

Effect of Organic Manure , Zinc and Sulfur Application on Rice Yield and Some Nutrient Uptake

El-Hadidi, E. M.*; I. S. Mosaad** ; K.F.Fouda* and Nasra M. Y. Gomaa**

* Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt.

** Soil, water and Environ. Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt.



ABSTRACT

Two field trials were conducted at El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, Damietta governorate through summer season 2014 and 2015, to study the effect of organic matter as compost ($20 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{fed}^{-1}$) (hectare = 2.4 fed), sulfur fertilization (0, 10, 20 and 40 kg S fed^{-1}) and zinc fertilization (0, 4, 8 and $16 \text{ kg Zn fed}^{-1}$) on rice grain and straw yield, N, P and K uptake for rice crop (*Oryza sativa*), variety Giza 178. The results showed that rice grain and straw yield and N, P and K-uptake in grain and straw increasing with the use of zinc fertilization rates up to $16 \text{ kg Zn fed}^{-1}$. As well as the results showed that 40 kg S fed^{-1} , 20 and 10 kg S fed^{-1} of sulfur fertilizers, respectively gave the highest values of the previous parameters. Also, the results showed that the use of organic matter as a compost gave the highest values of the previous parameters for rice crop. Organic matter + 40 kg S fed^{-1} + $16 \text{ kg Zn fed}^{-1}$ gave high rice grain and straw yield and N, P and K-uptake in grain and straw. Therefore, it preferably add zinc ($16 \text{ kg Zn fed}^{-1}$ in form ZnSO_4) and mineral sulfur fertilization (40 kg S fed^{-1}) with organic fertilizer to produce high rice crop under saline soil in North Delta.

Keywords: Rice, sulfur, zinc, compost, organic matter, uptake.

INTRODUCTION

More than half the world's population depends on rice, which is grown on nearly 150 millions hectares of land for a global production of more than 520 million tons. Wetlands where rice grows in flooded fields during all or part of the cropping period make up about 80% of the world's rice area, accounting for 93% of all rice production, (Roger, 1996).

The compost was prepared from crop residues, leaves, grass chippings, plant stalks, vines, weeds, twigs and branches are very good alternative which proved useful in many countries of the world. Use of compost has not only been adopted to enhance soil organic matter and enrich it with different nutrients but also to control the environmental pollution from debris (Kuepper, 2003).

Compost proved greatly helpful in increasing the yield of rice crop and N-P-K-uptake (Jeyabal and Kuppaswamy, 2001 and Satyanarayana *et al.*, 2002).

In recent years sulfur (S) deficiency has become an increasing problem for agriculture, resulting in decreased crop quality parameters and yields. Sulfur (S) fertilization has become an issue due to reduced industrial emissions of S to the atmosphere and the consequent decreased deposition of S onto agricultural land in many areas of the world (McGrath *et al.*, 1996).

Shehata *et al.* (2009); Zayed *et al.* (2011) and Zayed, (2012) found that sulfur fertilizer at the rate of 50 kg S fed^{-1} in the form of elemental S significantly increased rice growth, yield and yield components.

In higher plants, Zn is either required for, or at least modulates, the activity of a large number of various types of enzymes, including dehydrogenases, aldolases, isomerases, transphosphorylases and RNA and DNA polymerases (Broadley, *et al.* 2012).

Shehata *et al.* (2009), under saline soil condition, found that zinc fertilizer had a positive effect on rice growth traits, i.e. dry matter production, leaf area index and yield attributes, i.e. panicle number hill^{-1} , plant height, panicle length, panicle weight, filled grains panicle^{-1} , 1000-grain weight, straw and grain yields. Also, Bharat, (2006), under saline sodic conditions, recognized that zinc application significantly

increased grain and straw yields and harvest index. Many previous investigators reported that increasing zinc rate increased grain yield and its attributes (Ghose *et al.*, 1999; Rao and Shukla, 1999; Zia *et al.*, 2000; Hussain, 2004; Tariq *et al.*, 2007 and Khan *et al.*, 2009).

