

## **EFFECT OF PLANT POPULATION AND SOWING DATES ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF DRY BEAN (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, L)**

### **1- PLANT GROWTH AND YIELD**

**El-Seifi, S. K.\*; S. M. Hassan.\*; A.H. Amer\*\* and E.M. Khairy\*\***

\*Vegt., Fuc., Agri., Suez Canal, Univ.

\*\*Veg. Res. Dept., Hort. Inst., Agric. Res. Center.

### **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments were carried out during summer seasons of 2010 and 2011 at the Experimental Farm at El-Kassaia, Hort. Res. Station Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, to study the effect of sowing dates and plant density on vegetative growth, dry seed yield and its components as well as chemical constituents of dry seed for snap bean plants cv. Nebrasica grown in the newly reclaimed sandy soil. This experiment included 12 treatments, which were the combinations between three sowing dates (February 1<sup>st</sup>, March 1<sup>st</sup> and April 1<sup>st</sup>) and four plant density (56 plants/m<sup>2</sup>, 40 plants/m<sup>2</sup>, 28 plants/m<sup>2</sup> and 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup>). Planting of snap bean on March 1<sup>st</sup> gave the maximum values of vegetative characters, dry weight of different plant organs at different stages of samples, seed yield/m<sup>2</sup> and total seed yield/fed. in the two seasons. Plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> had significant effect on vegetative characters, total dry weight/plant at 45 and 60 days after planting in both seasons as well as 100 seeds weight, seed yield/plant. On other hand, yield of seeds per m<sup>2</sup> and per fed. were significantly increased by planting at 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup> in both seasons. The interaction between sowing date on March 1<sup>st</sup> and plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> significantly increased total dry weight/plant at 45 and 60 days and exhibited the highest values of 100 seeds weight and seed yield/plant. On the other hand, the highest values of total dry seed yield per square meter and per feddan were recorded with the interaction between planting on 1<sup>st</sup> March and planting at 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup>.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Common bean *Phaseolus vulgaris*, L. is one of the most important member of *Fabaceae* crops in Egypt, for local consumption and export as an out of vegetable season to European countries. In recent years, production of snap bean faced some problems, which reduced export amounts of this crop. White green pods is one of the most problem caused such a reduction in the exportation of this crop. Moreover snap bean also plays an important role for human nutrient as a good source of carbohydrates and protein.

Sowing date is one of the important factors which affects productivity through growing the timing and duration of the vegetative and reproductive stages, since, environmental factors such as temperature and light duration differ with varying sowing date. Many investigators reported that suitable sowing dates increased plant height, number of branches and leaves per plant, dry weight of branches and leaves per plant and yield and its components as mentioned by Amer (2004), Abd El-Latif *et al.* (2009), Abou El-Yazied (2011), Abdel-Hakim *et al.* (2012) on snap bean. Many investigators concluded that increasing plant density decreased the vegetative growth and yield and its components as mentioned by Arisha and Bardisi (1999), Pawar *et al.* (2007), Kazemi *et al.* (2012) on snapbean and Amer *et al.* (2001) on pea

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out during the summer seasons of 2010 and 2011 at the Experimental Farm at El-Kassasin, Hort. Res. Station Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, to study the effect of sowing dates and plant density on vegetative growth characteristics and dry seed yield and its components of bean (cv. Nebrasica ) grown in the newly reclaimed sandy soil.

The physical and chemical analysis of the experimental soil is presented in Table 1 according to Chapman and Pratt (1982).

**Table 1: The physical and chemical properties of soil during 2010 and 2011 seasons**

Physical properties			Chemical properties		
	2010	2011		2010	2011
Sand (%)	90.5	95.6	Organic matter (%)	0.03	0.08
Silt (%)	4.7	1.6	Available K (ppm)	55	66
Clay	4.8	2.8	Available P (ppm)	5.7	6.8
Field capacity	6.8	7.2	Available N (%)	5.9	6.3
Wilting point	2.5	2.6	Calcium carbonate (%)	0.28	0.26
Available water	4.5	4.5	PH	8.1	8.1
Water holding capacity	13.9	14.6			

Sample of the soil was obtained from 25 cm soil surface.

