EFFECTS OF PLANT EXTRACTS ON PYRETHROID RESISTANCE IN THE CONTROL PROGRAME OF THE COTTON LEAFWORM SPODOPTERA LITTORALIS BOISD (CEPIDOPTERA: NOCTUIDAE)

BY Faten Faried Abul Dahab* and M.W.F. Younes**

- * Entomology Dept. Fac. of Science, Benha Branch Zagazig University
- ** Zoology Dept. Fac. of Science Menoufia Univ. Shebin El-Kom Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Our results clearly indicated that most field strains developed remarkably high levels of resistance, (average RR 50.9 - 6667.7 fold, RR of 142.5 - 1738.8 fold) from a susceptible strain treated with seven tested synthetic pyrethroids: Alphamethrine, Deltamethrine, Cis-cyfluthrin, Cypermethrin, Fenpropathrin, Fenvalerate and Lamdacyhalothrin. However, least resistance was detected for Fenvalerates and lamdacyhalothrin. Appreciable decline in tolerance rates of Downythorn apple, Santonicae and worm wood increased by 9.7, 4.3 and 4.0 fold for Fenpropathion, Deltamethrin and Cypermethrine, respectively. Average RR for Deltamethrin was 925.6 despite the fact that it was one of the most potent pyrethroids tested against the laboratory susceptible strain.

INTRODUCTION

The cotton leaf worm, Spodoptera littoralis, has long been recognized as the most serious and wide spread economic pest in Egypt and in nearly all cotton growing areas. Chemical control of this pest is still adopted as one of the major techniques since 1950. The extensive and continuous application of organic insecticides against this pest induced serious problems such as resistance. Alternative larvicides in general and ovicides in particular have been recomended to alter or overcome the problems of resistance.

The synthetic pyrethroids have been found to possess significantly greater activity than organophosphorus and carbamate compounds against many of destructive agricultural pests, especially Lepidopterous insects

such as Heliothis virescens (Davis et al., 1977 and Wolfenbarger et al., 1977) and Plutella xylostella (Ming Yie Lin et al., 1981).

Pyrethroid resistance has been a major concern of cotton producers because of reported pyrethroid resistance in insects. Many investigators previously worked on strains of S. littoralis selected for pyrethroid resistance in the laboratory (Riskallah et al., 1983; El-Guindy et al., 1982 and Fahmy et al., 1985) worked on field population. Others (El-Guindy et al., 1985; Issa et al., 1985 and Ayad and El-Dakroury, 1985) have observed high LD₅₀'s in bioassayed strains collected from field populations.

However some authors were reluctant to classify these observations as resistance because they were not acquainted with control failures in the field

The aim of the present work is to investigate the possible development of resistance and however, we tend to develop these methods by testing the joined effect of pyrethroids with some botanical extracts.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Rearing technique:

The stock culture of susceptible strain of *S. littoralis* used in the present work was reared in laboratory of the Entomology Dept., under constant conditions of $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and 60 - 65% RH.

Bioassay:

To measure the relative susceptibility of *S. littoralis* strain to either the recommended synthetic pyrethroids and the candidate plant extracts.

Pyrethroid insecticides used were; Alphamethrin, Delta methrin, Cis-cyfluthrin, cypermethron and fenpropathrin.

Tested plants used are shown in Table (1); caraway, devil's apple, downythorn apple, beadtree, Santonicae and worm wood.

Fourth instar larvae were obtained from those raised in from the colony. The bioassay procedure based on exposing the larvae to cotton leaves treated with a minimum of five to eight concentrations of each insecticidae. Each treatment was replicated for a minimum of five times using 10 larvae in each replicate.

Cotton leaves were treated by the leaf - dipping technique for 3 seconds in test solution and left to dry in the air for 15 min. before offering them to the test larvae in glass vials. These vials were held at room temperature $(27\pm\ 2^{\circ}\text{C})$ and the mort lity was observed and recorded 24 h after treatment. Water treatments were used as controls. Control mortality was less than >5% and all mortality data were corrected for control mortality (Abbott, 1925). Based on previous studies with these insecticides, it was assumed that total mortality was equal to the combined number of dead and moribund larvae observed. The data were subjected to probit analysis (Finney, 1971) to estimate the median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) values.

Insecticides:

Commercial formulated synthetic pyrethoids were used as supplied by the manufacturers. The tested formulations included deltamethrin (Decis 2.5% EC), cypermethrin (Ripcord 30 % EC), Fenpropathrin (Menothrin 20% EC), fenvalerate (sumicidin 20% EC), alphamethrin (Fastac 10% Ec), lamdacy - halothrin (Kendo 5% EC) and cis - cyfluthrin (Boldock 2.5 % EC).