The aim of this investigation is studying the combined effect of using organic matter as compost, mineral sulfur and zinc fertilization on rice grain and straw yield, and nutrients uptake, for rice crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field trials were conducted at El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, Damietta Governorate during the two summer seasons of 2014 and 2015. Split Plot design with four replications was conducted to study the effect of using organic matter as compost treatments (the main plots) (Without organic matter and with organic matter at a level of $20 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{fed}^{-1}$ of mature compost rice straw and farmyard manure), the sulfur fertilizers (control without sulfur fertilization, 10, 20 and 40 kg S fed^{-1}) (the sub plots) and zinc fertilizer levels (the sub subplots) (4, 8 and $16 \text{ kg Zn fed}^{-1}$) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) variety Giza 178, growth and nutrients uptake.

Dates of planting nurseries, transplanting and harvesting for the growing seasons are presented in Table (1).

Table 1. Dates of rice nurseries, transplanting and harvest processes in the two growing seasons.

| Operation | Season 2014 | Season 2015 |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Rice nurseries | 15 of May 2014 | 11 of May 2015 |
| Rice transplanting | 20 of June 2014 | 20 of June 2015 |
| Rice harvesting | 4 of October 2014 | 5 of October 2015 |

Disturbed soil samples were taken from the experimental site before conducting the experiment from 0-30, 30-60 and 60-90 cm depth. Soil samples were air-dried and ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve. The different determinations of soil chemical and physical properties were carried out as follows:

Particle size distribution of the composite sample was determined according to the international method (Piper 1950). Soil acidity (pH) values were measured in

the soil water suspensions (1:2.5). Cations, anions and total soluble salts were estimated in the 1:5 soil water extract, but organic matter was determined by using Walkley & Black method, while total nitrogen was determined by using the micro kjeldhal procedure and available potassium was extracted by ammonium acetate then measured by flame photometer (Jackson 1967). Available phosphorus was extracted by sodium

bicarbonate and then determined colorimetrically according to (Olsen and Dean 1965).

Soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil are presented in Tables (2-3).

Mature compost (rice straw and farmyard manure) ($20\text{m}^3, \text{fed}^{-1}$) were added to the soil and mixed with the upper layer after transplanting (Table, 4).

Table (2): Physical and chemical properties of the soil samples taken from the experimental field before rice cultivation in the 2014 growing season.

| Depth, cm | Particle size distribution | | | | Texture | O.M % | CaCO ₃ % | C.E.C (meq /100g soil) | pH of soil susp-end (1:2.5) | EC dSm ⁻¹ at 25 °C | |
|-----------|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | Coarse sand % | Fine sand % | Silt % | Clay % | | | | | | | |
| 0-30 | 1.45 | 10.34 | 22.28 | 65.93 | Clayey | 0.88 | 1.33 | 44.5 | 8.3 | 5.43 | |
| 30-60 | 2.10 | 15.20 | 25.25 | 57.45 | Clayey | 0.64 | 2.22 | 40.5 | 8.2 | 5.54 | |
| 60-90 | 2.75 | 35.30 | 22.1 | 39.85 | S.C.L* | 0.49 | 2.45 | 39.5 | 8.4 | 5.14 | |
| Depth, cm | Cations and anions in the soil extract (1:5), meq/100 g soil | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cations | | | | | Anions | | | | | |
| | Ca ⁺⁺ | Mg ⁺⁺ | Na ⁺ | K ⁺ | CO ₃ ⁻ | HCO ₃ ⁻ | Cl ⁻ | SO ₄ ⁻ | Total N % | Avail-able P ppm | Avail-able K ppm |
| 0-30 | 3.12 | 2.79 | 11.40 | 0.28 | n.d. | 1.70 | 12.21 | 3.68 | 0.033 | 7.94 | 479 |
| 30-60 | 2.49 | 3.13 | 13.72 | 0.29 | n.d. | 1.65 | 13.62 | 4.36 | 0.030 | 6.17 | 463 |
| 60-90 | 2.81 | 3.24 | 14.82 | 0.34 | n.d. | 2.42 | 14.46 | 4.33 | 0.023 | 4.69 | 414 |