The local meteorological data during 2010 and 2011 prevailing at El-Kassasin region are given in Table 2

**Table (2): Local meteorological data at El-Kassasin region during 2010 and 2011 seasons**

Month	2010 season				2011 season			
	Temperature (c °)		Relative humidity%		Temperature (c °)		Relative humidity%	
	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.
Jan.	20.30	13.19	88.19	57.83	19.22	12.70	93.02	58.54
Feb.	21.03	13.60	87.57	49.17	19.39	13.53	89.21	53.57
Mar.	22.06	15.64	84.64	56.0	19.80	14.19	87.16	57.70
April	23.62	17.03	82.86	53.20	23.00	16.36	84.53	54.60
May	26.03	19.93	81.16	55.32	25.29	19.38	85.96	56.32
June	29.65	23.34	81.93	53.75	28.33	22.56	86.6	58.76
Joule	30.2	25.23	86.16	61.26	30.67	25.09	88.61	60.25
Aug.	31.93	26.86	89.00	64.26	31.12	25.38	86.41	57.77

**This experiment included 12 treatments, which were the combinations between three sowing dates and four plant populations as follows:**

**Sowing dates**

- 1- February 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2- March 1<sup>st</sup>
- 3- April 1<sup>st</sup>

### **Plant populations**

- 1- 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup> one plant/hill at 5 cm apart on two sides of the irrigation line.
- 2- 40 plants/m<sup>2</sup> one plant/hill at 7 cm apart on two sides of the irrigation line.
- 3- 28 plants/m<sup>2</sup> one plant/hill at 10 cm apart on two sides of the irrigation line.
- 4- 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> one plant/hill at 14 cm apart on two sides of the irrigation line.

These treatments were arranged in a split plot design with three replications. Sowing dates were assigned at random in the main plots, while, sub plots were devoted to plant populations.

The experimental unit area was 12.8 m<sup>2</sup> and it contained three drippers lines with 6 m length for each and 71 cm width, and the distance between drippers was 25 cm. The middle dripper line was used for data collection and others were used for yield determination.

All plots received equal amounts of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium added in the form of ammonium sulphate (20.5 % N), calcium superphosphate (15.5 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and potassium sulphate (48 % K<sub>2</sub>O) at the rates of 80 kg N, 37 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. One third of all fertilizers were added at the time of soil preparation with 20 m<sup>3</sup>/fed. FYM and the rest were divided into three equal portions and added to the soil at 10 days intervals after emergence.

The other normal agricultural treatments for growing bean plants were practiced.

### **Data Recorded**

Two random samples of ten plants from every experimental unit were taken after 45 and 60 days from sowing and the following data were recorded:

#### **1. Plant growth**

##### **a. Morphological characters**

1. Plant height.
2. Number of leaves /plant.
3. Number of branches/plant.

##### **b. Dry weight**

**Different plant parts were oven dried at 70 °C till constant weight, and the following data were recorded:**

1. Dry weight of branches.
2. Dry weight of leaves.
3. Total dry weight (branches +leaves).

#### **2. Yield and its components**

**Dry pods of each plot were harvested at maturity stage, then counted and weighed in each harvest and the following parameters were calculated:**

- 1- Yield of seeds/plant
- 2- Weight of 100 seeds
- 3- Total seeds yield/feddan.

#### **Statistical analysis:**

The data of these experiments were subjected to proper statistical analysis of variance according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980) and the differences among treatments were compared using LSD at 0.05 level.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4 Plant growth

#### Vegetative characters

##### Effect of sowing dates

Data in Table 3 show the effect of three sowing dates (February 1<sup>st</sup>., March 1<sup>st</sup> and April 1<sup>st</sup>) on vegetative growth characters of snap bean plants, expressed as plant height, number of branches and leaves/plant at 45 and 60 days after sowing in both seasons.

It is obvious from such data that sowing dates had a significant effect on all measured vegetative growth parameters during both seasons of study. In this respect, the best sowing date that gave the highest values of vegetative growth was on March 1<sup>st</sup>, while sowing of snap bean seeds in the first of April recorded the lowest values of all plant vegetative growth traits.

Such increments in studied morphological characters during mid and early sowing dates may be due to the suitable and prevalent metrological factors specially temperature (Table 2) which affect positively and increased the vegetative growth phase of plants. Also, the suitable prevalent temperature which causes an increase in photosynthetic assimilation rate and also increase in duration of the period of plant growth. Such results may be due to the suitable temperature during germination and during vegetative growth, stage which resulted in increasing plant growth (Abou El-Yazied 2011).

