

EVALUATION OF THE QUALITY AND HYGIENE PRACTICES IN SMALL DAIRY PROCESSING PLANTS IN GIZA.

El-Gendy, Alia A. ; Abeer F. Zayan and Amany R. El-Bialy
Food Tech. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present work was to evaluate the quality and hygiene practices in small dairy processing plants in Giza. Samples of raw milk and finished product (soft cheese) were collected randomly from small plants representing 7 areas. A total of 69 raw milk samples and 65 soft cheese (fresh and pickled) were collected and analysed for the presence of preservatives (formalin, bicarbonate and H₂O₂), and microbiological quality. Hydrogen peroxide was detected in all raw milk samples. Wide variations were found in the total bacterial, counts and coliform and yeasts & moulds were found in raw milk and cheese samples of the different areas. *Salmonella spp* were not found in all of the milk samples, but were detected in 4-7% samples from 2 areas, while *Staphylococcus aureus* was detected in 15-38% of milk samples from 5 areas and 3-39% of samples from 6 areas. Also, the hygiene practices in the cheese plants were evaluated using a questionnaire formate and critical points were identified. The obtained data can be used to develop and apply the HACCP system in the small cheese plants.

Keywords: Raw milk, soft cheese, small scale plants, preservatives, microbiological quality, hazard analysis

INTRODUCTION

Dairy industry is considered one of the of the main food industries as it provides consumers with nutritious and health promoting and diversified products e.g fresh and fermented milks , cheese , cream, butter and ice cream. Form the nutritional point of view milk and milk products contain many constituents of importance for the growth and vitality of consumers .

Manufacture and consumption of raw milk and raw milk products still existed in some parts of the world including Egypt. However, many bacteria, including spoilage and pathogenic bacteria are constituting potential and hazard contaminants in raw milk and milk products. Generally ,microflora of milk arises from several sources including the natural flora mostly bacteria harbored teat canal or from contamination of milk handling and processing stages (Gruetzmacher and Bradley ,1999 ; Hayes et al .,2001).

Milk and dairy products have been frequently implicated in the main human diseases such as tuberculosis because milk has always been considered one of the most perishable food items (ICMSF, 1996) . Results of the WHO surveillance program (WHO, 1992) indicate that the number of causative agent of food –borne diseases continues to increase . Testing the end product has been for decades the most widely used tool to ensure food safety. However there is a growing awareness that the end product testing cannot by itself ensure the safety of food (Heeschen , 1996). Quality assurance programmers are now considered as effective ways to achieve disease reduction. One of these programmers is the Hazard Analysis Critical Control point (HACCP) from production to consumption of dairy products

(Roberts *et al.*, 1995). The concept of Critical Control Points is a preventive, structured, systematic and documented approach to ensure food safety (Buchanan,1990, Motarjemi, *et al.*,1996). It is generally recognized that the production of cheese with desirable organoleptic characteristics and, in the mean time, to ensure its safety can be achieved through the implementation of HAACP system.

The present study aimed to evaluate the hygiene status in small cheese production plants in Giza and to identify the critical control points in the plants as a base for the implementation of HAACP system in these plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples of raw buffalo milk designed as buffalo milk were collected from milk delivered to small cheese plants in 7 areas in Giza. The milk was delivered mainly in utensils, and few in small tanks. Also, samples of fresh and pickled soft cheese were obtained from the same plants. A total of 69 samples of raw buffalo milk and 65 samples of soft & pickled cheese samples were obtained. Samples were delivered to the laboratory in a cool box and tested within 24 hr.

The presence of formalin and bicarbonate in milk samples was tested according to Kotterer and Muench (1978) and detection of H₂O₂ was carried out according to Pien *et al* (1953).