Plant extraction procedure:

Plants used are tabulated in table (1). Dry seeds of Devil's apple, downythron apple and beadtree; fruits of caraway; whole plants without roots of worm wood; dried unexpanded flower head of santonica and fresh whole plants without roots of budding grass were used in preparing the extraction. All plants or parts of plants used were free from any insecticidal residues. Tested plants were extracted according to the procedure of *Gayar and Shazli (1968) and Teotia and Pandey (1979)*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table (2) includes slopes of regression lines, estimated LC₅₀ values and derived resistance factors for a laboratory susceptible strain of S. *littoralis*.

Among the 7 compounds tested, the synthetic pyrethroids Alphamethrin (Fastac) and Cis - cyfluthrin (Baldock) were more toxic to the susceptible strain only than all other pyrethroids, whereas fenvalerate (Sumicidin) and Lamdacyhalothrin (kendo) were the least toxic. Of the six plant extracts tested, four were almost fully resistant to pyrethroids.

The estimated resistance factors (RR) demonstrated that high resistance levels were detected for alphamethrin and cis - cyfluthrin in all tested plants, ranging from 1333.3 to 11470 (average = 6667.7 -fold) for the former and from 656.6 to 7323.3 (average = 4749.9- fold) for the latter. On the other hand, the least resistance factors were recorded (18.6-fold) for lamdacyhalothrin and (25.5 fold) for fenvalerate. However, no resistance was detected for both compounds when beadtree was used (RR = 2.9 for lamdacyalothrin) and when caraway was used (RR = 7.0 for Fenvalerate). Moderate to low levels of resistance were recorded for Deltamethrin (Avg. RR = 737.6), cypermethrin (Avg. RR = 160.4) and Fenpropathrin (Avg. RR = 50.9).

In general, worm wood followed by downythorn apple were only slightly resistant to the sever tested pyrethroids, recording an average RR of 33.3 and 132-fold, respectively. Rather high resistance levels to all pyrethroids tested were exhibited by caraway treatments followed by those of Santonicae and devil, s apple treatments as shown by average RR of 2798.4, 1720.2 and 1544.9-fold, respectively.

Differences in levels of resistance could be easily detected for the tested pyrethroids in most plants extracts (Table 3). The highest slope values detected in Devil's apple and Santonicae treatments were 2.9 and 2.25, respectively for cypermethrin, and in Downy thorn apple treatments and 2.25 for fenpropathrin, indicating moderate degrees of heterogeneity of response. However, slope values of the same populations decreased to 1.62 and 0.66, respectively, revealing an increase in the heterogeneity of both selected populations. This decrease in slope values was evident for the above mentioned treatments. The slope pattern is in agreement with *Hopkins and Gordon (1956)* who reported that development of true resistance is characterised by regression lines becoming shallower as chemical control was applied and becoming finally steeper again as resistant genotypes prevail in the new population.

Generally, the least resistance levels were again exhibited for lambacyhalothrin and fenvalerate, recording average RR 18.1 and 28.0-fold, respectively. Similar resistance ratio values were discussed by indicated a good approximation of the degree of resistance present (*Hinkle et al.*, 1985). However, no resistance was detected for either fenvalerate in each of caraway (RR = 9.1- fold), downythorn apple (RR = 4.4-fold) and beadtree (RR=4.6 fold) or for cypermethrin in beadtree (RR = 0.18). On the other hand, the highest resistance levels were recorded for alphamethrin in downythorn apple (averages RR = 1330). Average RR for

Detlamethrin was 925.6 despite the fact that it was one of the most potent pyrethroids tested against the laboratory susceptible strain.

Based on the average resistance ratios (RR) of each specific plant extract, we concluded that the cotton leaf worm population in beadtree treatments seems to be more susceptible to pyrethroids. On the other hand downythorn apple, Santonicae and caraway treatments exhibited remarkably high resistance levels.

Data in Table (4) demonstrate a considerable and significant increase in tolerance of downythorn apple and Santonicae populations to deltamethrin by 3.82 X and 4.33 X, respectively, while tolerance rates increased by 4.06 X for cypermethrin in worm wood population, by 9.74 X for fenpropathrin in downythorn apple population and by 3.32 X and 3.75 for fenvalerate in devil's apple and worm wood populations. In contrast the cotton leaf worm population of beadtree showed remarkable decline in tolerance rate. *Brown et al. (1982)* found that the reversion of pyrethroid tolerance observed in tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (F), field strains could be explained by the selection for survival being linked to reproductive incapacity as has been observed with DDT selection (*Lineva*, 1955 and Brown, 1981). Pyrethroid - selected individuals may not be able to compete reproductively with moths, resulting in increased pyrethroid susceptibility.