**Table (3): Effect of sowing dates on plant height, number of branches and leaves of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in newly reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters	Plant height (cm)		Number of branches/ plant		Number of leaves/ plant	
	Days after sowing					
	45	60	45	60	45	60
<b>Treatments</b>	<b>2010 season</b>					
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	15.74	50.84	4.20	4.85	18.66	24.82
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	18.91	61.11	4.82	6.16	22.51	29.79
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	12.40	41.31	3.56	3.64	14.83	19.75
LSD at 0.05 level	0.80	1.24	NS	1.29	3.06	2.10
	<b>2011 season</b>					
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	14.12	45.88	3.83	4.58	16.16	21.91
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	16.96	54.38	5.20	5.50	20.00	25.60
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	10.99	36.38	3.25	3.32	13.31	17.85
LSD at 0.05 level	1.27	0.18	NS	1.33	1.39	1.27

In this respect, Abd-Alla (2006) referred that plant length, number of leaves and branches/plant were significantly increased with early sowing on 1<sup>st</sup> of March.

Obtained results are in harmony with those reported by Mahmoud (2008) ,Abd El-Latif *et al.* (2009) , Ewas (2010) , Abou El-Yazied ( 2011) and Abdel-Hakim *et al.* (2012).

**Effect of plant density**

Data in Table 4 reveale that plant density had significant effect on plant height, number of branches and leaves/plant .

Planting seeds of snap bean at 56 plants /m<sup>2</sup> significantly increased plant height of snap been in both seasons without significant differences between 40 plants / m<sup>2</sup> in the second season only at 45 and 60 days after planting .

Concerning number of branches and leaves, data also show that , planting of snap bean at 28 or 20 plants/ m<sup>2</sup> recorded the maximum values of number of branches and leaves in both seasons at 45 and 60 days after sowing , except number of branches at 60 day in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season .

The stimulative effect of low plant density on morphological characters, other than plant height, may be due to more exposing to solar radiation , meanwhile , prevent stem etiolating and consequently gave more branching and higher number of leaves/ plant due to large amounts of nutrients available to each plant.

**Table (4): Effect of plant density on plant height, number of branches and leaves of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in newly reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters	Plant height (cm)		Number of branches/ plant		Number of leaves/ plant	
	Days after sowing					
	45	60	45	60	45	60
	2010 season					
56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	16.81	52.92	3.16	3.95	17.73	23.92
40plants/m <sup>2</sup>	16.73	52.04	4.02	4.64	18.37	24.27
28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.15	50.29	4.80	5.30	19.79	25.61
20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	14.02	49.09	4.78	5.63	18.78	25.33
LSD at 0.05 level	0.78	0.46	0.79	0.76	0.89	0.99
	2011 season					
56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.20	46.91	3.52	4.01	15.43	20.66
40plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.01	46.34	4.01	4.19	16.23	21.95
28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	13.32	44.97	4.63	4.80	17.16	22.15
20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	12.58	43.98	4.22	4.87	17.13	22.38
LSD at 0.05 level	0.38	0.74	0.71	NS	1.06	1.27

Obtained results are in agreement with those reported by Arisha and Bardisi (1999), Amer *et al.* (2001), Pawar *et al.* (2007) , , Abd El-Latif *et al.* (2009) , Moniruzzaman *et al.* (2009) , and Kazemi *et al.*(2012)

**Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and plant density**

Data in Table 5 show the interaction between sowing dates (February 1<sup>st</sup>, March 1<sup>st</sup> and April 1<sup>st</sup>) and plant density (56, 40, 28 and 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup>) on plant height, both number of branches and leaves/plant under new reclaimed soil in both seasons.

The interaction between sowing dates and plant density had significant effect on plant height, number of branches/plant and number of leaves/plant at two different stages (45 and 60 day after sowing in both seasons).

As for plant height, the interaction between sowing date on March 1<sup>st</sup> and plant density at 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup> recorded the tallest plants without significant differences between sowing date on the same date and plant density at 40 plants/m<sup>2</sup> in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, whereas planting of snap bean on April 1<sup>st</sup> and 20 plants / m<sup>2</sup> gave the shortest plants at the two sampling dates in both seasons.

Concerning number of branches, the interaction between sowing date on March 1<sup>st</sup> and plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> recorded the maximum values of number of branches, whereas planting of snap bean on April 1<sup>st</sup> and 56 plants / m<sup>2</sup> recorded the minimum values of number of branches at 45 and 60 days after sowing in both seasons.