* S = Silt. C = Clay. L = Loam. O.M= Organic matter

Table (3): Physical and chemical properties of the soil samples taken from the experimental field before rice cultivation in the 2015 growing season.

| Depth, cm | Particle size distribution | | | | Texture | O.M % | CaCO ₃ % | C.E.C (meq /100g soil) | pH of soil susp-end (1:2.5) | EC dSm ⁻¹ at 25 °C | |
|-----------|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | Coarse sand % | Fine sand % | Silt % | Clay % | | | | | | | |
| 0-30 | 1.09 | 11.23 | 21.67 | 66.01 | Clayey | 0.77 | 1.41 | 44.1 | 8.2 | 5.32 | |
| 30-60 | 1.97 | 16.03 | 24.64 | 57.63 | Clayey | 0.53 | 2.28 | 39.7 | 8.1 | 5.36 | |
| 60-90 | 2.63 | 33.94 | 22.15 | 41.28 | S.C.L* | 0.42 | 2.57 | 38.9 | 8.3 | 5.94 | |
| Depth, cm | Cations and anions in the soil extract (1:5), meq/100 g soil | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cations | | | | | Anions | | | | | |
| | Ca ⁺⁺ | Mg ⁺⁺ | Na ⁺ | K ⁺ | CO ₃ ⁻ | HCO ₃ ⁻ | Cl ⁻ | SO ₄ ⁻ | Total N % | Avail-able P ppm | Avail-able K ppm |
| 0-30 | 2.95 | 2.81 | 11.21 | 0.27 | n.d. | 1.59 | 12.02 | 3.63 | 0.031 | 8.01 | 483 |
| 30-60 | 2.24 | 3.21 | 12.99 | 0.29 | n.d. | 1.51 | 13.43 | 3.79 | 0.028 | 6.21 | 471 |
| 60-90 | 2.79 | 3.29 | 14.21 | 0.32 | n.d. | 1.97 | 13.95 | 4.69 | 0.021 | 4.76 | 422 |

* S = Silt. C = Clay. L = Loam. O.M= Organic matter

Table (4): Analysis of compost at 2014 and the 2015 seasons.

| Season | pH | EC dSm ⁻¹ at 25 °C | O.C. % | Total N % | Total P % | C/N |
|--------|------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| 2014 | 7.55 | 2.86 | 29.81 | 1.57 | 0.28 | 19.13 |
| 2015 | 7.56 | 2.89 | 30.05 | 1.60 | 0.26 | 18.69 |

Mineral sulfur and zinc fertilizer (ZnSO₄) treatments was added on dry soil before rice transplanting. Uniform application of superphosphate (15%P₂O₅) at the rate of 100 Kg fed⁻¹ was applied as basal of each plot before rice transplanting.

After the rice harvest, grain and straw yield, N-P-K-uptake in grains and straw were estimated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rice grain and straw yield ton fed⁻¹:

Data pertaining to rice grain and straw yield recorded in ton fed⁻¹ as affected by organic matter as compost, sulfur fertilization, different zinc fertilization application, and their interactions are presented in Table (4 and 5).

There was a significant increase in rice grain and straw yield by using organic matter, mineral sulfur and zinc fertilization treatments in both seasons 2014-2015. The highest values of these parameters were obtained when applying organic manure treatment, 40 kg S fed⁻¹ and 16 kg Zn fed⁻¹. In general, these results agree with

those obtained by Hussain et al., (2006), when he indicated that compost proved greatly helpful in increasing the yield of rice and wheat crops in saline sodic soils. But, Shehata et al. (2009), Zayed et al., (2011) and Zayed, (2012) found that sulfur fertilizer at the rate of 50 kg S fed⁻¹ in the form of elemental S significantly increased rice growth, yield and yield components. On the other hand, Metwally, (2011) reported that The results of addition of zinc fertilizers (ZnSO₄) as soil application or foliar application (2 % ZnSO₄) showed significant influence on growth, yield attributes, grain and straw yield (Table, 4).

