EFFECT OF REGULATED DEFICIT IRRIGATION AND BORON RATES ON SUGAR BEET PRODUCTIVITY IN NEWLY RECLAIMED SOILS

A.M. Osman⁽¹⁾, K.M. Agami⁽²⁾, H.M. El-Sharnoby⁽³⁾ and A.Kh. Abdelhalim⁽¹⁾

- (1) Water Requirements and Field Irrigation Research Dept.; Soils, Water and Environment. Res. Inst., ARC, Egypt
- (2) Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Practices; Sugar Crops Res., Inst.; ARC, Egypt
- (3) Department of Agricultural Physiology and Chemistry, Sugar Crops Res., Inst.; ARC, Egypt

Received: May 18, 2019 Accepted: Jun. 27, 2019

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was conducted at Km 71 West Nubaria, Alex./ Cairo desert Road, El-Behiera Government, Egypt, during two successive winter seasons, 2017/18 and 2018/ 19. The objective was to study the effect of five regulated deficit irrigation treatments $[I_1 = No \text{ stress at all physiological stages, } I_2 = \text{skip irrigation at the}$ beginning of development stage (60 days from sowing), I₃ = skip irrigation during development stage (90 days from sowing), I_4 = skip irrigation at mid season (120 days from sowing) and I_5 = skip irrigation at late season (150 days from sowing)], and four rates of boron fertilizer applied as boric acid, $[B_1 = Without B (control), B_2 = 0.5 \text{ kg B/fed}, B_3 = 0.75$ kg B/fed, and B₄ = 1.0 kg B/ fed] on sugar beet yield and quality, amounts of applied irrigation water and water utilization efficiency. The results showed that irrigation treatments and boron rates had a significant effect on root, shoot and sugar yields. The obtained results indicated that the reductions in root yield were 9.55, 6.43, 5.58 and 0.84 t/fed, while for sugar yields were 1.89, 1.34, 1.22 and 0.10 t/fed for I2, I3, I4 and I5, respectively compared to no stress treatment. The results showed that the combined effect of irrigation treatment I1 (no stress at all stages) and boron fertilizer rate B2 (0.5 kg B/fed) gave the highest average values of root yield (35.041 t/fed) and sugar yield (6.315 t/fed) over the two seasons. On the other hand the average amount of applied irrigation values were 2145, 1929, 1822, 1858 and 1895 m³/fed for the I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 and I_5 irrigation treatments, respectively. Likewise, the highest average water utilization efficiency for sugar beet root and sugar yields of 16.523 kg root/m³ water applied and 2.951 kg sugar/m³ water applied were obtained with the irrigation treatment Is (skip irrigation at late season stage) and boron fertilizer B_3 (0.75 kg B/ fed) over two seasons. It could be maintained herein that the no stress irrigation water I1, with 0.75 kg B/fed treatment achieved the highest yield of sugar beet (root and sugar) of the availability of sufficient irrigation water. Otherwise it is suggested to follow the irrigation treatment I_5 (no irrigation at late season) and applying boron fertilizer at rate of 0.75 kg B/fed obtain high sugar beet yields.

Key words: Sugar beet - water stress - Boron - sugar yield - Water utilization

INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) is one of the important winter crops in Egypt. It is well adapted to Egyptian environment especially in the newly reclaimed calcareous and sandy soils. The crop has essential position in winter crop rotation, not only in fertile soils but also in poor sandy, saline-alkaline and calcareous soils. Also, it consumes less water than sugar cane. Water use efficiency for producing one kilogram of sugar needs



about 1.4 and 4.0 m³ water by sugar beet and sugar cane, respectively (Quda, 2001). The need for water by different plant species depend on how much moisture stress, they are able to tolerate at any particular stage of growth. English (1990) stated that, controlled deficit irrigation proved to be an efficient tool for further research. Under water shortage conditions, this technique relates the drought stress on the plant at a given phonological stage to possible decreases in the production or quality of the crop harvested. Doorenbos and Pruitt (1975) described the physiological stages of sugar beet as: initial (25-30 days), crop development (35-60 days), mid- season (50-70 days), late season (30-50 days), and ripening (till harvesting). Yonts et al. (1999) showed that limited irrigation under furrow or sprinkler systems resulted in decrease in root and sugar yields by 3.8 t/ha and 0.6 t/ha, respectively compared to the full irrigation treatment. Emara et al. (2000) showed that the average seasonal water applied for sugar beet was ranged between 2720 to 1699 m³/ fed. (64.8- 40.4 cm) depending upon stress status. Ibrahim et al. (2002) showed that the highest values of sugar beet root yield (34.95 and 30.20 t/fed) and sugar yield (5.00 and 3.18 t/ fed) were obtained under drought period of 6 weeks before harvesting in the first and second seasons, respectively in clay soil.