El-Guindy et al. (1982) found that resistance to pyrethroids had already been established in field strains of S. littoralis in most of the Egyptian Governorates. They added that the different levels of significant resistance to pyrethroids exhibited by the field strains are the result of cross resistance with other groups of insecticides and not due to intensive or prolonged applications of these newly used materials. Our results are in agreement with those obtained in cotton field of Arizona and California (Crowder et al., 1979 and Twine and Reynolds, 1980).

It is apparent from the present study and from those of others that after using pryethroids in cotton control program, pyrethroid - specific resistance in S. littoralis becomes widespread. Pyrethroid resistance detected is most probably a result of cross resistance with other insecticides prevously used. However, in view of such serious resistance situation in the insect, immediate measures, such as using pyrethroids in appropriate sequence, must be under taken to prolong the potentiality of the available compounds.

REFERENCES

- 1- Abbott, W.S. (1925): A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol., 18: 265 7
- 2- AYAD, Farida, A. and M. S. I. El-Dakroury (1985): A monitoring of resistance to chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin against field strain of the cotton leaf worm, Spodoptera littoralis, (Boisd) during 1982, 1983 and 1984 cotton season. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt Econ. Ser., 15, 402-410.
- 3- Brown, T.M. (1981): Counter measures for insecticide resistance. Bull. Entomol. Soc. Am., 27: 189-201.
- 4- Brown, T.M.; K. Bryson and G.T. Payne (1982): Pyrethroid susceptibility in methyl parathion resistance tobacco budworm in south carolina. J. Econ. Entomol., 75 (2): 301 303.
- 5- Crowder, L. A.; M.S. Tollefson and T.F. Watson, (1979): Dosage mortality studies of synthetic pyrethroids and methyl parathion on the tobacco budworm in central Arizona. J. Econ. Entomol., 72, 1-3.
- 6- Davis, J. W.; J.A. Harding and D.A. Walfenbarger (1977): Activity of several synthetic pyrethroids against the boll weevil and *Heliothis* species. Southwest. Entomol., 2:164-9.
- 7- El-Guindy, M.A.; S.M. Madi, M.E. Keddis, Y.H. Issa and M.M. Abdel Saltar (1982): Development of resistance to pyrethroids in field populations of the Egyptian cotton leaf worm Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd) Ent. Pest Conf., 24: 6-10.
- 8- El Guindy, M.A.; Y.H. Issa, M.A. El-Molla and I. Abdel Fattah (1985): Insect growth regulators as suppressors to insecticide resistance in a Fenvalerate resistance strain of Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd). Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt. Econ. Ser., 14: 373-383.
- 9- Fahmy, H.S.M.; M.A. Kandil and M.M. Rabel (1985): Toxicological studies on synthetic pyrethroids on the cotton leafworm, Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd). Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt, Econ. Ser. 14, 79 88.

- 10- Finney, D.J. (1971): Probit analysis. 3 rd ed., Cambridge University Press, London.
- 11- Gayar, F. and Dr. A. Shazli (1968): Toxicity of certain plants to Culex pipiens L. Larvae. Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypt, LII, P. 467-75.
- 12- Hopkins, W.M. and H.T. Gordon (1956): Arthropod resistance to chemicals. Ann. Rev. Ent., 1:89-17.
- 13- Hinkle, C.N., D.C. Sheppard and M.P. Nolan (1985): Computing residue exposure and topical application techniques for assessing permethrin resistance in houseflies (Diptera: Muscidae). J. Econ. Entomol., 78:722-724.
- 14- Issa, Y.H.; H.E. Keddis, M.M. Abdel Sattar, F.A. Ayad and M.A. El-Guindy (1985): Survey of resistance to pyrethroids in field strains of the cotton leaf worm Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd) late in the cotton seasons 1980 1984. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt. Econ. Ser., 14, 405 411.
- 15- Lineva, V.A. (1955): Changes in oogenesis in the housefly (Musca domestica L.) under the influence of DDT. Zool. Zh., 34: 1320 1325.
- 16- Ming Yie Lin; J. Tzeng and C.J. Sun (1981): Diamond back moth resistance to several synthetic pyrethroids. J. Econ. Entomol., 74:393-396.
- 17 Riskallah, M.R.; S.F. Abdel Ghafar, M.R. Abo El-Ghar and M.E. Massar (1983): Development of resistance and cross resistance in fenvalerate and Deltamethrin selected strains of Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd). Pestic. Sci., 14: 508 512.
- 18- Teotia, T.P.S. and Pandey, G.P. (1979): Insecticidal properties of rhizomes of sweet flag, Acorus calamus against the rice weevle, Sitophilus oryzae L. Indian J. Entomol. 41 (1): 91-94. (C.F. Rev. Appl. Entomol. Series "A" 1980, 68 (4): 21381.