For examining the microbiological quality of raw milk and raw soft cheese, for each sample, 25g were weighed out and transferred to a sterile blender with 225 ml of 0.1 % peptone and mixed thoroughly for 2 min to prepare the milk or cheese homogenate. These were then checked for the total bacterial count, coliform count and the presence of mold and yeasts, staphylococci and salmonella, as well as the spore forming bacteria. The count of aerobic spore forming bacteria was carried out as described by Luck (1981)

Coliform bacteria were enumerated according to (APHA,1992) using Violet Red Bile Agar (VRBA). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hr. Molds & Yeasts were determined according to the Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products (APHA,1992) *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella spp* were detected according to methods recommended by the (ICMSF) International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Foods (1996)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the 69 samples of raw milk delivered to the cheese plants in the different areas were free of formalin and bicarbonate, and only one of these samples was found positive. However, H₂O₂ was detected in all of the samples analysed. This indicates that the addition of H₂O₂ is generally accepted practically by the raw milk supplier to suppress the development of

acidity and spoilage of milk before reaching the factory. According to (the Egyptian Standards of raw milk, 2005) no additive is allowed in raw milk.

Table (1) revealed that the highest total bacterial counts (TBC) of the examined raw milk samples was found in zone (F) with a mean value of 6.69 log cfu/ml , while the lowest TBC was found zone (C) with a mean value of 3.59 log cfu/ ml. Nearly similar counts were reported by Ayad *et al* (2009) in Alexandria, Sharaf *et al*, (1989) in Fayoum city, and Abd El-Ghani (1993) in Giza market.

Table (1): Total Bacteria Count (log cfu/ml) of raw milk received in the cheese plants

| Zone | TBC | | | |
|------|---------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 5.36 | 5.85 | 5.6 |
| B | 10 | 4.69 | 5.61 | 5.03 |
| C | 7 | 3.96 | 3.11 | 3.59 |
| D | 12 | 5.76 | 6.56 | 6.32 |
| E | 13 | 6.21 | 6.96 | 6.57 |
| F | 7 | 6.34 | 6.89 | 6.69 |
| G | 11 | 5.91 | 6.79 | 6.19 |

The results summarized in Table (2) showed that the coliform bacteria counts ranged widely between different zones, but generally high counts were found in most of the examined raw milk samples which exceeded the permitted level for the presence of coliforms in the Egyptian standard for raw milk 154-1-2005). The obtained coliform counts in the present study were higher than that reported by Ayad *et al*, (2009), which reflects the poor hygienic conditions of production and handling of raw milk.

Table (2):Coliform Count (log cfu/ml) in raw milk received in the cheese plants

| Zone | Coliform Count | | | |
|------|----------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 3.47 | 4.71 | 4.25 |
| B | 10 | 2.67 | 2.95 | 2.81 |
| C | 7 | - | - | - |
| D | 12 | 4.82 | 4.94 | 4.86 |
| E | 13 | 5.12 | 5.79 | 5.35 |
| F | 7 | 5.07 | 4.83 | 4.92 |
| G | 11 | 3.46 | 4.1 | 3.74 |

It is evident from Table (3) that the presence of high mould & yeast counts in raw milk from different zones with a maximum mean value of 4.72 log cfu/ml at zone (F) and minimum mean value of 2.12 at zone (C). The obtained results are additional marker of the poor hygienic quality of received raw milk, which could lead to technological problems during processing as reported by Skrinjar *et al.*, (1983) and Saubios *et al.*, (1991).

Table (3):Mould &Yeast Count (log cfu/ml) in raw milk sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | Mould &Yeast Count | | | |
|------|--------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 2.38 | 3.66 | 2.84 |
| B | 10 | 2.17 | 2.86 | 2.54 |
| C | 7 | 2.07 | 2.14 | 2.12 |
| D | 12 | 3.68 | 4.85 | 4.31 |
| E | 13 | 4.54 | 4.82 | 4.65 |
| F | 7 | 4.54 | 4.96 | 4.72 |
| G | 11 | 2.34 | 3.78 | 3.28 |

From Table (4) *Staphylococcus spp* were detected in 0 to 38% of the examined raw milk samples in different zone being highest in zone F. High *Staphylococcus* count was considered a good indication of udder infection (Deinhofer & Pernthner ,1993) as one of the important causes of mastitis. *Staphylococcus & Micrococcus* strains were reported to be responsible for mastitis in about 95% of infected animals(Tzanetaki, 1993). Ayad *et al* (2009) reported an average *Staph.spp* of $5.5 \times 10^3 \text{ml}^{-1}$ in agreement with present results. All the samples tested were negative for *Salmonella* and spore forming bacteria in agreement with Abo-Elnaga *et al.* (1985), while Ayad *et al* (2009) detected *sallmonella* in 16% of raw milk samples.

