



Original Article

Monitoring of Water Pollution and Eutrophication Using Phytoplankton as Bio-indicator in Burullus Lake, Egypt

Abdel-Aziz M. Radwan*, Fathy T. Tayel, Amal M.H. Morsy, Mahmoud A. Abdelmoneim, and Afifi I. Basiony

Marine Pollution Department, National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Alexandria, Egypt

Article Info

Article history:

Received 27/3/2018

Received in revised form 3/5/2018

Accepted 7/5/2018

Keywords:

Burullus Lake
Phytoplankton
Bio-indicator
Freshwater
Pollution
Eutrophication

Abstract

Lake Burullus face tremendous ecological stresses due to rising of pollution originated from the discharges of the drains without treatment; eight stations were monitored in order to assess the eutrophication and the pollution levels at these stations using some species of phytoplankton as bio-indicator to the pollution. An average density of 1.406000 units l^{-1} phytoplankton belonging to four divisions namely; *Euglenophyceae*, *Bacillariophyceae*, *Chlorophyceae* and *Cyanophyceae* were identified.

The most abundant genera at these stations were *Euglena* spp. and *Phacus* spp. (euglenoids), *Scenedesmus* spp. (chlorophytes), *Cydotella* spp. and *Nitzschia* sp. (*Bacillariophyceae*), while the genera of *Merismopedia* spp. and *Microcystis* spp. were the most dominant among cyanophytes (blue-green algae). These genera were the most dominant at the stations near to the drains which affected by the discharges originated from the drains (organic pollution) as well as the high levels of nutrient salts especially ammonia nitrogen (eutrophication). The members of euglenoids were the most indicators to the pollution at station 2, 3 and 7 near to the drains.

1. Introduction

Lake Burullus belong administratively to Kafr El-Sheikh province. It lies in a central position between two branches of the Nile along Mediterranean coast in the north part of Nile Delta connecting with the sea through Boughaz El-Burullus opening.

From the new records after the cleaning process at the eastern part of the lake at Baltim City, the lake has a total area 453 Km². The length of the lake from Boughaz El-Burullus till Brimbal cannal in the extremely western side of the lake (47 km), on the other hand, the width of the lake varies from site to other. The western sector has the least width not exceed 5km, while the eastern and middle sectors were 14 and 11 km respectively. The depth in the lake increase gradually from the east (20 cm) to middle and western sector about 70 to 120 cm respectively.

Many authors studied the using of phytoplankton species as indicator to the water quality and pollution as well as the

eutrophication, such as Reynolds (1984a), Reynolds (1997), Moss (1998), Straskraba and Tundisi (1999), Reynolds and Peterson (2000), Reynolds *et al.* (2002), Brettum and Adersen (2005), Radwan (2007) studies the impact of pollution on the phytoplankton community in lake Burullus and Amphorn and Wanninee (2012).

Further, other studies reported the distribution pattern of phytoplankton with respect to the degree of water pollution such as chaudhary of Pillai, 2009; Singh & Balasingh 2011; Ghosh *et al.*, 2012 and Maske *et al.*, 2010. The aim of the present work is to study the qualitative and quantitative of phytoplankton community in Lake Burullus as well as the using of some species as indicators to the pollution at the different localities of the lake.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Sampling localities and stations

Eight stations were chosen as Table (1) and Figure (1) representing the whole area of the lake stations 1, 2 and 3 from the eastern sector, while stations 4-7 from the middle sector and station 8 from the western sector Figure (1). The selected sampling stations presented in Table 1 was recorded by GPS.

*Correspondence author:

Tel.:01227523265

E-mail address: radwanvet47@gmail.com

Subsurface water samples (20 cm depth) were taken from each station at the same time for chemical and phytoplankton analyses. The chemical variables (Dissolved oxygen, salinity and pH) were determined according to APHA (1989). Nutrient salts were analyzed according to Grasshoff *et al.* (1999).

The identification of phytoplankton species was carried out using a binocular research microscope model XSZ –10BN Germany – No. 009707. Sedimentation technique used for the qualitative and quantitative analysis of phytoplankton. The cell count of hemocytometer used for counting the phytoplankton species according to Prescott (1978), Bold and Wynne (1978) and Vinard (1979). Where; One drop from the concentrated sample of phytoplankton on the groove of the hemocytometer chamber, allow the concentrated sample to flow under the cover glass then allow the cells settle for about

3 minutes, the count of phytoplankton species were carried out in four large squares as the following:

The depth of the counting chamber is 0.1 mm and the area counted is 4 square mm (4 squares are counted, each with an area of one square mm therefore, $4 \times 1.0 \text{ sq mm} =$ a total of 4 sq mm). The volume counted is: $\text{area} \times \text{depth} = \text{volume}$ $4 \text{ sq mm} \times 0.1 = 0.4 \text{ cu mm}$.