**Table (5): Effect of interaction between sowing dates and plant density on plant height, number of branches and leaves of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in newly reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters		Plant height (cm)		Number of branches/ plant		Number of leaves/ plant	
Treatments		Days after sowing					
Sowing dates	Plant density	45	60	45	60	45	60
<b>2010 season</b>							
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	17.06	52.64	3.36	4.10	17.54	24.26
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	16.87	51.59	4.10	4.48	18.29	23.89
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	14.93	49.99	4.48	5.97	19.78	25.76
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	14.07	49.12	4.85	4.85	19.04	25.38
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	20.29	63.53	3.58	4.93	21.06	29.12
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	19.76	62.27	4.48	6.27	22.85	29.57
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	18.46	60.30	5.82	5.82	23.74	30.46
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	17.11	58.33	5.38	7.62	22.40	30.02
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	13.07	42.58	2.53	2.86	14.59	18.40
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	13.59	42.24	3.48	3.17	13.96	19.35
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	12.06	40.59	4.12	4.12	15.86	20.62
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	10.89	39.83	4.12	4.44	14.91	20.62
LSD at 0.05 level		1.13	0.83	1.38	1.32	1.56	1.76
<b>2011 season</b>							
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.43	47.66	3.33	3.33	15.33	21.00
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.30	46.30	4.00	4.66	15.66	22.00
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	13.23	45.16	4.33	5.00	17.33	23.00
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	12.53	44.40	3.66	5.33	16.33	21.66
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	18.12	55.60	4.40	5.60	18.80	24.00
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	18.16	55.88	5.20	4.80	20.00	26.00
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	16.28	53.68	5.60	6.00	20.00	25.60
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.28	52.36	5.60	5.60	21.20	26.80
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	12.04	37.46	2.83	3.11	12.18	17.00
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	11.56	36.83	2.83	3.11	13.03	17.85
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	10.45	36.07	3.96	3.40	14.16	17.85
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	9.92	35.19	3.40	3.68	13.88	18.70
LSD at 0.05 level		0.71	1.30	1.24	1.63	1.87	2.22

Regarding number of leaves , the same data in Table 5 show that , the highest number of leaves/plant of snap bean was obtained by the interaction between sowing date on March 1<sup>st</sup> and plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> without significant differences between the same date of sowing and plant density at 25 plants/ m<sup>2</sup> at 45 and 60 days after sowing in both seasons. On the other hand, the lowest number of leaves was obtained by the interaction between sowing date on April 1<sup>st</sup> and plant density at 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup>

**Dry weight**

**Effect of sowing dates**

Data in Table 6 show the effect of sowing dates (February 1<sup>st</sup>, March 1<sup>st</sup> and April 1<sup>st</sup>) on dry weight of branches, leaves, and total dry weight / plant at different stages in both seasons of study.

Sowing dates of snap bean plants had a significant effect on dry weight of branches, leaves and total dry weight / plant at 45 and 60 days after sowing in 2010 and 2011 seasons

Planting of snap bean on March 1<sup>st</sup> gave the maximum values of dry weight of different organs at different stages of samples in both seasons, while the minimum values of these traits were obtained with the late sowing (April 1<sup>st</sup>). On the other hand, planting on February 1<sup>st</sup> gave intermediate values between them.

**Table (6): Effect of sowing dates on dry weight of different organs of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in newly reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters	Dry weight of branches (g)		Dry weight of leaves (g)		Total dry weight (g)	
	Days after sowing					
	45	60	45	60	45	60
	<b>2010 season</b>					
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	5.51	8.34	8.28	10.93	13.79	19.27
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	6.89	10.30	9.07	13.24	15.96	23.53
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	4.51	6.71	6.50	8.20	11.01	14.92
LSD at 0.05 level	0.40	0.47	0.88	0.36	1.15	0.40
	<b>2011 season</b>					
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	5.04	8.28	7.68	9.97	12.72	18.25
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	6.65	10.10	10.01	12.60	16.66	22.70
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	4.01	5.90	6.18	8.37	10.19	14.27
LSD at 0.05 level	0.42	0.18	0.20	1.76	0.74	1.82

The increase in total dry weight/ plant were about 15.73 , 30.97 % and 22.10 and 24.38 % for planting date on 1<sup>st</sup> March companied with planting date on 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. and 44.95, 63.49 and 57.70 , 59.07 % companied with planting date on 1<sup>st</sup> April at 45 and 60 days after sowing in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

The previously-mentioned results indicate, in general, that the plants grown during the mid sowing date (1<sup>st</sup> March) were the most vigorous (expressed as plant height, leaf number and dry weight of plants), compared to the other investigated sowing dates. Whereas, the late sowing date (1<sup>st</sup> April) resulted in the lowest values of plant growth. This may be attributed to

the favorable effect of prevalent temperature, (Table 2) and humidity during the growth season.

Similar results were also reported by Mahmoud (2008), Abd El-Latif et al. (2009), Ewas (2010), Abou El-Yazied (2011) and Abdel-Hakim et al. (2012).