Boron is, by far, the most important trace element needed by sugar beet because the yield and quality of roots is very depressed without an adequate supply of it (Cooke and Scott, 1993; and Allen et al. 2007). Adequate boron (B) nutrition is critical for high yields and quality of crops. Boron increases the rate of transport of sugars (which are produced by photosynthesis in mature plant leaves) to actively growing parts and in developing fruits. Hellal et al. (2009)

showed that all boron doses (0, 25, 50 and 100 ppm) as boric acid foliar spray found to give a significant increase in the shoot and root yields of sugar beet as compared to the untreated plants. Application of 50 ppm B was the best for achieving maximum fresh shoot and root yields as compared to other boron treatments. Positive effect of Boron may be due to its role in cell elongation and turgidity, while in case of boron deficiency plant leaves were reported to be smaller, stiff and thick (Brown and Hu, 1996).

This work was carried out to investigate the effect of five regulated deficit irrigation treatments and four boron fertilizer rates on sugar beet yield, quality, amounts of applied water and water utilization efficiency in the newly reclaimed loamy sand soils of West Nubaria region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during the two winter seasons of 2017/18 and 2018/19 at km 71 West Nubaria, Alexandria/Cairo Desert Road, El-Behiera Government, Egypt. Soil samples were collected before sowing from two depths of 0-30 and 30-60 cm to determine soil physical (particle sizes, textural class, and bulk density) and chemical (EC, pH, cations and anions) properties (Page et al., 1982), and some soil hydro-physical (field capacity, wilting point, and available soil moisture) parameters. The values of analysis are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Experimental design and tested variables:

The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replicates. The main plots were assigned to the deficit irrigation treatments, while four boron rates as boric acid (H₃BO₃, 17%) were randomly distributed in the sub-plots. The tested treatments were as follows:

Soil				•	Che	emical	parameters	5		
depth	EC (dS/m)	рН	Ca	Cations (meq/L)				Anions (meq/L)		
(cm)	(43/11)		Ca	Mg	Na	K	HCO₃	CI	SO₄	
0-30	1.14	7.35	3.10	2.30	4.65	1.20	2.72	7.09	0.98	
30- 60	1.04	7.15	3.20	2.40	4.55	1.30	2.70	7.29	0.80	
Soil		•	•	Physical parameters					•	
depth (cm)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Silt (%) Clay (%)		Textural class		c density g/cm³)		
0-30	87.41	1	6.87	6.87		5.72 loam			1.43	
30-60	86.29	•	7.93		5.78	lo	amy Sand		1.59	

Table (1): Some chemical and physical properties of soil at the experimental site.

Table (2): Field capacity (FC), Wilting point (WP), and available soil moisture (ASM) values of the soil at experimental site.

Soil depth (cm)	FC (%)	WP (%)	ASM (%)
0-30	17.60	9.56	8.04
30-60	15.65	8.51	7.14
Average	16.63	9.04	7.59

Deficit irrigation (main plots):

 I_1 = No stress at all physiological stages.

- I₂ = No irrigation at the beginning of development stage (60 days from sowing).
- I₃ = No irrigation at the development stage (90 days from sowing).
- I₄ = No irrigation at mid season (120 days from sowing).
- I₅ = No irrigation at late season (150 days from sowing).

Boron rates (sub-plots):

 B_1 = without (control)

 $B_2 = 0.5 \text{ kg B/fed}$

 $B_3 = 0.75 \text{ kg B/fed}$

 $B_4 = 1.0 \text{ kg B/fed}$

Solutions were prepared for use by dissolving appropriate amount of (H_3BO_3) in 400 L of deionized water and were sprayed in two equal doses after 50 and 70 days from sowing.

Cultural practices:

The area of experimental plot was 21 m² (1/200 fed). Each plot included six

ridges, 0.5 meter apart and 7.0 meters in length. During land preparation, calcium super phosphate (15.5% P_2O_5) at the rate of 100 kg/fed, potassium sulfate (48% K_2O) at the rate of 50 kg/fed were incorporated into the soil. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of Urea (46% N) as side dressing at the rate of 100 kg N/fed, was applied in two equal doses, half after thinning (before the first irrigation) and the other half before the second irrigation.