Number of species per liter = number of species counted as an average $4 \text{ sq} \times \text{conc factor} \times 1000/0.4$

2.2. Statistical analysis

The relationship and correlation coefficient between some hydro-chemical parameters and the total count of phytoplankton were carried out using statistical SPSS program.




Fig. 1. Map showing sampling stations in Lake Burullus

Table 1: Latitude and Longitude of sampling stations of Lake Burullus

St. No	Station	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
1	Infront of El-Burullus Drain	31° 33` 29.9``	30° 04` 25.3``
2	El-Boughaz (outlet)	31° 34` 27.6``	30° 29` 28.4``
3	Drain 7	31° 27` 26.1``	30° 56` 17.5``
4	El-Zankah	31° 27` 53.3``	30° 47` 10.0``
5	Mastaroh	31° 29` 09.0``	30° 45` 24.4``
6	El-Tawillah	31° 26` 50.2``	30° 46` 10.0``
7	El-Shakhloubah (Drain 8&9)	31° 24` 46.9``	30° 45` 54.9``
8	Abou-Amer	31° 24` 17.5``	30° 30` 01.9``

3. Results and Discussion

Many authors discussed the distribution and relations of phytoplankton with some factors like lake temperature, pH, Transparency, sun light and nutrient enrichment. They noticed that each lake habitat is different from other lake habitat and concluded that phytoplankton is a bio-indicator for impacts of influencing factors. Phytoplankton aid in monitoring and assessing the strategies of the freshwater lake management (Manisha *et al.*, 2013).

The phytoplankton has been long used as an effective water bio-indicator that is sensitive to environmental changes. Some species thrive in highly eutrophic waters, where some species are very sensitive to environmental changes (Amphon and Wanninee, 2013).

The detailed study of phytoplankton at the different stations of Lake Burullus water revealed that, the diversity and flourishing of phytoplankton was affected mainly by the nutrient conditions of water as well as the different sources of pollution from the discharges originated from the drains (Radwan, 2007).

In our investigation, the phytoplankton community at the stations of Lake Burullus was more productive due to the pronounced increase of some species and classes at some stations near to the drains as shown in Table 2 which indicate the dominance and flourishing of class *Euglenophyceae* at station 7 recording about (1.145×10^3 cell l⁻¹); in the middle sector of the lake in front of drain 8 & 9 followed by class *Bacillariophyceae* (1×10^6 cell l⁻¹) at the same station, also the observation was recorded at station 3 in front of drain 7 in the eastern sector where the class *Euglenophyceae* was the most dominant recording about (960×10^3 cell l⁻¹) due to the high load of discharges from the drain related to the high level of ammonia nitrogen (Table 3).

In this context, the *euglenoids* were the main component and most abundant among the standing crop of phytoplankton at the stations near to the drains. This attributed to the heavy load of organic matters discharged from the drains which lead to the flourish of the members belonging to this class, with taken in our consideration that this class not detected at the station further away from the drains.

The members of this class represented by eight species namely; *Phacus longicauda*, *P. Macrostigma*, *P. Sestosa*, *P. Pleuronectes*, *Euglena acus*, *E. promxia*, *E. gracilis* and *E. granulate*. The flourish of these species was dependent mainly upon the load of organic pollution originated from the drains in addition to the concentration of nutrients salts especially the high level of ammonia (eutrophication).

Many studies cleared that the occurrence and flourish of *Euglena* was due to the organic pollution (Abdallah *et al.*, 1991), Kimor, (1992) reported that the nutrients enrichment from sewage tends to stimulate the development of algal blooms especially microflagellate mainly euglenoids and coccoid forms, other study was carried out by Amphon and Wannine (2013) who reported that the rivers and lakes with stagnant and weak water currents always contain Euglenophyta such as *Euglena* spp. and *Phacus* spp. *Egulena* are indicator to eutrophic waters and organic pollution.