Data in Table 5 showed the interaction effect between sulfur fertilization and organic matter treatments. This interaction effect on rice grain and straw yield was a significant for rice grain yield and it was a significant at 5% level for rice straw yield in both 2014 and the 2015 seasons. The highest values of grain and straw yield were obtained when (40 kg S fed⁻¹) with organic matter treatment.

Data in Table 5 showed the interaction effect between zinc fertilization application rates and organic matter treatments. This interaction effect on rice grain and straw yield was a significant for rice grain and straw yield in both 2014 and the 2015 seasons. Applying (16 kg Zn fed⁻¹) with organic matter treatment gave the highest results of rice grain and straw yield in the both seasons.

Data in Table 5 indicated that the interaction effect between zinc fertilization application rates and sulfur fertilization. This interaction effect on rice grain yield was a significantly at 5% level in both 2014 and the 2015 seasons, but this effect was a significantly at 5% level at the 2014 season and it was no significantly at the 2015 season on rice straw yield. The highest results of rice grain and straw yield were obtained with (16 kg Zn fed⁻¹) + (40 kg S fed⁻¹).

Data in Table 5 showed the interaction effect between zinc fertilization application rates, sulfur fertilization and organic matter treatments. This interaction effect on rice grain and straw yield was a significantly in both 2014 and the 2015 seasons. The highest results were obtained with (16 kg Zn fed⁻¹) + (40 kg S fed⁻¹) + (organic matter).

Table 5- Grain and straw yield (ton fed⁻¹) for rice as affected by organic manure, sulfur fertilization rates and zinc fertilization treatments in 2014 and the 2015 seasons.

| Treatments | Grain yield (t.fed-1) | | Straw yield (t.fed-1) | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 |
| organic manure Treatments | | | | |
| O ₀ | 3.33 | 3.43 | 3.88 | 4.04 |
| O ₁ | 3.79 | 3.90 | 4.16 | 4.33 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.020 | 0.089 |
| LSD 1% | 0.219 | 0.239 | 0.027 | 0.163 |
| Mineral Sulfur Levels | | | | |
| S ₀ | 3.35 | 3.43 | 3.71 | 3.84 |
| S ₁₀ | 3.56 | 3.67 | 4.03 | 4.19 |
| S ₂₀ | 3.62 | 3.73 | 4.10 | 4.27 |
| S ₄₀ | 3.71 | 3.83 | 4.24 | 4.43 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.025 | 0.063 | 0.128 | 0.031 |
| LSD 1% | 0.034 | 0.087 | 0.139 | 0.043 |
| Mineral Zinc Levels | | | | |
| Zn ₀ | 3.19 | 3.28 | 3.56 | 3.71 |
| Zn ₄ | 3.60 | 3.70 | 4.07 | 4.24 |
| Zn ₈ | 3.61 | 3.72 | 4.09 | 4.26 |
| Zn ₁₆ | 3.84 | 3.95 | 4.35 | 4.52 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.121 | 0.024 |
| LSD 1% | 0.037 | 0.040 | 0.284 | 0.050 |

** Significant at 1% level.

O₀ = Control treatment without organic manure.

O₁ = Organic matter "Compost".

Nitrogen, Phosphor and Potassium uptake in rice grain and straw.

The data in Tables (6, 7, 8 and 9) showed the effect of mineral Zn-fertilizer levels, sulfur fertilizer application, organic matter treatments, and their interaction on NPK uptake by rice grains and straw.

There was a significant increase in NPK uptake in rice grain and straw by using organic matter, mineral sulfur and Zinc fertilization treatments in both seasons 2014-2015. The highest values of these parameters were obtained when applying organic manure treatment, 40 kg S fed⁻¹ and 16 kg Zn fed⁻¹. Ofori et al., (2005) and Fahmy et al., (2008) found that the application of organic amendments to all the soils improved uptake of nitrogen. While Pooniya and Shivay., (2013) found that Zn fertilization had significant effects on the concentrations and uptake of N and K in basmati rice grain and straw (Tables 6 and 8).