Sugar beet balls were hand sown, 3-5 balls par hill on one side of the ridge on the 13th and 21st of September and plants were harvested after 6 months at 2017/18 and 2018/19 seasons, respectively. Plants were thinned at the fourth leaf growth stage to obtain one plant/hill. The agricultural common practices for growing sugar beet according to the recommendations of Ministry Agriculture were followed, except for the studied factors. At the harvest time the plants were uprooted and topped, then the following data were collected:

- A- Sugar beet yield and its components:
- I- Yield parameters:
- 1- Root yield (t/fed).
- 2- Shoot yield (t/fed).
- 3- Sugar yield (t/fed). It was calculate as follows:

Sugar yield =
$$Root yield \left(\frac{t}{fed}\right) X sucrose (\%)$$

- II- Quality characters:
- 1- Sucrose percentage.
- 2- Total soluble solids (TSS, %). It was measured in fresh roots juice by using Hand Refractometer according to Me Ginnis (1982).
- 3- Purity percentage.

B- Soil water relations:

1- Potential crop evapotranspiration (ET_p):

Potential crop evapotranspiration (ET_p) values for the two growing seasons were calculated by using the weather data from weather station established at Nubaria Research Station, using CROPWAT model (Smith, 1991) based on FAO, Penman- Monteith method. The equation is given as:

$$\frac{ETo}{0.408 \, \Delta \, (Rn - G) + \gamma \, [900/(T + 273)] \, U_2 \, (e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma \, (1 + 0.34 \, U_2)}$$

where:

Rn = net radiation (MJ $m^{-2}d^{-1}$)

G = soil heat flux (MJ m⁻²d⁻¹)

Δ = slope of vapor pressure and temperature curve (kPa °C⁻¹)

Γ = psychrometric constant (kPa °C-1)

 U_2 = wind speed at 2 m height (ms⁻¹)

 e_s-e_a = vapor pressure deficit (kPa)

T = mean daily air temperature at 2 m height (°C)

The crop evapotranspiration (ETc) values were calculated according to following equation:

$$ETc = ETp X Kc$$

where:

ET_c = Crop evapotranspiration (mm/day).

ET_p= Potential evapotranspiration (mm/day).

K_c = Crop coefficient. The values for sugar beet crop are presented in Table 3.

2- Amount of applied irrigation water (AIW):

The amount of applied irrigation water was measured by a flow meter and was calculated according to the following equation:

$$AIW = \frac{ETc}{Ea\left(1 - LR\right)}$$

where:

AIW = Applied irrigation water depth (mm/day).

E_a = Irrigation efficiency (70% for surface irrigation system under experimental conditions).

LR = Leaching requirements (10% of applied irrigation water).

3- Water utilization efficiency (WUE - kg/ m³):

Water utilization efficiency values were calculated according to Doorenbos and Pruitt (1975) as follows:

1- WUE for roots yield (kg/m³) =

2- WUE for sugar yield (kg/m3) =

Statistical analysis:

Data of the two seasons were combined and analyzed by "MSTAT" computer software package and a least significant difference (L.S.D) method was used to test the differences between treatments. Means at 5% probability level were compared. Statistical analysis was done according to Steel and Torrie (1981).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- A- Sugar beet yield and yield components:
- I- Yield characters (roots, shoot and sugar yields):

The 2017/18 and 2018/19 combined analysis of the effect of irrigation treatments and boron rates on root, shoot and sugar yields (t/fed) is presented in Table 4. The results showed that irrigation treatments and boron fertilizer rates had a significant effect on root, shoot and sugar yields. The highest mean values of 27.252, 7.969, and 4.764 t/fed over the two seasons for root, shoot, and sugar yields, respectively were obtained as affected by the I₁ treatment (no stress at all physiological stages), which may be ascribed to that plants did not suffer from water stress at any stage during the growing season. The obtained data revealed that water stress during late season stage did not significantly reduce root, shoot, and sugar yields. While, the same traits were significantly reduced when the plants were subjected to water stress during beginning, development and mid-season stages as compared to no stress treatment.

The 2-year average recorded in root yields reached 9.55, 6.43, 5.58 and 0.84 t/fed, for shoot yields were 5.06, 3.97, 1.18 and 1.12 t/fed, and for sugar yields were 1.89, 1.34, 1.22 and 0.10 t/fed affected by I_2 , I_3 , I_4 , and I_5 treatments, respectively as compared to non stress (I_1) treatment. Results indicated that, the application of

I₁ (no stress) and I₅ (stress at late season stage) will not significantly affect root, shoot, and sugar yields under experimental conditions. The obtained results may be due to the effect of moisture stress on vegetative growth stage of sugar beet plants. The results agreed with those reported by Ibrahim et al. (2002) and Emara et al. (2000). Also, Wittenmayer and Schiling (1998)mentioned that, as sugar beet is subjected to water stress, the root yield decreased. Dunham (1993) found that early water stress during beginning of development stage decreased root yield more than the late stress.