Concerning, the distribution of chlorophytes members at the different stations, it's obvious that class Chlorophyceae was the most abundant at station 7 in front of drain 8 & 9 which characterized by heavy load of organic matters originated from the drains (sewage and fish farms effluents) recording about (930×10^3 unit l⁻¹). The species of *Scenedesmus quadricauda* was more productive among the chlorophytes members at this station influenced mainly by the organic matter discharged, in addition to the low level of salinity which enhance the flourish of *Scenedesmus* spp. this observation agree with Walsh and Merrill (1984) who, reported that the effect of sewage upon the algal blooms due to the organic pollutions especially *Scenedesmus* spp., *Ankistrodesmus* sp. and *Cosmarium* sp. as shown at station 1 in front of El-Burullus drain which characterized by the high load of organic discharges. This observation coincided with Radwan (1994), who reported that the flourish of *Scenedesmus* were due to the organic pollution.

The distribution of *Bacillariophyceae* species at the different stations indicated that, the dominant genera of diatoms frequently recorded in the lake were *Cyclotella* spp., *Nitzschia* spp. and *Cocconeis* sp., while the other genera persisted as infrequent. The genus of *Cyclotella* spp. was the most dominant at station 7 constituting about 46% of the total bacillariophytes at this station (Table 2), since this station was affected by the discharges of drain 8 & 9 with heavy load of organic pollution. The genus *Cyclotella* spp. was represented by two species namely; *C. meneghiniana* and *C. Kutzingiana* recording about 260×10^3 and 200×10^3 cell l⁻¹ respectively, while the genus of *Nitzschia* spp. formed about 31% of the bacillariophytes at the same station represented by two species namely; *N. longissima* and *N. abtusa* recording about 180×10^3 and 130×10^3 cell l⁻¹ respectively. The same observations were coincided with Sabatar and Sabater (1988) who reported that, the genus *cyclotella* spp. can tolerate different pollution conditions, Abdallah *et al.* (1991) found that the species of *cyclotella* and *Nitzschia* developed with the increase of organic load, other observation was recorded by Abdel Hamid (1986) who found that, the distribution of these genera was influenced by the load of organic matter. In general, the diatoms recorded the highest count at this station due to the high load of discharges from the drains and this agree with Mukherjee *et al.* (2010) who noticed that, the blooms of diatoms occurred in Ranchi lake were due to the organic matter with high concentrations in November month.

From the check list in Appendix, the members of *Cyanophyceae* were the most diversity especially at stations near to the point of discharges originated from the drains (St. 7) in front of drain 8 & 9, also the blue green algae were recorded the highest count (456.000 unit l⁻¹) which decreased gradually with further away from the drains (Table 2& Figure 2). The genera of *Merismopedia* spp., *Chroococcus* spp., *Microcystis* spp. and *Oscillatoria* sp. were the most productive and important genera among the cyanophytes especially in front of the drains which characterized by heavy load of organic pollution from the discharges (sewage and fish farms). These observations were supported by Radwan (1994) who mentioned that, the flourish of cyanophytes were influenced mainly by the organic pollution and temperature in addition to the high load of nutrient salts (eutrophications). Other investigators noticed that, the maximum distribution of

cyanophytes were correlated with domestic sewage (Saad and Antoine, 1983), Wang and Zhang (1993) reported that, in Summer, Autumn and Winter the dominant species of blue-green algal especially *Microcystis* spp. indicated that the lake under study suffered from pollution and can be regarded as a blue-green algae-eutrophic lake, the study of Rodrigues *et al.* (1995) revealed that, with the increasing supply of nutrients contributed to an increasing eutrophication and the blue-green algae dominated in Fumas Lake.

The results obtained from the statistical analysis as shown in Table (4) were indicated that, a highly significant positive correlation was found between ammonia & nitrate and phosphate & nitrate ($r= 0.89$ and $r= 0.72$ respectively). This indicate that, the nutrient salts in the lake represent the most effective on the flourishing of phytoplankton especially in front of the drains this observation is agreeing with Nassar

(2007) who reported that, the relation between the nutrient salts (ammonia, Nitrate and Phosphate) was significant positive correlation, while the relation was inverse correlation between the dissolved oxygen and the total count of phytoplankton ($r= -0.36$). This is may be due to the high amounts of organic matters which decomposed by the bacterial activities leading to the consumption of oxygen at the same time flourishing of phytoplankton occur due to the enrichment of nutrient salts originated from the discharges of the drains. The water temperature exhibited insignificant correlation ($r= 0.41$). This coincided with those obtained by Behrendt (1990) who noticed that the effect of temperature variations on phytoplankton mostly manifested on periodicity and community's succession of algae but not necessary on the total count.