Table (4): Detection of Pathogenic bacteria in raw milk sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | % Positive samples | | | |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | No. of examined sample | <i>Staphylococcus</i> | <i>Salmonella</i> | Spore forming |
| A | 9 | 17% | 0% | 0% |
| B | 10 | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| C | 7 | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| D | 12 | 23% | 0% | 0% |
| E | 13 | 33% | 0% | 0% |
| F | 7 | 38% | 0% | 0% |
| G | 11 | 15% | 0% | 0% |

It could be seen from the foregoing results, that the microbiological quality of raw milk received in cheese plants varied between the different zones, but generally it had poor bacteriological quality which did not satisfy the standard specification of raw milk (2005).

Table 5 shows that soft cheese from the different zones had high total bacterial count with the highest average value of 5.38 cfu/g in zone F, while the lowest average was found in zone C ; namely 2.46 cfu/g. Pickled cheeses (Table 9) showed less TBC than the fresh cheeses from the same zone. Thus the highest (4.57 cfu/g) and lowest (2.23 cfu/g) averages were found in zone F and C respectively. During storage in pickle, the developed acidity and decrease in the lactose content can be considered as the main factors responsible for the lower TBC of pickled cheeses as compared to the

fresh ones. Higher TBC of soft cheese were reported in Alexandria (Ayad *et al.*, 2009).

Table (5):Total bacterial Count (log cfu/ g) in fresh cheese sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | TBC | | | |
|------|---------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 4.43 | 5.56 | 4.91 |
| B | 10 | 3.74 | 4.04 | 3.86 |
| C | 7 | 2.42 | 2.51 | 2.46 |
| D | 12 | 4.74 | 4.9 8 | 4.81 |
| E | 13 | 4.90 | 5.64 | 5.38 |
| F | 7 | 4.86 | 5.77 | 5.19 |
| G | 11 | 3.94 | 4.83 | 4.67 |

Both fresh and pickled soft cheeses contained generally high coliform count (Table 6 and 10 respectively). The present results suggest that most of the examined samples did not comply to the Egyptian Standard requirements of soft cheese (2005). The high coliform may result in the early blowing defect in cheese made from raw milk due to gas formation by Coliform (Hamed *et al.*, 1992 ; Elein *et al.*, 1999 ; Moatsou *et al.*, 2001). Aman *et al.* (1998) found that coliform count in Domiati cheese to range from log 2 to log 6/g, while Ayad *et al.*,(2009) reported the presence of coliform in 70% of Domiati cheese samples in Alexandria.

Table (6):Coliform Count (log cfu/ml) in fresh cheese samples received in the cheese plants

| Zone | Coliform Count | | | |
|------|----------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 2.66 | 3.44 | 2.98 |
| B | 10 | 2.24 | 2.97 | 1.59 |
| C | 7 | 1.01 | 1.23 | 1.11 |
| D | 12 | 2.85 | 3.97 | 3.53 |
| E | 13 | 3.91 | 4.61 | 4.05 |
| F | 7 | 3.72 | 4.78 | 3.88 |
| G | 11 | 3.17 | 3.87 | 3.58 |

Tables 7 and 11 show that the highest average of total mould & yeast counts were 4.27 and 4.28 log cfu/g in soft and pickled cheese, respectively, in zone F while the lowest averages were 1.29 and 2.38 respectively in zone C. It is obvious from these results that the mould and yeast count tended to increase during pickling. This suggests the occurrence of salt tolerant, mould and yeasts were , and can grow in the acid environment of the pickled cheese. The high counts of mould and yeasts indicated the poor hygienic conditions of cheese manufacture, in addition to the poor quality of milk. The total mold and yeast count were reported to be higher in cheese made from unpasteurized milk cheese as compared to

cheese from pasteurized milk (Aly and Galal , 2002). This might explain the present finding with respect to moulds and yeasts count in cheese.