In regard to the effect of boron fertilizer rates on root, shoot and sugar yields (Table 4). The results indicated that increasing boron rates 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 kg B/fed, increased root yields by 4.449, 6.270 and 3.908 t/fed, shoot yields by 1.595, 2.176 and 1.315 t/fed, and sugar yields by 0.958, 1.207 and 0.746 t/fed, respectively as compared with the control treatment (B₁). Results indicated that, spraying sugar beet crop with 0.75 kg B/fed gave the highest values of root, shoot and sugar yields. The effect of boron application may be due to the important **functions** Ωf boron stimulating plant metabolism, development and growth (Abido, 2012). Also, sugar beet yield reduction at the high level of boron is attributed to the toxicity influence of this element (Kristek et al., 2006).

Table (3): Sugar beet crop coefficient values (Allen et al. 1998).

Stage	Period (day)	Crop Coefficient (Kc)
Initial stage	30 - 35	0.35
Development	45 - 60	1.20
Mid-season	90 - 70	0.70
Late season	15 - 40	0.50

Table (4): The effect of the deficit irrigation and boron rates treatments on root, shoot, and sugar yields of sugar beet crop.

Treatments	Root Yield (t/ fed)	Shoot Yield (t/ fed)	Sugar Yield (t/ fed)				
Irrigation							
11	27.252 a	7.969 a	4.764 a				
12	17.697 b	2.909 b	2.877 b				
13	20.825 b	4.002 b	3.422 b				
14	21.674 b	6.790 a	3.546 b				
15	26.417 a	6.853 a	4.660 a				
L.S.D _{0.05}	3.592	1.424	0.625				
		Boron					
B ₁	19.116 b	4.433 b	3.126 b				
B ₂	23.565 a	6.028 a	4.084 a				
B ₃	25.386 a	6.609 a	4.333 a				
B ₄	23.024 a	5.748 a	3.872 a				
L.S.D _{0.05}	2.678	1.217	0.447				
	Interaction						
I x B	***	***	***				

The interaction effect of deficit irrigation and boron fertilizer rates treatments on root, shoot and sugar yields (t/fed) is presented in Table (5). Results indicated that, irrigation I1 (no stress at all stages) and boron treatment B₂ (0.5 kg B/fed) bring about the highest value of root yield (35.041 t/fed) and sugar yield (6.315 t/fed). While, the lowest values of root (10.883 t/fed) and sugar yield (1.688 t/fed) were achieved with irrigation treatment l2 (no irrigation at beginning development stage) and boron treatment B₁ (without boron fertilizer). Also, no irrigation at late season stage (I5) and boron fertilizer rate of 0.5 kg B/fed, achieved the highest value of shoot yield (10.207 t/fed), while the lowest value of 2.197 t/fed was obtained with irrigation 12

(no irrigation at beginning development stage) and boron fertilizer treatment B_2 (0.5 kg B/fed).

II- Quality characters (sucrose, total soluble solids, and purity percentages):

The effect of irrigation and boron rates treatments on sucrose, total soluble solids (TSS) and purity percentages is presented in Table (6). The results manifested that irrigation treatments and boron fertilizers rates had a significant effect on sucrose percentage and total soluble solids (TSS, %). However, no effect of the irrigation and boron fertilizer rates treatments was observed, on purity percentage. The highest mean values of sucrose percentage (17.71%) and total

soluble solids (23.50%) were induced with the irrigation treatment I_5 (no irrigation at late season stage), while the highest mean value of purity (76.3%) was obtained from irrigation treatment I_2 . The results in Table 6, revealed also that spraying sugar beet plants by 0.75 kg B/fed caused the highest values of sucrose percentage (17.14%)

and total soluble solids content (22.93%). The highest value of purity percentage (75.4%) was occurred with boron fertilizer treatment B₄ (1.0 kg B/fed). These findings were in line with that found by Hellal *et al.* (2009), who stated that sucrose% increased with increasing boron doses.