Table 2: Average numbers of the different phytoplankton classes (units or cells $l^{-1} \times 10^3$) recorded in the different stations

St. No.	Class				
	Euglenophyceae	Chlorophyceae	Bacillariophyceae	Cyanophyceae	Total
	Cell l^{-1}	Unit l^{-1}	Cell l^{-1}	Unit l^{-1}	Unit l^{-1}
1	284	250	125	130	789
2	-	70	275	295	640
3	960	450	125	275	1.810
4	-	155	120	155	430
5	375	900	135	215	1.625
6	rare	860	110	115	1.085
7	1145	930	1000	456	3.531
8	-	810	150	378	1.338
Average	346	553	255	252	1.406
Percent.	25	39	18	18	100%




Fig. 2. Distribution of phytoplankton classes within different stations

Table 3: Physio-chemical parameters of water samples at the different stations of Lake Burullus

Parameters	Eastern Sector				Middle Sector			Western sector
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
T°C	19.2	18.9	19.8	19.5	21.5	21.4	20.5	20.2
EC ms/cm	31.8	63.3	9.11	10.17	9.29	8.61	4.52	9.00
pH	9.07	8.89	9.06	9.01	9.18	8.96	8.87	8.99
Trans.	20	20	10	10	20	20	20	20
Depth	100	60	70	80	120	100	120	120
NH ₃	123	69	96	119	60	288	79	96
PO ₄	40.74	13.17	36.75	50.23	75.34	197.24	56.97	54.21
NO ₃	178.73	52.4	71.36	139.27	246.7	395.98	281.44	325.23
NO ₂	125.44	20.02	30.03	38.16	33.16	71.95	194.25	62.56
SiO ₄	1907.5	2849	3097.5	3101	2383.5	2894.5	2940	2177
DO	10.8	8.5	9.7	12.9	13.1	13.8	8.7	8.7
OM	18	6	4	2	18	10	10	2

Trans: Transparency, EC: Electrical conductivity, DO: dissolved oxygen, OM: organic matter.

Table 4: Pearson-moment correlation (r) between different studied variables. EC: Electrical conductivity, Trans: Transparency, DO: dissolved Oxygen, OM: Organic Matter, T_Count: Total count

Variable	EC	pH	Trans	NH ₃	PO ₄	NO ₃	NO ₂	SiO ₄	DO	OM	T°C	T_Count
EC	1.00											
pH	-0.28	1.00										
Trans	0.27	-0.19	1.00									
NH ₃	-0.23	-0.15	0.07	1.00								
PO ₄	-0.44	0.00	0.24	0.89**	1.00							
NO ₃	-0.58	-0.06	0.54	0.56	0.72*	1.00						
NO ₂	-0.26	-0.38	0.39	0.05	0.08	0.41	1.00					
SiO ₄	-0.13	-0.42	-0.59	0.15	0.12	-0.26	-0.18	1.00				
DO	-0.36	0.51	-0.15	0.57	0.67	0.33	-0.25	0.07	1.00			
OM	0.06	0.46	0.55	0.02	0.19	0.21	0.34	-0.54	0.34	1.00		
T°C	-0.67	0.28	0.30	0.37	0.75*	0.77*	0.10	0.01	0.53	0.34	1.00	
T_Count	-0.48	-0.25	0.21	-0.24	0.01	0.32	0.69	0.16	-0.36	0.14	0.41	1.00