Table (7): Mould &Yeast Count (log cfu/ml) in fresh cheese samples received in the cheese plants

| Zone | Mould &Yeast Count | | | |
|------|--------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 2.76 | 3.89 | 3.11 |
| B | 10 | 2.25 | 2.80 | 2.56 |
| C | 7 | 1.19 | 1.42 | 1.29 |
| D | 12 | 3.49 | 3.98 | 3.58 |
| E | 13 | 3.81 | 4.25 | 4.05 |
| F | 7 | 3.74 | 4.54 | 4.27 |
| G | 11 | 2.54 | 3.91 | 3.25 |

Tables 8 and 12 show that soft and pickled cheese were free of *Salmonella* and sporeforming bacteria in agreement with Ayad *et al.*,(2009). However, *Staphylococcus spp* were found in cheese samples from all zones except zone C, which was free from this pathogen. *Staphylococcus spp* were found in 43 and 39% of fresh and pickled cheeses respectively. This indicates that these pathogens can withstand the high salt and acid condition of pickled cheese. Ayad *et al.*, (2009) stated the presence of average *Staphylococcus spp* count in Domiati cheese samples in Alexandria of log 2.8/g. Nearly similar finding were reported by (Rashed *et al.*, 1992; Zottola and Smith ,1993) .

Table (8): Detection of Pathogenic bacteria in fresh cheese sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | % Positive samples | | | |
|------|------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | No. of examined sample | Staphylococcus | Salmonella | Spore form |
| A | 9 | 24% | 0% | 0% |
| B | 10 | 9% | 0% | 0% |
| C | 7 | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| D | 12 | 31% | 0% | 0% |
| E | 13 | 39% | 4% | 0% |
| F | 7 | 43% | 7% | 0% |
| G | 11 | 10% | 0% | 0% |

Table (9):Total Bacteria Count (log cfu/g) in pickled cheese sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | TBC | | | |
|------|------------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of examined sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 3.77 | 4.41 | 4.10 |
| B | 10 | 3.49 | 3.67 | 3.52 |
| C | 7 | 2.34 | 2.71 | 2.49 |
| D | 12 | 4.69 | 4.82 | 4.57 |
| E | 13 | 3.65 | 4.79 | 4.13 |
| F | 7 | 3.69 | 4.10 | 3.91 |
| G | 11 | 3.41 | 3.65 | 3.53 |

Table (10):Coliform Count (log cfu/ml) in pickled cheese sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | Coliform Count | | | |
|------|------------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of examined sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 2.95 | 3.41 | 3.08 |
| B | 10 | 1.81 | 2.57 | |
| C | 7 | 1.01 | 1.21 | |
| D | 12 | 2.38 | 3.88 | 3.29 |
| E | 13 | 2.72 | 2.86 | 2.78 |
| F | 7 | 2.38 | 2.72 | 2.49 |
| G | 11 | 2.34 | 2.78 | 2.54 |

Table (11):Mould& yeast Count (log cfu/ml) in pickled cheese sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | Mould& yeast Count | | | |
|------|--------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | No. of sample | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| A | 9 | 2.47 | 3.94 | 3.07 |
| B | 10 | 2.53 | 2.95 | 2.74 |
| C | 7 | 2.07 | 2.78 | 2.38 |
| D | 12 | 3.64 | 3.94 | 3.53 |
| E | 13 | 3.67 | 3.98 | 3.85 |
| F | 7 | 3.92 | 4.72 | 4.08 |
| G | 11 | 2.78 | 3.67 | 3.31 |

Table (12): Detection of Pathogenic bacteria in pickled cheese sample received in the cheese plants

| Zone | % Positive samples | | | |
|------|------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | No. of examined sample | Staphylococcus | Salmonella | Spore form |
| A | 9 | 19% | 0% | 0% |
| B | 10 | 6% | 0% | 0% |
| C | 7 | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| D | 12 | 24% | 0% | 0% |
| E | 13 | 27% | 2% | 0% |
| F | 7 | 39% | 6% | 0% |
| G | 11 | 5% | 0% | 0% |

The hygienic conditions of the selected cheese plants in the study were assessed using a questionnaire based on the HACCP system. Generally, all the plants in this study were not applying Good Manufacture Practice (GMP) ,which explains the presence of many critical control points as evident from the analysis of the obtained results and presented in Tables (13-18).

Raw milk was received from different sources (Giza , Fayoum) in utensils poorly designed tanks. Transportation of milk usually took more than 1-2 hours at temperature sometimes exceeding 10°C . In addition , utensils and tanks were nor properly cleaned after the reception of milk.. The

transportation and handling of tanks and utensils were considered as a major critical point for GMP in the cheese plants.