Table (5): Interaction effect of deficit irrigation and boron rates treatments on root, shoot, and sugar yields of sugar beet crop.

		s of Sugar beet crop.		
Irrigation	Boron	Root Yield (t/ fed)	Shoot Yield (t/ fed)	Sugar Yield (t/ fed)
	B ₁	18.928	7.474	3.217
*** 1	B ₂	35.041	6.238	6.315
17	B ₃	29.120	9.159	5.218
	B ₄	25.918	9.005	4.304
	B₁	10.883	2.759	1.688
l 2	B_2	22.534	2.197	3.753
12	Вз	18.048	4.037	2.988
	B ₄	19.323	2.643	3.078
	B ₁	15.633	4.212	2.409
	B ₂	15.113	3.348	2.303
13	B ₃	26.670	4.678	4.507
	B ₄	25.883	3.768	4.468
	B ₁	25.429	5.020	4.165
1 .	B ₂	22.517	8.149	3.668
14	B ₃	21.785	6.941	3.360
	B ₄	16.963	7.048	2.991
	B ₁	24.705	2.701	4.150
	B ₂	22.622	10.207	4.381
1 5	B ₃	31.308	8.230	5.591
	B ₄	27.034	6.275	4.518
L.S.D _{0.05}		4.975	2.261	0.830

Table (6): Quality characters of Sugar beet crop as affected by the deficit irrigation and boron application.

Treatments	Sucrose (%)	TSS (%)	Purity (%)				
Irrigation							
11	17.60 a	23.17 a	76.2 a				
l ₂	16.23 b	21.50 b	76.3 a				
13	16.24 b	22.17 b	73.6 a				
14	16.47 b	22.33 b	73.8 a				
15	17.71 a	23.50 a	75.4 a				
L.S.D _{0.05}	0.65	0.75	N.S.				
		Boron					
B ₁	16.24 b	21.73 b	74.7 a				
B ₂	17.12 a	22.87 a	75.3 a				
B ₃	17.14 a	22.93 a	74.7 a				
B ₄	16.89 a	22.60 a	75.4 a				
L.S.D _{0.05}	0.59	0.75	N.S				
Interaction							
IxB	***	***	***				

The interaction effect of irrigation treatments and boron fertilizers rates on sucrose, total soluble solids, and purity percentages are presented in Table 7. Results pointed out that irrigation treatment I₅ (no irrigation at late season stage) and boron fertilizer treatment B2 (0.5 kg B/fed) scored the highest values of sucrose percentage (19.20%) and purity percentage (83.3%). While, the highest value of total soluble solids content (24.67%) was obtained from irrigation treatment (I₅) and boron fertilizer rate (B₃). The obtained results are in harmony with with those reported by Almin and Asgharipour (2012), who stated that spraying boron with concentrations of 8% (0.70 kg B/ha) and 12% (1.22 kg B/ha) significantly increased yield compared the control. with Also, sucrose concentration promoted significantly with the increase of boron concentration.

B- Soil water relations:

1- Potential evapotranspiration (ET_p):

Potential evapotranspiration (ETp) values calculated by FAO Penman-Montieth methods, using CROPWAT model for the two growing seasons are presented in Table 8. The seasonal ETp values were 53.14 and 50.92 cm in 2017/18 and 2018/19 growing seasons, respectively.

2- Amount of applied irrigation water (AIW):

The depths, amounts, and mean applied irrigation water by surface irrigation system to the sugar beet crop according to the irrigation treatments during the two growing seasons are

presented in Table 9. Results indicated that the AIW values were 2166, 1927, 1828, 1933 and 1989 m³/fed for I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 and I_5 irrigation treatments, respectively during the first season. The values were 2123, 1932, 1816, 1784 and 1800 m³/fed. for the same respective treatments during the second season. The overall average of amount of applied irrigation water (AIW) values were 2145, 1929, 1822, 1858 and 1895 m³/fed for the I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 and I_5

irrigation treatments, respectively. The applied water for I2, I3, I4 and I5 irrigation treatments were 10.01, 15.02, 13.35 and 11.64%, respectively less than I4 treatment. The obtained results cleared that amount of applied water decreased by decreasing the number of irrigations according to the selected growth stage. The obtained results agreed with those of Doorenbos and Kassam (1979), Eid et al. (1987) and Abdel-Nasser et al. (2014).