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

References

- Abdel-Hamid, M.I. (1986): Ecological studies on algal pollution of Damietta branch and the possibility of their use as water pollution indicator M.Sc. Thesis, Botany Department, Mansoura University, 260 pp.
- Amphorn, S. and Wanminee (2012): phytoplankton as Bio-indicator of water quality in the freshwater Area of Pak Phanang River Basin (Southern Thailand). *Chiang Mai Journal of Science*, 40(3):344-355.
- APHA (1989). Standard methods for the examination of water and wast water 17th Ed. New York, 626p.
- Behrendt, H. (1990): The chemical composition of phytoplankton and zooplankton in trophic shallow lakes. *Arch. Hydrobiology*, 118 (2): 129-145.
- Brettum P and Adreson, T. (2005): The use of phytoplankton as indicators of water quality. NIVA. Report SNO 4818-2004.
- Bold, H.C, and Wynne, M.J. (1978): Introduction to the algae structure and reproduction prentice – Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632.
- Chaudhary, R., Pillai; 2009. Algae biodiversity and related physic-chemical parameters in Sashamcottah lake, Kerala (India). *Journal of Environmental Research and Development*, 3:3.
- Ghosh, S., Barinova, S., Keshri, J.P.; 2012. Diversity and seasonal variation of phytoplankton community in the Santragachi Lake, West Bengal, India. *Oscience Connect*.3.
- Grasshoff, K.; Kremling, K. and Ehrhardt, M., 1999. Methods of Sea water analysis, 3rd edition, Weinheim, New York, Wiley-VCH, p.600.
- Kimor, B. (1992): The impact of eutrophication on phytoplankton composition in coastal marine ecosystems. In marine coastal eutrophication (Vollen weider, R.A., et al., eds.) pp. 871-818 (Marine).
- Manisha, D. Giripunje; AbhAY, B. Fulke; Krisna Khairnar, P.U. Meshram; Waman N. Paunikar, (2013): A review of phytoplankton ecology in freshwater lakes of India. Council of science and Industrial Research-National Environmental Engineering Research institute, India. *Lakes, reservoir and ponds*, 7(2): 127-141.
- Maske,S., Sangolkar L.N., Chakrabarti.; 2010. Temporal variation in density and dirersity of cyanobacteria and cyanotoxins in lake at Nagpur (Maharashtra State), India. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 169:299-308.
- Moss, B. (1998): Ecology of Freshwaters Man and A medium past to Future 3rd edition. Blackwell science Ltd.
- Mukherjee, B., Nivedita, M. Mukherjee D., (2010): Plankton diversity and dynamics in a polluted eutrophic lake, Ranchi. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 31: 827-839.
- Nassar, M.Z. (2007): Nutrients and phytoplankton distribution in the coastal waters of Aqaba Gulf, Red Sea, Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, 33 (2): 133-151.
- Prescott, G.W. (1978): How to know fresh water algae W.M.C. Brown Co. Dubque . Iowa. USA.
- Radwan A.M. (2007). Impact of pollution on the primary production of phytoplankton in Lake Burullus. *African Journal of Biological Sciences*, 3(1):35-49.
- Radwan, A.M., (1994): Study on the pollution of Damietta branch and its effects on the phytoplankton Ph.D. Thesis, Botany Department, faculty of Science, Tanta University, 289 pp.
- Reynold, C., Huszar, V., Kruk C., Naselli-Flores L., and Melos (2002): Towards a functional classification of the fresh phytoplankton. *Journal of plankton. Research*, 24: 417-428.
- Reynolds C.S. (1984a) The ecology of inland waters and estuaries Reinhold Publ. Corp., N.Y.375.
- Reynolds C.S. and Peterson A.C. (2000): The distribution of planktonic Cyanobacteria in Irish Lakes in relation to their trophic states. *Hydrobiologia*, 424: 91-99.
- Reynolds, C.S. (1997): Vegetation processes in the pelagic. Amodel for ecosystem theory. In: Kinne O. (ed.). Excellence in ecology. Ecology Institute. Oldender / Luhe. Germany, pp 1-371.
- Rodrigues, A.M.F., Santos, M.C.R. Santana, F.J.P. & Sobral, P. (1995): Phytoplankton and eutrophication of Fumas Lake (S.Miguel islands, Azores) F. (Freshwater).
- Sabater, S. and Sabater (1988): Diatoms assemblages in the River Ter. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 111 (3): 397-408.
- Samaan, A.A. and Ghobrial, M.G., (1991): Eutrophication in Lake Maruit. *Bulletin National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries*, 17 (1): 157-166.
- Singh, R.P., Balasingh, G.S.; 2011. Limnological studies of Kodaikanal Lake (Dindgal District), in Special Referance to phytoplankton.
- Straskraba, M. and Tundisi, J.G.(1999): Reservoir water Quality Management. Guidelmes of Lake Management. Vol. 9. Int. Lake Environ. Committee (ILEC) Shiga. Jaolan.
- Vinyard , W.C. (1979) : Diatoms of North America Textbook.New York , Third edition 293pp .
- Walsh, G.E. and Merrill, R.G. (1984): Algal Bioassays of Industrial and Energy Process Effluents In: Algae as Ecological indicators ed. L, Elliot Shubert. Academic Press. London pp. 434.
- Wang, Li, B. & Zhang, L. (1993): An evaluating of water pollution and eutrophication in the Dalaihu Lake using phytoplankton communities. *Acta Hydrobiol. Sin.* Shuisheng Shenggu xue bao, 17, 27-34F (Fresh water).

Appendix: Check list of phytoplankton species identified and counted at the selected stations in Lake Burullus.