**Effect of plant density**

Data given in Table 7 indicate the effect of plant density (56, 40, 28 and 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup>) on dry weight of branches, leaves and total dry weight / plant in both seasons of growth under sandy soil conditions. In this connection, plant density of snap bean had a significant effect on dry weight of branches, leaves and total dry weight / plant at 45 and 60 days after planting in 2010 and 2011 seasons. In addition, low density of snap bean (20 plants / m<sup>2</sup>) gave the highest values of snap bean dry weight organs without significant differences between 20 and 28 plants /m<sup>2</sup> with respect dry weight of branches at 60 days after sowing in both seasons. On the contrary, the lowest values of different dry weight organs of snap bean were recorded with the high density (56 plants/m<sup>2</sup>) in both seasons.

**Table (7): Effect of plant density on dry weight of different organs of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in new reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters	Dry weight of branches (g)		Dry weight of leaves (g)		Total dry weight (g)	
	Days after sowing					
	45	60	45	60	45	60
<b>Treatments</b>	<b>2010 season</b>					
56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.91	7.52	7.33	9.65	12.24	17.17
40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.12	7.72	7.48	9.91	12.59	17.63
28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.89	9.23	8.20	11.55	14.09	20.78
20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	6.61	9.33	8.80	12.04	15.41	21.36
LSD at 0.05 level	0.35	0.26	0.58	0.48	0.63	0.53
	<b>2011 season</b>					
56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.46	6.77	6.66	8.77	11.11	15.54
40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.68	6.98	6.82	8.98	11.50	15.95
28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.70	8.94	8.38	11.25	14.07	20.19
20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	6.09	9.70	9.97	12.24	16.07	21.93
LSD at 0.05 level	0.32	0.20	0.20	1.03	0.41	1.06

The increases in total dry weight / plant were about 25.89, 44.64 % and 24.40, 41.11 % for plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> than plant density at 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup> at 45 and 60 days after sowing in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. From the above mentioned results it could be concluded that, the plants grown under wider spaces received more nutrients, light and moisture around each plant surrounding compared to plants in closer spaces which is probably the cause of better performance of total dry weight of individual snap bean in wider spaces. The stimulative effect of low plant density on dry weight of plant may be due to that wide spacing make a marked increase in vegetative growth, which in turn reflected on the content of plant dry weight.



The obtained results are in accordance with those reported by Arisha and Bardisi (1999), Ismail (2004) and Abd El-Latif *et al.* (2009)

**Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and plant density**

Data presented in Table 8 show the effect of the interaction between sowing dates (February 1<sup>st</sup>, March 1<sup>st</sup> and April 1<sup>st</sup>) and plant density (56, 40, 28 and 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup>) on dry weight of branches, leaves and total dry weight/ plant.

**Table (8): Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and plant density on dry weight of different organs of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in newly reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters		Dry weight of branches (g)		Dry weight of leaves (g)		Total dry weight (g)	
Treatments		Days after sowing					
Sowing dates	Plant density	45	60	45	60	45	60
<b>2010 season</b>							
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.77	7.49	7.37	9.58	12.14	17.07
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.96	7.66	7.49	9.86	12.45	17.52
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.57	9.03	8.58	11.66	14.15	20.69
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	6.72	9.17	9.69	12.61	16.41	21.78
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	6.03	8.93	8.49	11.50	14.52	20.43
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	6.28	9.16	8.85	11.82	15.13	20.98
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	7.14	11.52	9.31	14.13	16.45	25.65
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	8.10	11.57	9.64	15.49	17.74	27.06
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	3.94	6.15	6.13	7.87	10.07	14.02
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.11	6.33	6.09	8.06	10.2	14.39
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.97	7.13	6.70	8.87	11.67	16.00
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.01	7.24	7.07	8.01	12.08	15.25
LSD at 0.05 level		0.63	0.49	1.03	0.73	1.01	0.96
<b>2011 season</b>							
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.27	6.67	6.68	8.60	10.95	15.27
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.41	6.85	6.75	8.72	11.16	15.57
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.72	8.76	7.47	10.53	13.19	19.29
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.74	10.84	9.82	12.02	15.56	22.86
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.54	8.04	7.92	10.50	13.46	18.54
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	5.69	8.28	8.14	10.70	13.83	18.98
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	7.12	11.98	10.93	13.94	18.05	25.92
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	8.24	12.11	13.06	15.24	21.3	27.35
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	3.56	5.60	5.37	7.22	8.93	12.82
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	3.93	5.80	5.58	7.51	9.51	13.31
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.25	6.07	6.73	9.28	10.98	15.35
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	4.30	6.14	7.04	9.45	11.34	15.59
LSD at 0.05 level		0.56	0.38	0.35	1.78	0.74	1.84

Data show that the interaction between treatments had significant effects on dry weight of branches, leaves and total dry weight / plant at 45 and 60 days after sowing in two seasons.