Table(13): Prevalled hazards from the plant location, and surroundings.

| Item | Present % | Not present % |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Disposal of Lab Wastes (refuse) | 26.7 | 73.3 |
| Garbage | 58.8 | 29.4 |
| Adequate drainage and sewage disposal | 76.5 | 23.5 |
| Protection against scarp metal, pests , birds and animals | 97.1 | 2.9 |
| Location ,environmental polluted areas and industry contamination | 12.7 | 87.3 |

Table (14): Prevalled hazards from the plant design .

| Item | Applied % | Not Applied % |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Good storage of packaging materials | 88.2 | 11.8 |
| Cleaning of walls and ceiling | 35.3 | 64.7 |
| Air purification | 5.9 | 94.1 |
| Resistant glass windows | 11.8 | 88.2 |
| Good storage of raw materials | 92.3 | 7.7 |
| Good lighting | 85.3 | 14.7 |
| Suitable distance bet. Pips and walls | 29.4 | 70.6 |
| Suitable paths | 44.1 | 55.9 |
| Suitable floor drainage | 73.5 | 26.5 |
| Soft walls | 44.1 | 55.9 |
| Available hygiene records | 32.4 | 67.6 |
| Pest control records | | 73.5 |
| Special place for eating and smoking | 55.9 | 44.1 |

Source: Data of the questionnaire

Table (15): Prevalled hazards from the operating conditions in the plant

| Item | Applied% | Not Applied % |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Plan for equipment maintenance | 14.7 | 85.3 |
| Cooling system and instruments of temperature | 5.9 | 94.1 |
| Program for water analyses | 2.9 | 97.1 |

Table (16): Hazards from personnel in the cheese plants

| Item | Applied% | Not Applied % |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Hand washing before and after bath room | 64.7 | 35.3 |
| Cleaning of the uniform | 82.4 | 17.6 |
| Wearing of gloves and head caps | 61.8 | 32.2 |
| Wearing of glories | 11.8 | 88.2 |
| Following the infection and wounds of laborers | 44.1 | 55.9 |
| Sufficient and adequate lockers (one per person) | 92.6 | 7.4 |

Table (17): Application of quality control measurements

| Item | Applied% | Not Applied % |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Quality control of raw materials | 20.6 | 79.4 |
| Presence of quality control plan | 23.5 | 76.5 |
| System follow up | 5.9 | 94.1 |
| Quality control of end product | 8.8 | 91.2 |

Source: Data of the questionnaire

Table(18):Awareness of the GMP in the cheese plants.

| Item | Applied% | Not Applied % |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Good storage of raw and intermediate materials | 47.1 | 52.9 |
| Records for amount and kind of wastes generated inside the lab | 11.8 | 88.2 |
| Following air disseminated out of the plant | 8.8 | 91.2 |
| Getting rid of wastes near lines of production | 82.4 | 17.6 |
| Aware of new legislation about environment protection | 2.9 | 97.1 |
| Electrical UV-light insect control units suspended in food handling areas in any stage of production, must be of safety type and protected to prevent contamination of food in case of breakage. | 13.4 | 86.6 |
| Equipment must be positioned at least 50 cm. away from the wall and off the floor | 26.7 | 73.3 |
| Easy to clean surface, which does not pose a foreign hazard e.g. Walls should be finished with a continuous, bonded surface and protected from damage. Corners, joints between cladding sheets or ceramic tiles must be sealed with a suitable impervious sealing | 7.2 | 92.8 |

Source: Data of the questionnaire

The location of the cheese plants can be considered a critical point as 87.3% of the plants were located in environmentally polluted areas which pose the hazards of industrial contamination. Also, several critical points were apparent from the plant design, the most prevalent ones were the absence of air filtration (94.1%) absence of resistant glass windows (88.2%), machinery layout (70.6%) and records keeping (67.6 and 73.5%). The absence of protective maintenance of equipment (85.3%) and controlling the cooling system (94.1%) and water analysis (97.1%) characterize most of the studied plants. In nearly all plants (88.2%) wearing of glories was not practiced and inspection of workers for visible injuries and infection was not practiced in 55.9% of the plants. Generally the quality control of raw material and processing steps and end products were not practiced in 79.4 and 76.5% and 91.2% respectively of the plants. High percentages of the plant personnel were not aware with several points related to GMP and legislation (Table 18) which are necessary information for workers in the field.

