis**. New York: Norton.
53. Erikson, E. H. (1985). **The life cycle completed**. New York: Norton
54. Erikson, E.H. (1964). **Insight and responsibility**. New York: Norton
55. Erikson, E.H. (1963a). **Childhood and society**. (2nd. ed.). New York: Norton.
56. Fontan·D.·(1995). **Psychology for teachers**.3ed.Macmillan press· LTD.
57. Furnham , A. & Akande , A. (2004). African Parents Estimates of Their Own and Their Children's Multiple Intelligences . **Current Psychology : Developmental , Learning , Personality , Social** . 22 (. 4) .281-294.
58. Gardner , H. & Hatch ,T.(1989). Multiple intelligences go to school . **Educational Researcher** .18(8) ..4-10.
59. Gohlinghorst , N. &Wessels , B. (2001). Enhancing Student Achievement in Social Studies through the Use of Multiple Intelligences. **Master of Arts Action Research Project** , Field – Based Master's Program Saint Xavier University and IRI / Skylight . Dissertations, .1-80.
60. Harry , M. (1992). An Analysis of Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligence . Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Eastern. **Educational Research Association** ,.1-40.
61. Jody Koenig ; April R.Trees ; Paul Schrodtt and et al (2010) . Exploring Links Between Well-Being and Interactional Sense-Making in Married Couples' Jointly Told Stories of Stress . **Journal of Family Communication** . 10(3) .174 -193 .

62. Loori , A. (2005). Multiple Intelligences : A comparative Study Between The Preferences Of Males and Females . **Social Behavior and Personality** . 33 (1) .77-88.
- Markstrom, C& Marshall; S.K. . (٢٠٠٧). The Psychosocial Inventory of ego strengths. . **Examination of theory and psychometric properties . Journal of Adolescence** .February .30 (1), pg. 63-79
63. Markstrom, C., Sabino, V., Turner, B., & Berman, R. (1997). The Psychosocial Inventory of Ego Strengths: Development and validation of a new Eriksonian measure. **Journal of Youth and Adolescence**, 26. 705–732.
64. Markstrom. C. A., and Kalmanir, H. (2001). Linkages between the psychosocial stages of identity and intimacy and the ego strengths of fidelity and love. Identity. **International Journal of Theory and Research**, 1(2). 179-196.
65. Murphy & David Shefer (1994) .
66. Nolen , J . (2003). Multiple Intelligences In The Classroom . **Education** . 124(1) . 115-119.
67. Rogalla , M. & Margison , J. (2004) . Future Problem Solving Program Coaches Efficacy in Teaching For Successful Intelligence and Their Patterns Of Successful Behavior . **Reaper Review** .26 (.3) . 175-177.
68. Seghers, Myles M. & Baker, Jane O.(2005). *Multiple Intelligence Theory: Cognitive Development Young Adolescents*: [http://www. Tec. Nsula.Edu/ LMSA/MI Theory . htm](http://www.Tec.Nsula.Edu/LMSA/MI Theory . htm)
69. Shearer , B. (2004). Using Multiple Intelligences Assessment to Promote Teacher Development and Student Achievement. **Teacher College Record** , .106(1) .147-162
70. Sinha, V. & Prabhat, R. (1993): Relationship between ego-strength and job satisfaction, **Indian Journal of Psychometry and Education**,24 (2), 99 -102.
71. Snyder, C. R., Hoza, B., Rapoff, W. E., Ware, L., Danovsky, M., Highberger, L., Rubinstein, H., & Stahl, K. J. (1997). The development and validation of the children's hope scale. **Journal of Pediatric Psychology**, 22, 399- 421.
72. Snyder, M., Clary, E. G., & Stukas, A. A. (2000). The functional approach to volunteerism. In G. R. Maio & J. M. Olson (Eds.), **Why we evaluate: Functions of attitudes** (pp. 365-393). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

73. Teele, Sue ,(1999). **Rainbows Of Intelligence, Exploring How Students Learn**. Sue Teele & Associates, U.S., Redlands CA.
74. Wolman·B.B(1989). **Dictionary of behavioral science**. Academic Press· Inc.· san Digo.

Multiple Intelligence and with Ego Strength of the students

Dr. samia samir shehata

The research aims to study the multiple intelligences and the Ego Strength of students in King Saud University. Sample was selected consisting 130 student and a student of university students. (66) males and (64) females. Average age (25.18) and a standard deviation (1.56). Scale was applied to the social psychology of ego-strength Invontry of Maxtröm et al. and The measure of multiple intelligences of McKinsey. The results showed that the intelligences enjoyed by members of the sample according to their evaluations of themselves in descending order were as follows: social intelligence (Inter-personal), linguistic intelligence, musical intelligence, logical intelligence, natural intelligence, spatial intelligence, existential intelligence , bodily intelligence profile. The results also showed that the dimensions of ego strength enjoyed by members of the study sample according to their evaluations of themselves in descending order were as follows: care, hope, will, wisdom, cometenec, purpose, love ,fidelity. Results also showed a correlation function between the dimensions of multiple intelligences and the strength of the ego as follows: the existence of correlation function between linguistic intelligence and both the wisdom and care; and logical intelligence, care and will; a correlation between visual spatial intelligence and both the care and love and will; a correlation between physically intelligence , both of love and care, hope and the total degree of the strength of the ego; a correlation between music intelligence , both of cometenec and , fidelity, love and wisdom, care, and the total degree of the strength of the ego; a correlation between interpersonal intelligence and cometenec; a correlation between intrapersonal intelligence and both love and care, cometenec and hope and the total degree of the strength of the ego; a correlation between natural intelligence and hope; a correlation between existential intelligence and both proupse and care; and finally the existence of correlation between the total score of multiple intelligence and both of fidelity and care and cometenec. The results also showed that there are no differences between males and females in both the linguistic and logical , spatial, music, social and natural intelligence and the total score of multiple intelligence In the hope and care and fidelity and love and the will dimensions and the total degree of the strength of the ego. While others have found significant differences between males and females in physical and personal intelligence and the puropse , wisdom and cometenec dimensions of the strength of the ego.