The interaction between sowing dates on March 1<sup>st</sup> and plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> significantly increased dry weight of branches, leaves and total/ plant at 45 and 60 days after sowing in both seasons without significant differences between plant density at 20 and 28 plants/ m<sup>2</sup> for dry weight of branches at 60 days in both seasons and dry weight of leaves at 45 days in th1<sup>st</sup> season.

**Yield and its components**

**Effect of sowing dates**

The effect of sowing dates (February 1<sup>st</sup>, March 1<sup>st</sup> and April 1<sup>st</sup>) on 100 seeds weight , dry seed yield / plant, dry seed yield /m<sup>2</sup> and total dry seed weight /fed are shown in Table 9.

It is obvious from the data that sowing dates had significant effects on 100 seeds weight, seed yield/ plant and total seed weight /fed in both seasons.

The highest values of 100 seeds weight (36.45 and 34.62 g), seed yield/ plant (8.23 and 7.93 g) and total seed weight /fed. (1045.40 and 993.04 kg) were obtained with planting snap bean

**Table (9): Effect of sowing dates on yield and its components of snap bean plants during2010 and 2011 seasons in new reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters	100 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (g/ plant)	Seed yield (kg/fed)
<b>Treatments</b>	<b>2010 season</b>		
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	33.19	7.05	877.63
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	36.45	8.23	1025.40
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	18.29	4.37	543.33
LSD at 0.05 level	1.13	0.43	63.67
	<b>2011 season</b>		
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	30.92	6.73	842.37
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	34.62	7.93	993.04
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	17.69	4.23	528.73
LSD at 0.05 level	1.23	0.45	72.51

on March 1<sup>st</sup> in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively, followed by planting on February 1<sup>st</sup> . While planting on April 1<sup>st</sup> recorded the lowest values in this respect.

The increases in total yield/fed. were about 16.83 , 17.88 % for planting on 1<sup>st</sup> March than planting on 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. and 88.72 , 87.81 than 1<sup>st</sup> April in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons , respectively.

The increments in total yield during mid sowing date may be due to the suitable prevalent metrological factors specially temperature (Table 2) which affected positively and increased the vegetative growth phase of plant. Also, such suitable metrological factors increased the photosynthetic pigments concentration (Table 12) as well as macronutrients absorption (Table 18) and in turn increased total yield per fed. In contrite the late sowing date on 1<sup>st</sup> April , resulted in the reduction in all tested morphological characters that it may be due to the highest prevailing temperature during

the vegetative growth period which increased the use of assimilated materials in respiration and consequently reduced the anabolic rate of new plant parts and in turn reduced plant growth.

These results are in agreement with those reported by Mahmoud (2008) , Abd El-Latif *et al.* (2009) , Ewas (2010), Abou El-Yazied (2011) and Abdel-Hakim *et al.* (2012).

**Effect of plant density**

The effect of plant density (56, 40, 28 and 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup>) on 100 seeds weight, seed yield/ plant, and total seed yield /fed. are shown in Table 10.

Obtained data show that plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> significantly increased 100 seeds weight (33.74 and 31.53g) and seed yield/ plant ( 8.48 and 8.15g) without significant differences between 28 plants/ m<sup>2</sup> with respect to 100 seed weight in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. On the other hand, yield of seeds per fed. (975.62 and 957.82 kg) significantly increased by planting at 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup> in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. It seems that seed yield/ kg/ fed. would be depended upon the increase in number of plants/ unit area ( fed.).

The increases in total yield/fed. were about 61.06 and 64.47% for plant density at 56 plants/m<sup>2</sup> than plant density at 20 plants/m<sup>2</sup> in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons , respectively.

At low plant density, greater nutrients uptake and improved light environment and water at lower plant density, hence the competition was low which would increase branching, flowers and pods yield/ plant.

These results are in harmony with many investigators Arisha and Bardisi (1999) , Amer *et al.* (2001) , Pawar *et al.* (2007) , Mahmoud (2008) , Abd El-Latif *et al.* (2009) , Moniruzzaman *et al.* (2009) and Abbas (2011) .