It is apparent from the obtained results that several critical points were found in the small cheese plants in Giza, which can be used to develop an HACCP system which a should be adopted in order to improve the quality and hygiene of produced cheeses.

Acknowledgment :

A special pleasure is extending and gratefulness and thankfulness would be expressed to Prof. Dr.M. H. Abde El-Salam Professor of Dairy Sci. Dept. National Res. Center. Doki, Egypt, for his guidance, advice help offered for completing my work. I can not use my words to express my deep respect for him.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Ghani, S. (1993). The bacteriological quality of raw market milk in rural areas of Giza province. *Egyptian J. Food Sci.*, 21: 73.
- Abo- Elnaga , I.G. ; Hessain , A. and Sarhan, H. R. (1985). Bacteria and food poisoning organisms in milk . *Nahrung* , 29 (4) : 375- 80.
- Aly , S.A. and Galal ,E.A. (2002) : Effect of milk pretreatment on the keeping quality of Domiati cheese ,*Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 1,132-136.
- Aman, I.M., Knapstein,K. and Hahn,G. (1998). Examination of verotoxin producing *Eherichia coli* in some Egyptian dairy products. *Milchwissenschaft*, 53: 676.
- Ayad,E.H.E., Darwish, S.M. and Abu Elreesh, S.M.I. (2009). The quality of market milk and some Egyptian dairy products collected from Alexandria city. *Egyptian J. Dairy Sci.*, 37: 139.
- APHA)American Public Health Association (1992). Compendium of methods for the (microbiological examination of food .3rd Ed. (Washington DC,USA, p: 675 : 8 00).
- Buchanan, R.I. (1990). HACCP : A Re-emerging Approach to Food Safety . *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 1, 104-106.
- Deinhofer , M. and Pernthaner , A. (1993) . Differentiation of Staphylococci from sheep and goat milk samples. *Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr* , 100(6) ;234.
- Egyptian Standard requirements of soft cheese(2007). Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality(Arab Republic of Egypt).
- Elein, G.; Abd ElGhany, I; Youssef, L. and Mohamed,L. (1999) . Effect of milk pretreatment and storage condition on the properties and keeping quality of Ras Cheese . *Egyptian J. Dairy Sci.*27:163-166.
- Gruetzmacher , T. J. and Bradley Jr.R.(1999). Identification and control of processing variables that affect the quality and safety of fluid milk . *J .Food Prot.* 62,625-631.
- Hamed , A. ; Nafisa ,A. and Farag, S. (1992) . Effect of pasteurization and storage conditions on the microbiological chemical and organoleptic properties of Domiati cheese during pickling *Egyptian J. Dairy Sci.*, 20: 177- 190.
- Hayes, M.C.; Ralyea, R.D . ; Murphy , S.C.; Carey ,N. R.; Scarlett , J. M. and Boor , K.J.(2001). Identification and characterization of elevated microbial counts in bulk tank raw milk . *J. Dairy Sci.* 84,292-298.
- Heeschen, W.H . (1996) . Mastitis : The disease under aspects of milk quality and hygiene.
- Mastitis Newsletter, Newsletter of the International Dairy Federation .144,16.
- ICMSF (International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Food ,1996) Micro-organisms in Food. Microbiological Specification of Food Pathogens. Blackie Academic and Professional . T.A. Roberts, A.C. Baird-Parker and R.B.. Tompkin (eds).
- Kotterer, R. ; and Muench, S. (1978). In *Untersuchungs Verfahren fur das milch wirtschaftliche Laboratorium*. Sth edn., Volksw.Verlage,Munich.
- Luck, H.(1981). Quality control in the dairy industry. In: R.K.Robinson (Ed.). *Dairy Microbiology* vol. II. pp. 313-315. Applied sci.Publishers,Ltd., England.
- Pien, J.; Desirant, J.; and Lafontaine, D.(1953). Detection of hydrogen peroxide in milk .*Ann.Falsif.Fraudes,Paris*,46; 416 cited from *Dairy Sci.*, Abstr.16;242.
- Moatsou, G.; Kandarakis ,J. ; Moushopolou, K .; Anifantakis ,E. and Alichanidis, E. (2001).