Phytoplankton species	No. of cells l ⁻¹
Station 1 (El-Burullus east drain)	
- Euglenophyceae	
<i>Euglena acus</i> Her.	80.000
<i>E. gracilis</i> K1bs	50.000
<i>Phacus longicawda</i> (Her) Dujadin	60.000
<i>Ph. Sestosa</i>	54.000
<i>Ph. Macrostigma</i>	40.000
Total count Euglenophyceae	284.000
- Chlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmas quadricauda</i>	40.000
<i>S. acuminatus</i>	20.000
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i>	60.000
<i>Cosmarium galeatum</i>	45.000
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	40.000
<i>Scenedesmas bijugatus</i>	20.000
<i>OOCystis borgi</i>	25.000
Total count of Chlorophyceae	250.000
- Cyanophyceae	
<i>Oscillatoria limnetica</i> Lemm	65.000
<i>Merismopedia punctata</i> Meyen	35.000
<i>Chroococcus limneticus</i>	30.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	130.000
4- Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Her.	45.000
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> (Breb) Ralfs	20.000
<i>Cyclotella kutzingiana</i> thwait	60.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	125.000
Total count (TC) of phy. at station 1	789.000
Station 2 (In front El-Boughaz (outlet))	
Euglenophyceae	Not detected
Chlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (Turp.) Kutz	45.000
<i>Chlorore;;a vulgaris lenuissima</i> lemm.	25.000
Total count of chlorophyceae	70.000
Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Navicula gracillia</i> Breb	35.000
<i>Navicula humerosa</i> Breb	45.000
<i>Navicula cryptocephala</i> kutz	30.000
<i>Navicula schizonmenoids</i> H.van H.	60.000
<i>Synedra ulna</i> Her	20.000
<i>Cyclotella kutzingiana</i> Thwaites	75.000
<i>Dialoma hiemale</i>	10.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	275.000
Cyanophyceae	
<i>Chroococcus dispersus</i> (keissl.) lemmer	25.000
<i>Microcystis incerta</i> lemmerman	50.000
<i>Gloecapsa rupestris</i> kuetzing	70.000
<i>Chroococcus turgidus</i> (kutz)	35.000
<i>Chroococcus limneticus</i> lemmermann	80.000
<i>Merismopedia tenuissima</i>	35.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	295.000
Total count of phy. at st. (2)	640.000 units l ⁻¹

Station 3 (In front of Drain 7)	
Euglenophyceae	
<i>Euglena acus</i> Ehrenberg	230.000
<i>E. gracilis</i> klebs	150.000
<i>E. granulata</i> lemm	50.000
<i>E. promxia</i> dangeard	70.000
<i>Phacus macrostigma</i> pachmann	160.000
<i>Ph. Peuronectus</i> (Muell) Dujardin	60.000
<i>Ph. Sestosa</i>	80.000
<i>Ph. Longicauda</i> (Her.) Dujardin	160.000
Total count of Euglenophyceae	960.000
Chlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmus a cuminatus</i> (Lagerh) chodat	130.000
<i>Scenedesmus quatricauda</i> (Turp) Breb	150.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (turp) kutz.	110.000
<i>Ankistrodesmus falactus</i> var. <i>acicularis</i>	20.000
<i>Cosmarium galeatum</i> W. G.S. west	5.000
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> Beij	9.000
<i>Chlorococcum humicola</i> (Nag.)	25.000
<i>Coelastrum</i> sp.	1.000
Total count of Chlorophyceae	450.000
Cyanophyceae	
<i>Merismopedia elegans</i> (Braun)	80.000
<i>Merismopedia glauca</i> (Ehrenb) Nagelei	60.000
<i>Merismopedia minima</i> Beck	40.000
<i>Microcystis incerta</i> Lemm.	60.000
<i>Spirolena</i> sp.	5.000
<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> kutz: emend Elenkin	30.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	275.000
Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i>	40.000
<i>Nitzschia longissimi</i>	50.000
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i>	29.000
<i>Navicula viridula</i>	6.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	125.000
Total count of phy. at st. (3)	
	1.810.000 units 1-1
Station 4 (El-Zankah)	
Chlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (Turp) Breb	80.000
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> Beij	30.000
<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i> (Turpin) kutz	20.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (turp) kutz	15.000
<i>Pediastrum boryanum</i> (turp) Menegh	10.000
Total count of Chlorophyceae	155.000
Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Her.	90.000
<i>Cycloella meneghiniana</i> kutz	30.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	120 .000
Cyanophyceae	
<i>Merismophedia punctata</i> Meyen	50.000
<i>Merismopedia tenuissima</i> Lemm	65.000
<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> kutz. Emeno	40.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	155.000
Euglenophyceae	Not detected
Total count of phyt. at st. 4	
	430.000