Table 6- Grain and straw yield (ton fed⁻¹) for rice as affected by organic manure, zinc fertilization rates, sulfur fertilization treatments and their interaction in 2014 and the 2015 seasons.

| Treatments | | | Grain yield (t.fed ⁻¹) | | Straw yield (t.fed ⁻¹) | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|------|
| Organic Manure | Sulfur Fert. | Zinc Fert. | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | |
| O ₀ | S ₀ | Zn ₀ | 2.77 | 2.84 | 2.86 | 2.96 | |
| | | Zn ₄ | 3.22 | 3.30 | 3.81 | 3.98 | |
| | | Zn ₈ | 3.23 | 3.31 | 3.83 | 4.00 | |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 3.50 | 3.58 | 3.87 | 4.00 | |
| | S ₁₀ | Zn ₀ | 3.03 | 3.12 | 3.10 | 3.23 | |
| | | Zn ₄ | 3.32 | 3.42 | 3.94 | 4.10 | |
| | | Zn ₈ | 3.33 | 3.43 | 3.96 | 4.12 | |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 3.57 | 3.68 | 4.41 | 4.59 | |
| | S ₂₀ | Zn ₀ | 3.08 | 3.18 | 3.54 | 3.69 | |
| | | Zn ₄ | 3.35 | 3.46 | 3.98 | 4.14 | |
| | | Zn ₈ | 3.36 | 3.47 | 4.00 | 4.16 | |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 3.61 | 3.72 | 4.35 | 4.53 | |
| | S ₄₀ | Zn ₀ | 3.15 | 3.26 | 3.62 | 3.78 | |
| | | Zn ₄ | 3.50 | 3.62 | 4.13 | 4.31 | |
| | | Zn ₈ | 3.51 | 3.63 | 4.15 | 4.33 | |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 3.67 | 3.79 | 4.54 | 4.74 | |
| | O ₁ | S ₀ | Zn ₀ | 3.06 | 3.13 | 3.54 | 3.66 |
| | | | Zn ₄ | 3.54 | 3.62 | 3.83 | 3.96 |
| | | | Zn ₈ | 3.55 | 3.63 | 3.85 | 3.98 |
| | | | Zn ₁₆ | 3.91 | 4.00 | 4.06 | 4.20 |
| | S ₁₀ | Zn ₀ | 3.39 | 3.49 | 3.86 | 4.02 | |
| | | Zn ₄ | 3.88 | 4.00 | 4.26 | 4.43 | |
| | | Zn ₈ | 3.90 | 4.02 | 4.28 | 4.45 | |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 4.07 | 4.19 | 4.43 | 4.61 | |
| S ₂₀ | Zn ₀ | 3.46 | 3.57 | 3.84 | 4.00 | | |
| | Zn ₄ | 3.96 | 4.08 | 4.29 | 4.47 | | |
| | Zn ₈ | 3.98 | 4.10 | 4.31 | 4.49 | | |
| | Zn ₁₆ | 4.16 | 4.29 | 4.48 | 4.67 | | |
| S ₄₀ | Zn ₀ | 3.55 | 3.67 | 4.13 | 4.31 | | |
| | Zn ₄ | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.35 | 4.54 | | |
| | Zn ₈ | 4.02 | 4.15 | 4.37 | 4.56 | | |
| | Zn ₁₆ | 4.24 | 4.38 | 4.65 | 4.85 | | |
| F. Test | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| LSD 5% | | | 0.201 | 0.211 | 0.316 | 0.078 | |
| LSD 1% | | | 0.267 | 0.281 | 0.420 | 0.104 | |
| Organic manure | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Sulfur fertilization | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Zinc Fertilization | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Organic × Sulfur | | | ** | ** | * | * | |
| Organic × Zinc | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Sulfur × Zinc | | | * | * | ns | * | |
| Organic × Sulfur × Zinc | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | |

** Significant at 1% level.

O₀ = Control treatment without organic matter.

O₁ = Organic matter "Compost".