Table (7): Interaction effects of deficit irrigation and boron rates treatments on quality characters of sugar beet crop.

	aracters or st	igar beet crop.	,	
Irrigation	Boron	Sucrose (%)	TSS (%)	Purity (%)
	B ₁	17.07	22.67	75.3
	B ₂	18.13	22.67	80.0
I ₁	B ₃	18.67	22.67	82.3
	B ₄	16.53	22.67	67.0
	B ₁	15.63	21.33	73.3
	B ₂	16.67	22.67	75.3
l ₂	B ₃	16.57	22.67	73.0
	B ₄	16.03	19.33	83.3
	B ₁	15.37	21.67	70.7
	B ₂	15.27	23.67	65.0
l ₃ -	B ₃	16.90	21.67	78.0
	B ₄	17.43	21.67	80.7
		16.33	21.33	76.7
	B ₂	16.33	22.33	73.0
	B ₃	15.53	23.00	67.3
	B ₄	16.67	22.67	78.0
	B ₁	16.80	21.67	77.3
,	B ₂	19.20	23.00	83.3
l ₅ -	B ₃	18.03	24.67	73.0
	B ₄	16.80	24.67	68.0
L.S.D _{0.05}		1.09	1.40	6.2

Table (8): Potential evapotranspiration (ETp) values during the two growing seasons.

RA - u Ala	2017	/18	2018/19		
Month	ETp (mm/day)	mm/Month	ETp (mm/day)	mm/month	
Sep.	4.34	73.78	4.18	37.62	
Oct.	3.11	96.41	3.09	95.79	
Nov.	1.95	58.50	1.92	57.60	
Dec.	1.34	41.54	1.25	38.75	
Jan.	1.25	38.75	2.28	70.68	
Feb.	1.96	56.84	2.70	75.60	
Mar.	2.86	88.66	3.81	118.11	
Apr.	4.05	76.95	5.01	15.03	
Total (mm)		531.43		509.18	

Table (9): Depths, amounts, and means of applied water (mm & m³/fed) of different irrigation treatments for sugar beet crop during 2017/18 and 2018/19 growing seasons.

	Irrigation treatments						ts			
Days from sowing	ı	1	I ₂		l ₃		14		15	
Johning .	2017/18	2018/19	2017/18	2018/19	2017/18	2018/19	2017/18	2018/19	2017/18	2018/19
Sowing	189.50	145.90	189.5	145.90	189.5	145.90	189.5	145.90	189.5	145.90
40	91.34	83.86	91.34	83.86	91.34	83.86	91.34	83.86	91.34	83.86
60	56.90	45.26	-	-	56.90	45.26	56.90	45.26	56.90	45.26
90	80.43	72.93	80.43	72.93	-	-	80.43	72.93	80.43	72.93
120	55.45	80.71	55.45	80.71	55.45	80.71	-	-	55.45	80.71
150	42.05	76.71	42.05	76.71	42.05	76.71	42.05	76.71	-	-
Total (mm)	515.67	505.37	458.77	460.11	435.24	432.44	460.22	424.66	473.62	428.66
m³/fed	2166	2123	1927	1932	1828	1816	1933	1784	1989	1800
Mean	21	45	19	29	18	22	18	58	18	95

3- Water utilization efficiency (WUE, kg/ m³):

The effect of irrigation treatments and boron fertilizer rates on average water utilization efficiency as kg roots and sugar yields per cubic meter of applied water is presented in Table 10. Results indicated the highest WUE root and sugar yields values of 13.164 kg root/m³ water and 2.460 kg sugar/m³ water were obtained for irrigation treatment I₅ (no irrigation at late season stage). Also, the highest WUE root and sugar yield values of 13.164 kg root/m³ water and 2.243 kg sugar/m³ water were occurred due to boron fertilizer treatment B₃ (0.75 kg B/fed). The results coincided with those reported by Doorenbos and Pruitt (1975) and Osman et al. (2005).

The interaction effect of irrigation treatments and boron fertilizer rates on average water utilization efficiency values for root and sugar yields is presented in Table 11. The results showed that the highest WUE root and sugar yields values of 16.523 kg root/m³ water and 2.951 kg sugar/m³ water were obtained from irrigation treatments I₅ (no irrigation at late season stage) and boron fertilizer treatment B₃ (0.75 kg B/fed). Similar results were founed by Emara et al. (2000), who reported that the highest water utilization efficiency was 14 kg/m³ which was accompanied with drought stress at late season growth stage.

Table (10): Average water utilization efficiency values for root and sugar yields as affected by deficit irrigation treatments and boron rates of sugar beet crop.