**Table (10): Effect of plant density on yield and its components of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in new reclaimed sandy soil**

Characters	100 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (g/ plant)	Seed yield (kg/fed)
<b>Treatments</b>	<b>2010 season</b>		
56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	24.91	4.22	975.62
40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	25.78	5.22	811.72
28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	32.81	8.27	868.75
20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	33.74	8.48	605.73
LSD at 0.05 level	1.01	0.17	29.71
	<b>2011 season</b>		
56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	23.32	4.14	957.88
40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	24.73	5.11	794.44
28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	31.40	7.78	817.48
20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	31.53	8.15	582.38
LSD at 0.05 level	1.06	0.35	62.39

In this regard, Kazemi *et al.*(2012) studied the effect of three plant densities (13, 16 and 22 plant/m<sup>2</sup>) on snap bean. They showed that plant density had significant effect on number of pods per plant, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index. The plant density of 13 plants per m<sup>2</sup> had

the highest number of pods per plant (42.1 pods), grain yield (2393 kg /ha), biological yield (5761 kg/ ha) and harvest index (41.6%).

**Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and plant density**

Data in Table 11 show the effect of the interaction between sowing dates and plant density on yield and its components, i.e., 100 seeds weight, seed yield/ plant, total seed weight /fed. in both seasons.

Planting of snap bean on 1<sup>st</sup> March at 20 or 28 plants /m<sup>2</sup> had significant effect on 100 seeds weight, seed yield/ plant and recorded the highest values in this respect. While the lowest values were obtained with the interaction between planting on 1<sup>st</sup> April and planting at 56 or 40 plants/ m<sup>2</sup> in both seasons.

As for total yield/fed., the highest values were recorded with the interaction between planting on 1<sup>st</sup> March and planting at 56 plants/ m<sup>2</sup> ,1249.53 kg/fed. and,1243.55 kg/ fed. ) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. On the other hand, the lowest seed yield /fed. was obtained with the interaction between planting on 1<sup>st</sup> April and planting at 20 plants/ m<sup>2</sup> (409.70 kg/fed. and 401.74 kg/ fed. ) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

**Table (11): Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and plant density on yield and its components of snap bean plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons in new reclaimed sandy soil**

Sowing dates	Plant density	100 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (g/ plant)	Seed yield (kg/fed)
		2010 season		
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	28.22	4.60	1064.24
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	29.20	5.44	845.58
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	36.65	9.05	950.50
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	38.70	9.11	650.13
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	32.12	5.41	1249.53
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	32.36	6.36	988.34
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	40.62	10.54	1106.32
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	40.74	10.60	757.34
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	14.42	2.65	612.97
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.78	3.87	601.24
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	21.15	5.23	549.45
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	21.79	5.73	409.70
LSD at 0.05 level		1.78	0.32	51.46
2011 season				
February 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	26.33	4.42	1021.02
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	27.56	5.43	844.86
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	34.87	8.48	890.75
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	34.93	8.58	612.85
March 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	29.86	5.38	1243.55
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	31.00	6.08	945.35
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	38.86	10.00	1050.70
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	38.76	10.20	732.56
April 1 <sup>st</sup>	56 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	13.76	2.63	609.07
	40 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	15.65	3.81	593.11
	28 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	20.47	4.86	511.00
	20 plants/m <sup>2</sup>	20.91	5.62	401.74
LSD at 0.05 level		1.84	0.62	108.18