- 19- Twine, P.H. and H.T. Reymolds (1980): Relative susceptibility and resistance of the tobacco budworm to methyl parathion and synthetic pyrethroids in Southern California. J. Econ. Entomol., 73:239-242.
- 20- Wolfenbarger, D.A.; J.A. Harding and J.W. Davis (1977): Isomers of (3 phenoxyphenyl) methyl (‡) cis, trans-3- (2, 2 dichlorothenyl) -2,2- dimethyl cyclopropane carboxylate against boll weevils and tobacco budworms. J. Econ. Entomol., 76: 226 8.

Table (1): Information about the six plant species used in the present study.

| No. | Family | Scientific name | English name | Arabic name |
|-----|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Umbelliferae | Carum Carvi L. | Caraway | Karawya, |
| 2 | Solanaceae | Datura stramonium L. | Devil's apple, | Kammun armani Taturah, Nafir |
| 3 | Solanaceae | Datura metel L. | Metel, Downy thorn apple | Gawz mathil |
| 4 | Leguminoseae | Abrus precatorius L. | Bead tree, wild liquorice | Ayneddike, Shashm |
| 5 | Compositae | Artemisia santonicum L. | Santonica | Shihh khursani, Qayssum anthi |
| 6 | Compositae | Artemisia herba alba L. | Worm wood | Shihh. |

Table (2): Concentration mortality data and resistance ratios of different synthetic pyrethroids when combined with different plant extracts (at concentration of 20 ppm) tested by leaf dipping technique against *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae. (susceptible strain).

| Insecticides | | Insecticide | | Mo | rtality data of in | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | only % | Caraway | Devil's apple | Downythorn apple | Beadtree. | Santionica | Worm wood | Average RR |
| Deltamethrin | LC ₅₀ | 0.086 | 102.32 | 26.08 | 34.7 | 133.17 | 20.93 | | |
| (Decis) | RR | | 1189.7 | 303.2 | 403.4 | 1548.4 | 243.3 | | 737.6 |
| Cypermethrin | LC ₅₀ | 0.27 | 51.27 | 72.46 | 27.0 | 44.25 | 47.84 | 17.05 | |
| (Record) | RR | | 189.9 | 268.3 | 100.0 | 163.8 | 177.1 | 63.1 | 160.4 |
| Fenpropathion | LC ₅₀ | 1.01 | 13.02 | 26.49 | 126.94 | 71.43 | 56.94 | 14.4 | |
| (Meothrin) | RR. | | 12.8 | 26.2 | 125.6 | 70. 7 | 56.3 | 67.77 | 59.9 |
| Fenvalerate | LC ₅₀ | 2.95 | 20.82 | 45.75 | 37.48 | 244.63 | 35.94 | 22.9 | |
| (Sumicidin) | RR. | | 7.0 | 15.5 | 12.7 | 82.9 | 12.1 | | 26.04 |
| Alphamethrin | LC ₅₀ | 0.003 | 34.41 | 4.0 | | | 21.6 | | |
| (Fastac) | RR | | 11470.0 | 1333.0 | | | 7200 | | 6667.7 |
| Lamdacy halothrin | LC50 | 2.71 | 63.4 | | 50.68 | 8.0 | 79.93 | | |
| (Kendo) | RR | | 23.3 | | 18.7 | 2.9 | 29.4 | | 18.6 |
| Ciscyfluthrin | LC.o | 0.009 | 60.27 | 65.91 | | 5.91 | 38.91 | | |
| (Boldock) | RR | | 6696.6 | 7323.3 | | 656.6 | 4323.3 | | 4749.9 |
| Average | RR | | 2798.4 | 1544.9 | 132.0 | 216.62 | 1720 | 33.3 | |