Treatments	WUE of root yield (kg/ m³)	WUE of sugar yield (kg/ m³)
I ₁	12.709	2.222
12	9.258	1.491
13	11.429	1.878
14	11.665	1.909
15	13.942	2.460
L.S.D _{0.05}	1.643	0.289
B ₁	9.954	1.626
B ₂	12.074	2.088
B ₃	13.164	2.243
B ₄	12.010	2.010
L.S.D _{0.05}	1.337	0.225
IхВ	***	***

Table (11): The interaction effect of deficit irrigation and boron fertilizer rate treatments on average water utilization efficiency values for root and sugar yields of sugar beet crop.

Irrigation	Boron	WUE of root yields (kg/m³)	WUE of sugar yields (kg/m³)
	B ₁	8.827	1.500
I ₁	B ₂	16.341	2.945
	B ₃	13.580	2.434
	B ₄	12.087	2.008
	B ₁	5.640	0.875
١.	B ₂	11.678	1.945
l ₂	B ₃	9.353	1.548
	B ₄	10.359	1.595
	B ₁	8.579	1.322
	B ₂	8.294	1.264
l ₃	B ₃	14.637	2.474
	B ₄	14.205	2.452
	B ₁	13.687	2.242
	B ₂	12.119	1.975
	B ₃	11.726	1.808
	B ₄	9.130	1.610
	B ₁	13.038	2.190
.	B ₂	11.939	2.312
l ₅	B ₃	16.523	2.951
	B ₄	14.268	2.385
L.S.D _{0.05}		2.989	0.503

Conclusions

From the obtained results it could be concluded that:

- 1- Sugar beet yield and yield components were significantly reduced when the plants were subjected to water stress during beginning, development and mid season stages compared with no stress treatment.
- 2- The boron fertilizer rates had a significant effect on root, shoot and sugar yields.
- 3- The results showed that, irrigation treatment I₁ (no stress at all stages) and boron fertilizer treatment B₂ (0.5 kg B/fed) gave the highest values of root yield (35.041 t/fed) and sugar yield (6.315 t/fed).

- 4- Irrigation treatments and boron fertilizer rates had a significant effect on sucrose percentage and total soluble solids.
- 5- Average amount of applied irrigation values were 2149, 1929, 1822, 1858 and 1895 m³/fed for l₁ (no stress at all stages), l₂ (stress at beginning development stage), l₃ (stress at development), l₄ (stress at midseason) and l₅ (stress at late season) irrigation treatments, respectively.
- 6- The highest water utilization efficiency for root and sugar yields were (16.523 kg root/m³ water applied) and (2.951 kg sugar/m³ water applied) were obtained from irrigation treatment l₅ (no irrigation at late season stage) and boron fertilizer B₃ (0.75 kg B/ fed).

REFERENCE

- Abdel-Nasser, G., Kh.T. Ben Abdalla, A.M. Osman, I. Goher and K. Agami (2014). Response of sugar beet yield to deficit irrigation under drip irrigation system. J. Adv. Agric. Res. 19 (3): 442- 458.
- Abido, W.A.E. (2012). Sugar beet productivity as affected by foliar spraying with methanol and boron. International J. of Agric. Sci., 4 (7): 287-292.
- Allen, R., I.A.B. Pereira and M. Smith (1998). Crop evapotranspiration guide lines for computing crop water requirement, Irr. Sci., 56: 116- 127.
- Allen, V., Barker, J. David and E. Pibeam (2007). Handbook of plant nutrition. (Books in Soils, plant and the environment). Boron by Umesh Gupta. 2007: 241- 278.
- Almin, M. and M. Asgharipaur (2012). Effect of time and concentration of boron foliar application on yield and quality of sugar beet. American-Eurasian. J. Agric. B. Environ. Sci., 12 (4): 448.
- Brown, P. H. and H. Hu (1996). Phloem mobility of boron in species