Station 5 (Mastrouh)	
Chlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (Turp.) Breb	200.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (Turp.) Kuetz	160.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> var <i>altermans</i> Hansg	110.000
<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i> (Turpin) kutz	95.000
<i>Sphaerocystis schroeteri</i>	80.000
<i>Botryococcus braunii</i>	110.000
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> Meyen	60.000
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> Beij	35.000
<i>Chlorococcum humicola</i> (Nag)	50.000
Total count of Chlorophyceae	900.000
Euglenophyceae	
<i>Euglena acus</i> Ehrenberg	75.000
<i>Euglena promixia</i> Dangeard	80.000
<i>Phacus longicauda</i>	130.000
<i>Phacus macrostigma</i> Pachmann	90.000
Total count of Euglenophyceae	375.000
Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Ehrenberg	70.000
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> kutz	20.000
<i>Nitzschia lonissima</i> (Breb) Ralfs	45.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	135.000
Cyanophyceae	
<i>Microcystis ineerta</i> Lemm	50.000
<i>Merismopedia temuissima</i> Lemm	65.000
<i>Merismopedia punctata</i> Meyen	40.000
<i>Chroococcus disperses</i> (Keissl.) Lemm.	60.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	215.000
Total count of phyt. at st. (5)	1.625.000
Station 6 (El-Tawillah)	
Chlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i>	240.000
<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i>	200.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (Turp.) Kuetz	160.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> var <i>altermans</i> Hansg	90.000
<i>Pediastrum boryanum</i>	50.000
<i>Cosmarium galeatum</i>	30.000
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i> var. <i>acicularis</i>	45.000
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	45.000
Total count of Chlorophyceae	860.000
Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i>	70.000
<i>Cyclotella kutzingiana</i>	20.000
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i>	20.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	110.000
Cyanophyceae	
<i>Merismopedia elegans</i>	30.000
<i>Merismopedia minima</i>	25.000
<i>Merismopedia enuissima</i>	40.000
<i>Chroococcus limneticus</i>	20.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	115.000
Euglenophyceae	
<i>Phacus pleuronectes</i>	
Total count of phyt. at st. (6)	1.085.000

Station 7 (El-Shakhloubah)	
Euglenophyceae	
<i>Phacus longicauda</i> +++	360.000
<i>Phacus macrostigma</i> ++ pachmann	120.000
<i>Phacus sestosa</i> ++	160.000
<i>Phacus pleuronectes</i> +	60.000
<i>Euglena acus</i> Ehrenberg	210.000
<i>Euglena promxia</i> Dangeard	110.000
<i>Euglena gracilis</i> klebs	60.000
<i>Euglena granulate</i> Lemm	65.000
Total count of Euglenophyceae	1.145.000
Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> kutzing	260.000
<i>Cyclotella kutzingiana</i> thwaites	200.000
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Ehrenberg	140.000
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> (Breb) Ralfs	180.000
<i>Nitzschia obtusa</i> W. smith	130.000
<i>Nitzschia palea</i> (kutzing) W. smith	90.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	1.000.000
Chlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (1) (Turp) Breb	20.000
<i>Scenedesmus acuminatus</i> (Lagerh) chodat	120.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (Turp) kuetz	85.000
<i>Ankistrodesmus falactus</i> var <i>spirilligormis</i>	70.000
<i>Botryococcus braunii</i> kuetzing	75.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> var <i>alternans</i> Hansg	110.000
<i>Pediastrum boryanum</i> (Turp.) Meneghini	50.000
<i>Pediastrum tetras</i> (Ehrenb.) Ralfs	60.000
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> Meyen	60.000
<i>Actinastrum hantzschii</i> larger	50.000
Total count of Chlorophyceae	930.000
Cyanophyceae	
<i>Merismopedia elegans</i> Braum	60.000
<i>Merismopedia glauca</i> (Ehrenb) Negeler (1)	80.000
<i>Merismopedia minima</i> Beck	25.000
<i>Merismopedia tenuissima</i> Lemmermann	50.000
<i>Microcystis incerta</i> Lemm.	75.000
<i>Chroococcus limneticus</i> Lemmermann	70.000
<i>Chroococcus dispersus</i> (Keissl) Lemmermann	65.000
<i>Anabaenopsis circularis</i> (G.S. West) Wol & Miller	20.000
<i>Spirulina major</i>	11.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	456.000
Total count of phyt. at st. (7)	3.531.000