| Insecticides | | Insecticide | | N | fortality data of it | ndicated plant ext | tracts plus pyreth | roids | |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | only % | Caraway | Devil's apple | Downythorn apple | Beadtree. | Santionica | Worm wood | Average RR |
| Deltamethrin | LC ₅₀ | 0.086 | 79.37 | 49.93 | 132.63 | 10.42 | 90.71 | 114.55 | *** |
| | RR | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 922.90 | 580.5 | 1542.50 | 121.1 | 1054.7 | 1331.90 | 925.6 |
| Cypermethrin | LC ₅₀ | 0.270 | 9.98 | 80.65 | 23.93 | 0.05 | 47.05 | 69.31 | |
| | RR | | 36.90 | 298.70 | 88.60 | 0.18 | 174.20 | 259.00 | 142.50 |
| Fenpropathion | LC ₅₀ | 1.010 | 10.00 | 18.36 | 1236.70 | | 31.10 | 5.02 | |
| | RR | | 9.90 | 18.10 | 1224.4 | | 30.70 | 4.90 | 257.60 |
| Fenvalerate | LC ₅₀ | 2.950 | 26.90 | 152.20 | 13.03 | 14.62 | 34.64 | 245.50 | |
| | RR | | 9.10 | 51.50 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 11.70 | 86.20 | 28.00 |
| Alphamethrin | LC ₅₀ | 0.003 | 2076.60 | | 3.99 | | 5.43 | | |
| • | RR | | | | 1330.00 | | 18.10 | | 1738.80 |
| Lamdacyhalothrin | LC _{so} | 2.710 | | | 49.1 | | | | |
| • | RR | | | | 18.1 | | | | 18.10 |
| Cis-cyfluthrin | LC ₅₀ | 0.009 | | | 3.08 | | | | |
| , ** * | RR | . | | | 342.2 | | | | 342.2 |
| Average | RR | | 611.00 | 237.20 | 649.90 | 42.0 | 616.20 | 419.90 | |

Table (4): Tolerance rates of Spodoptera littoralis (susceptible and field strains) of different plant extracts to synthetic pyrethroids insecticides.

| Insecticides | | - | | Tolcrance Rate (T.R.) | R) | | |
|------------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|------|
| | Caraway | Devil's | Downythorn | Bead tree. | Santionica | Worm wood | Mean |
| Deltamethrin | 0.77 | 1.91 | 3.82 | 0.078 | 4.33 | | 2.18 |
| (Decis) | | | | | | | |
| Cypermethrin | 0.19 | 1.11 | 0.88 | 0.001 | 0.98 | 4.06 | 1.20 |
| (Repcord) | | | | | | | |
| Fenpropathion | 0.76 | 0.69 | 9.74 | - | 0.54 | 0.35 | 1.62 |
| (Meothrin) | | | | | | | |
| Fenvalerate | 1.29 | 3.32 | 0.34 | 0.059 | 0.96 | 3.75 | 9.21 |
| (Sunucidin) | | - | | | | | |
| Alphamethrin | 0.18 | i | i | 1 | 0.25 | i | 0.96 |
| (Fastac) | | | | | | , | |
| Lamdacyhalothrin | ı | 1 | 0.96 | 1 | I. | 1 | 1 |
| (Thrin) | | | | | | | |
| Cis-Cyfluthrin | 1 | . 1 | 0.73 | ! | ! | i | ı |
| Average | 0.638 | 1 758 | 3 148 | 0.046 | | | |
| | | | 0.10 | | 1.30+ | 2.12 | |

تأثيرات المستخلصات النباتية على مقاومة البيروثيرويدات في برنامج المكافحة لدوده ورق القطن

فاتن فريد أبو الدهب قسم علم الحشرات كلية العلوم – فرع بنها – جامعة الزقازيق محمد وجدى فريد يونس قسم علم الحيوان – كلية العلوم – شبين الكوم – جامعة المنوفية

أظهرت نتائجنا بوضوح أن معظم السلالة الحقلية لدودة ورق القطن ينمـــو بداخلــها أعلــى مستوى من المقاومة بمتوسط (RR 50.9-666-7-7 Fold) للسلالة الحساسة و RR مستوى من المقاومة بمتوسط 142.5-1738.8 السلالة المقاومة عند معالجتها بالبير ويثر ويدات التخليقية الأتية :

Alphamethrine, Deltamethrine, Cis-cyfluthrin, Cypermethrin, Fenpropathrin, Fenvalerate and Lamdacyhalothrin.

وظهرت أقل مقاومة لهذه البيروثيرويدات عند خلطها بالمستخلصات النباتية من نبات الداتورا والشيح، والشيح البابنونج ·

9.7, 4.3 and 4.0 Fold وقد لوحظ زيادة في متوسط التحمل للمبيدات بفروق للمجيات الأتية :

• Fenpropathrin, Deltamethrine, Cypermethrin وضع الحقيقة أن هذا المبيد اظهر أعلى درجة من تحمل الحشرات Deltamethrine المختبرة للبيروثيروبيدات •