- Effect of technological parameters on the characteristic of Kasseri cheese from raw and pasteurized ewes milk. International Dairy J.54:69-77.
- Motarjemi, Y. ; Kaferstein , F; Moy , G ; Miyagawa, S ; Miyagishima , K. (1996). Importance of HACCP for Public Health and Development : The role of the World Health Organization . Food Control ,7(2), 77-85 .
- Rashed, M. ; Mehanna, A.; Nofal,A. and Zommara,A. (1992). Studies on improving the keeping quality of raw milk during cold storage. Effect of thermization. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci.,19:99-104.
- Roberts, M. R.; Pearson, A.M.; and Dutson, T. R., (1995). The HACCP Program and the consumer , In HACCP in Meat, Poultry and Fish Processing. Blackie Academic and Professional, London, 301 .
- Saubios ,A. ; Basillco,E. and Simonetta ,A . (1991) . Microbiological quality of raw milk . Incidence of arohic and anaerobic sporeforming .
- Sharaf, O.M., Hewedy,M.M. and Tawfik, N.F. (1989). Studies of the bacterial flora of raw milk in Fayoum market. Egyptian J. Food Sci., 17: 153
- Skrinjar, M. ; Zakula , R. and Stojanovic ,E.(1983). Isolation and determination of molds in raw milk . Mljekarstov.33:227-300.
- Tzanetaki, E . (1993) . Milk microbiology . Thessaloniki, Greece , 88-95, 172-180 .
- WHO. (1992). WHO Surveillance Programmers for control food borne infections and intoxications in Europe , Fifth Report (1985-1989) . Inst. Vet. Med .Robert Van Ostertag Institute, Berlin.
- Zottola , E. and Smith , B. (1993) . Growth and survival of undesirable bacteria in cheese: Cheese Chemistry , Physics and Microbiology (Fox ,P.F. ed)2ndEd .London Press, p:(471-523).

تقييم لجودة الألبان والممارسات الصحية في مصانع الألبان الصغيرة في محافظة الجيزة.

علية على الجندى ، عبير فؤاد زيان و أماني رفعت البيلي
معهد بحوث تكنولوجيا الأغذية

يهدف البحث لتقييم جودة الألبان الخام و الجبن الطري (الطازج و الخزين) و الممارسات الصحية في مصانع الألبان الصغيرة من خلال 7 مناطق في محافظة الجيزة. تم تجميع عينات من الحليب الخام و المنتج النهائي (الجبن الطري) بطريقة عشوائية من المصانع الصغيرة (معامل الألبان). وقد تم جمع 69 عينة من الحليب الخام و 65 الجبن الطري (الطازجة و المخزنة) و تحليلها للكشف عن وجود المواد الحافظة (الفورمالين ، بيكربونات ، H_2O_2) و الجودة الميكروبيولوجية. تم الكشف عن وجود فوق أكسيد الهيدروجين في جميع عينات الحليب الخام. تم العثور على اختلافات واسعة في العدد الكلي للبكتيريا ، وجدت ميكروبوات القولون و الخمائر و الفطريات في عينات الحليب الخام و الجبن من مناطق مختلفة. لم يتم العثور على ميكروب السالمونيلا في جميع عينات الحليب ، ولكن تم الكشف عن 4-7 % في عينات من مناطق 2 بينما تم الكشف عن المكورات العنقودية *areus* في 15-38 % من عينات الحليب من 5 مناطق و 3-39 % من العينات من 6 مناطق. وقد تم اجراء تقييم للممارسات الصحية و تطبيق نظام تحليل المخاطر و النقاط الحرجة في مصانع الجبن التي أجرى عليها الدراسة و ذلك باستخدام أستمارة الاستبيان. ويمكن استخدام البيانات التي تم الحصول عليها لوضع الأشتراطات الصحية و تطبيق نظام تحليل المخاطر في معامل الألبان أو المصانع الصغيرة .

قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة
كلية الزراعة – جامعة عين شمس

أ.د / طه عبد الحليم نصيب
أ.د / جمال الدين احمد مهران