- dependent. Evidence for phloem mobility in sorbitol.
- Cooke, D.A. and R.K. Scott (1993). The sugar beet crop. Chapman and Hall London, PP. 262- 265.
- Doorenbos, J. and W.O. Pruitt (1975). Crop water requirement FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper. No. 24. Revised 1977, Rome, Italy.
- Doorenbos, I. and A. Kassam (1979). Yield response to water by F.A.O Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 33, Rome, Italy.
- Dunham, R.M. (1993). The sugar beet crop: Science in to Poctice. Water use and irrigation. Chapman & Hall, PP. 279-309.
- Eid, H.M., N.G. Ainer and M. Metwally (1987). Estimation of irrigation and temperature needs for the new land in Egypt. Conf. of Agric. Sci., an Food Deficiency Overcoming through Autonomous Ette in Egypt, June 22, 1994, 4: 907- 914.
- Emara, T.K., M.A.M. Ibrahim and M.A. Sherif (2000). Critical beet growth stages in relation to crop water needs in North Nile Delta. Alex. Sci., Exchange. 21 (1): 41-53.
- English, M. (1990). Deficit irrigation, Analytical fram work. J. ir. Drain. Eng. 116 (1): 399- 412.
- Hellal, F.A., A.S. Taalab and A.M. Safaa (2009). Influence of nitrogen and boron nutrient balance and sugar beet yield growth in calcareous soil. Ozean J. of Applied Sci., 2 (1): 2009.
- Ibrahim, M. M., M.R. Khalifa, H.A. Koriem, F.I. Zein and E.H. Omer (2002). Yield and quality of quality of sugar beet crop as affected by mid- and late-season drought and potassium fertilization at North Nile Delta, Egypt, J. Soil Sci. 42 (1): 87-102.
- Kristek, A., B. Stojic and S. Kristek (2006). Effect of the foliar boron fertilization on sugar beet root yield and quality. Agric. Sci., and Professional Rev. 12 (1).

- Me Ginnis, R.A. (1982). Beet sugar technology. 3rd ed. Sugar beet development foundation Fort Collins 855 pp.
- Osman, A.M., H.E. Khalifa, M.M. Attia and M.A. Sayed (2005). Effect of drip irrigation treatments on sugar beet yield and quality and some water relations in sandy soils. The 13th Conference of Misr Society of Agric. Eng., 14-15 December, 2005: 774-787.
- Quda, Sohier M. M. (2001). Response of sugar beet to N and K fertilizers levels under sandy soil conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 28 (2): 275- 297.
- Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny (1982). Methods of soil analysis. Amer. Soc. Agric. Inc. Madison, USA.
- Smith, M. (1991). CROPWAT a Computer Program for irrigation planning an

- management. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 46. Rom, 126 P.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie (1981).

 Principles of statistics a biometrical approach. 2nd ed. By McGraw-Hills International Book Company, Singapore, 633p.
- Wittenmayer L. and G. Schiling (1998).

 Behavior of sugar beet plants (Beta vulgaris L. Sp. Vulgaris Var. altissima Doell,) under conditions of changing water supply Abscisic acid as indicator. J. Sgron. Crop Sci. 180 (4): 65-72.
- Yonts, C.D., K.L. Palm and D.L. Reichert (1999). Late season irrigation management for optimum sugar beet production. ASAE/ CSAE- SCGR Annual Int. Meet. 18- 21 July, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, P. 10.

تأثير النقص المنظم للرى ومعدلات البورون على إنتاجية بنجر السكر بالأراضى حديثة الإستصلاح

عبد السلام مرغنی عثمان(1) ، کمال محمد عجمی(1) ، حسام محمد الشرنوبی(1) ، عبدالهادی خمیس عبدالحلیم(1)

- (١) قسم بحوث المقننات المائيه والرى الحقلي– معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئه– مركز البحوث الزراعيه– مصر
 - ^(٢) قسم بحوث المعاملات الزراعيه— معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكربه— مركز البحوث الزراعيه— مصر
 - ^(٣) قسم بحوث الفسيولوجي والكيمياء معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكرية مركز البحوث الزراعية مصر

الملخص العربي

وأوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن الإنخفاض فى محصول جذور بنجر السكر وصلت ٩,٥، ٩,٥، ٦,٤٣، ٥,٥، ١,٠٠ طن/ فدان بالمقارنه بمعاملة الرى طن/ فدان – بينما الإنخفاض فى محصول السكر كان ١,٨٠، ١,٣٤، ١,٣٤، ١,٢٠، طن/ فدان بالمقارنه بمعاملة الرى (أ,) الرى فى كل مراحل النمو وذلك عند حرمان ربه فى مرحلة بداية النمو وبتطور النمو ومنتصف النمو ونهاية مرحلة النمو على الترتيب كمتوسط للموسمين.

لذلك نوصى فى منطقة غرب النوباريه فى حالة وفرة مياه الرى من الواجب اضافة جميع الريات دون حرمان خلال موسم النمو (أ،) ويمكن استخدام معاملة الرى (أه) حرمان ريه فى نهاية مرحلة النمو مع اضافة معدل التسميد بالبورون (٧٠، كجم بورون/ فدان) فى حالة نقص مياه الرى للحصول على أعلى محصول لبنجر السكر.

أسماء السادة المحكمين

- أ.د/ حمدى الحسيني خليفه مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة
- أ.د/ محمد حماده شلبي كلية الزراعة جامعة المنوفية