Station 8 (Abou Amer)	
C	
hlorophyceae	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (Turp) Breb	400.000
<i>Scenedesmus accuminatus</i> (Lagerh) chodat	150.000
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (Turp.) Kuetz	60.000
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> Beij	50.000
<i>Pediastrum boryanum</i> (Turp) Menegh	20.000
<i>Chlorococcum humicola</i> (Nag)	55.000
<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i> (Turpin) kutz	35.000
<i>Ankistrodemus falcatus</i> var <i>spirilliformis</i>	25.000
<i>Cosmarium galeatum</i> G.S. west W.& S. West	10.000
<i>Coelastrum</i> sp.	5.000
Total count of Chlorophyceae	810.0000
Cyanophyceae	
<i>Merismophedia elegans</i> Braum	60.000
<i>Merismopedia glauca</i> (Ehrenb) Nagelei	50.000
<i>Merismopedia minima</i> Beck	20.000
<i>Merismopedia tenuissima</i> lemmerman	40.000
<i>Microcystis incerta</i> lemm	25.000
<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> kutz	30.000
<i>Chrococcus disperses</i> (Keissl) Lemmermann	55.000
<i>Chrococcus limnetica</i> Lemmermann	60.000
<i>Chrococcus turgidus</i> (kutz) Naegreli	30.000
<i>Oscillatoria limnetica</i> Lemm.	8.000
Total count of Cyanophyceae	378.000
Bacillariophyceae	
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Her. ++	35.000
<i>Cyclotella kutzingiana</i> thwait ++	50.000
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> kutz +++	65.000
Total count of Bacillariophyceae	150.000
Euglenophyceae	Not detected
Total count of phyt. at st. (8)	1.338.000

ملخص البحث

رصد بيئي لتلوث المياه والزيادة المفرطة للخصوبة باستخدام الهائمات النباتية كمؤشرات حيوية للتلوث في بحيرة البرلس

فتحي توفيق طابيل
محمود أحمد عبد المنعم

عبد العزيز محمد رشاد رضوان
أمال محمد حسين مرسى

عفيفي ابراهيم بسيوني

قسم التلوث البحري ، المعهد القومي لعلوم البحار والمصايد، الاسكندرية ، مصر

تعتبر بحيرة البرلس واحدة من أهم البحيرات الشمالية من حيث الانتاجية، وهي ثاني أكبر البحيرات الشمالية من حيث المساحة، وهي الان تواجه إجهاد بيئي شديد بسبب ما يلقي بها من ملوثات من خلال مصارف مختلفة تلقي بمخلفاتها داخل البحيرة بدون معالجة. وقد أختير لهذه الدراسة ثمانية محطات تم جمع العينات منها لتقييم مستويات التلوث والزيادة المفرطة للخصوبة وذلك باستخدام بعض أنواع الهائمات النباتية كمؤشرات حيوية لتواجد الملوثات في المناطق المختلفة من خلال المحطات المختارة. وقد توصلنا من خلال دراسة مجتمع الهائمات النباتية أن متوسط أعداد الأجناس المختلفة الممثلة للهائمات النباتية في البحيرة 1,406,000 وحدة في اللتر تنتمي إلى أربعة طوائف وهي:

Euglenophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, and Cyanophyceae

وقد تبين أن جنسي *Euglena spp.*, *Phacus spp.* هما الأكثر سيادة في مناطق التلوث وأمام المصارف بالنسبة لطائفة Euglenophyceae بينما كان جنسي *Cylotella spp.*, *Nitzschia ssp.* هما الأكثر سيادة بالنسبة لطائفة Bacillariophyceae في مناطق التلوث وأمام المصارف. وقد تبين أن جنس *Scenedesmus spp.* كان الأكثر سيادة في مناطق التلوث بالنسبة لطائفة Chlorophyceae بينما كان جنسي *Merismopedia spp.*, *Mycrocystis spp.* الأكثر تواجدا في مناطق التلوث بالنسبة لطائفة Cyanophyceae. وقد تبين من الدراسة أيضا وبصورة واضحة أن الأنواع التابعة لطائفة Euglenophyceae كانت الأكثر وضوحا كمؤشرات حيوية للتلوث العضوي في البحيرة خاصة أمام مصارف 2، 3 و 7 مع زيادة الأملاح المغذية (الأمونيا) والمواد العضوية وهذا أدى بطبيعة الحال إلى زيادة مفرطة للخصوبة كمصدر غير مباشر للتلوث.



Journal of Environmental Sciences

JOESE 5



Monitoring of Water Pollution and Eutrophication Using Phytoplankton as Bio-indicator in Burullus Lake, Egypt

**Abdel-Aziz M. Radwan*, Fathy T. Tayel, Amal M.H. Morsy,
Mahmoud A. Abdelmoneim, and Afifi I. Basiony**

Marine Pollution Department, National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Alexandria, Egypt

Reprint

**Volume 47, Number 1-2: 63-74
(2018)**