## REFERENCES

- Abbas, EL.EL.2011. Influence of plant density and auxin levels on productivity of three faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) in new reclaimed soil of north delta. Egypt . J. of Appl. Sci., 26 (7):397-408.
- Abd-Alla, M. A. A. 2006. Physiological studies on the role of some bioregulators in growth, flowering and yield of snap bean. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor , Banha university.
- Abd El-Latif , A.A., S.H. Hedawy and M.S. Barsom. 2009. Effect of planting date and plant densities on cowpea productivity growing at new valley. Manosura J. Agric. 34 (12): 11247-11258.
- Abdel-Hakim, W.M., Y.M.M. Moustafa and R..H.M. Gheeth 2012. Foliar application of some chemical treatments and planting date affecting snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) plants grown in Egypt. J. Hort. Sci. and Ornamental Plants 4 (3): 307-317.
- Abou El-Yazied, A. 2011. Growth, biochemical constituents and yield of snap bean as influenced by low gamma irradiation doses under different sowing dates. Australian journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5(11): 30-42.
- Amer, A. H. 2004. Effect of sowing dates on geneaction of some snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) varieties under the conditions of Shark Al-Owinat region. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 31 (5): 2077-2095.
- Amer, A.H., Samira M. El-Gizy, I. M. El-Ghareeb and M.S. El-Sharkawy 2001. Response of pea (*Pisum sativum*,L.) plants to plant density and foliar nutrient. Mansoura Univ. J. of Agric. Sci., 26 (5) : 2983-2996.
- Arisha, H.M. and A. Bardisi 1999. Effect of nitrogen fertilization and plant spacing on growth, yield and pod quality of common bean under sandy soil conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26 (2) :407-419.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt, 1982. Method of analysis of soil, plant and water. 2nd Ed. California: California University Agricultural Division, pp: 170.
- Ewas, A.B., 2010. Effect of some treatments on the tolerance of snap bean plants to early planting dates. ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Ismail, T.B.A. 2004. Effect of drip irrigation rates, organic fertilization and plant density on yield and quality of snap bean. . Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Suez Canal Univ.
- Kazemi E., R. Naseri, Z. Karimi and T. Emami.2012. Variability of grain yield and yield components of white bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivars as affected by different plant density in Western Iran. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 12 (1): 17-22.
- Mahmoud, G. O. 2008 . Effect of sowing date and plant density on growth and productivity of two faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L. ) cultivars . *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 33(5): 3167 – 3179.
- Moniruzzaman, M., G. M. A. Halim and Z. A. Firoz. 2009. Performances of french bean as influenced by plant density and nitrogen application. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 34(1) : 105-111.

- Pawar, S.U., M. L. Kharwade and H. W. Awari. 2007. Effect of plant density on vegetative growth and yield performance of different varieties of french bean under irrigated condition. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 20 (3) : (684-685).
- Snedecor, G.W., and W.G. Cochran. 1980. *Statistical Methods*. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Iowa State Univ., Press, Ames., Iowa, U.S.A.

## تأثير مواعيد الزراعة والكثافة النباتية علي النمو والمحصول الجاف في الفاصوليا 1 - نمو النبات والمحصول

سمير كامل الصيفي\* ، سوسن محمد حسن\* ، عبد الحميد حبشي عامر\*\* و  
السيد احمد فهمي  
\* قسم البساتين-زراعة الإسماعيلية – جامعة قناة السويس  
\*\* قسم بحوث الخضر – معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعيه

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان خلال الموسم الصيفي لعامي 2010، 2011م في مزرعة التجارب البحثية بمحطة بحوث البساتين بالقصاصين – الإسماعيلية – مصر ، لدراسة تأثير مواعيد الزراعة (1 فبراير – 1 مارس – 1 إبريل) والكثافة النباتية ( 56 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> – 40 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> – 28 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> – 20 نبات/م<sup>2</sup>) على النمو الخضري والمحصول ومكوناته لنباتات الفاصوليا صنف (نبراسكا) النامية تحت ظروف الأرض الرملية المستصلحة حديثاً. اشتملت التجربة على 12 معاملة عبارة عن التفاعل بين ثلاثة مواعيد للزراعة (1 فبراير ، 1 مارس ، 1 إبريل) مع أربع كثافات نباتية (56 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> – 40 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> – 28 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> – 20 نبات/م<sup>2</sup>) وقد تم توزيع هذه المعاملات في تصميم قطع منشقة مرة واحدة في ثلاث مكررات، حيث تم توزيع مواعيد الزراعة في القطع الرئيسية والكثافة النباتية في القطع تحت الرئيسية . أعطت معاملة الزراعة في أول مارس أعلى القيم بالنسبة للوزن الجاف. وكذلك محصول البذور/ فدان بالنسبة لكلا موسمي الزراعة . أعطت الكثافة النباتية بمعدل 20 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> زيادة معنوية للوزن الجاف للنبات بعد 45 و 60 يوم من الزراعة في كلا الموسمين وكذلك وزن 100 بذرة والمحصول الكلي للفدان . بينما أعطت الكثافة النباتية بمعدل 56 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> زيادة معنوية في المحصول الكلي للفدان بالنسبة لكلا موسمي الزراعة .

معاملة التفاعل بين ميعاد الزراعة في 1 مارس والكثافة النباتية بمعدل 20 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> أعطت زيادة معنوية بالنسبة للوزن الجاف للنبات بعد 45 و 60 يوم من الزراعة . كما أعطت أعلى القيم لوزن 100 بذرة ومحصول البذور/نبات . وزاد المحصول الكلي للفدان بالتفاعل بين ميعاد الزراعة في 1 مارس والكثافة النباتية بمعدل 55 نبات/م<sup>2</sup> .