Results in Tables 7&9 showed that the interaction effect between Sulfur fertilization and organic matter treatments was a significant on nutrients uptake in rice grain and straw in both seasons. Data in same tables indicated that the highest values were obtained with O₁S₄₀.

Data in Tables 7&9 showed that the interaction effect between zinc fertilization application and organic matter treatments was a significant on NPK-uptake in rice grain and straw in both 2014 and the 2015 seasons. Data in Tables 5&6 indicated that nutrients uptake for rice grains and straw in both seasons 2014 and 2015 were obtained with Zn₁₆O₁.

Data in Tables 7&9 showed that the interaction effect between Zinc fertilization and sulfur fertilization was a significant on N-uptake in rice grain in the both seasons, but this effect on N-uptake in rice straw was a significantly in 1st season and in significant in 2^{ad} season.

Table7- Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium uptake for rice grain as affected by organic manure, sulfur fertilization rates and zinc fertilization treatments in 2014 and the 2015 seasons.

| Treatments | N-Uptake (kg N fed ⁻¹) | | P-Uptake (kg P fed ⁻¹) | | K-Uptake (kg K fed ⁻¹) | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 |
| organic manure Treatments | | | | | | |
| O ₀ | 41.688 | 43.566 | 7.725 | 8.058 | 7.107 | 7.461 |
| O ₁ | 50.222 | 52.366 | 9.161 | 9.552 | 8.376 | 8.799 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 1.507 | 1.925 | 0.139 | 0.311 | 0.213 | 0.152 |
| LSD 1% | 2.766 | 3.535 | 0.255 | 0.570 | 0.391 | 0.279 |
| Mineral Sulfur Levels | | | | | | |
| S ₀ | 41.366 | 42.939 | 7.648 | 7.915 | 7.000 | 7.320 |
| S ₁₀ | 44.783 | 46.780 | 8.388 | 8.753 | 7.660 | 8.049 |
| S ₂₀ | 47.482 | 49.621 | 8.710 | 9.101 | 7.971 | 8.388 |
| S ₄₀ | 50.189 | 52.524 | 9.026 | 9.452 | 8.336 | 8.763 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.534 | 1.141 | 0.100 | 0.201 | 0.084 | 0.092 |
| LSD 1% | 0.724 | 1.546 | 0.135 | 0.272 | 0.114 | 0.125 |
| Mineral Zinc Levels | | | | | | |
| Zn ₀ | 36.546 | 38.164 | 6.259 | 6.539 | 3.876 | 4.104 |
| Zn ₄ | 46.719 | 48.772 | 8.202 | 8.541 | 7.860 | 8.245 |
| Zn ₈ | 47.103 | 49.168 | 8.253 | 8.598 | 7.904 | 8.290 |
| Zn ₁₆ | 53.452 | 55.760 | 11.059 | 11.542 | 11.327 | 11.882 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.389 | 0.500 | 0.069 | 0.148 | 0.178 | 0.129 |
| LSD 1% | 0.518 | 0.665 | 0.092 | 0.196 | 0.236 | 0.171 |

** Significant at 1% level.

O₀ = Control treatment without organic manure.

O₁ = Organic matter "Compost".

While this effect on P-uptake in rice grain was no significant in the both seasons but this effect on P-

uptake in rice straw was a significant in the both seasons. But effect of this interaction on K-uptake was a significant in rice grain in the 2015 season and in rice straw in the 2014 season, while this effect was no significant in rice grain in the 2014 season and in rice straw in the 2015 season. Data in Tables 5&6 indicated that nutrients uptake for rice grains and straw in both seasons 2014 and 2015 were obtained with Zn₁₆S₄₀.

Results in Tables 7&9 showed that the interaction effect between Zinc fertilization, sulfur fertilization and organic manure treatments was a significant on N-uptake in rice grain in the both seasons, but this effect on N-uptake in rice straw was a significantly in the 2014 season and no significant in the 2015 season. While this effect on P-uptake in rice grain was a significant at 5% level in the 2014 season and it was in significant in the 2015 season, but this effect on P-uptake in rice straw was a significant at 5% level in the 2014 season and it was a significant in the 2015 season. But effect of this interaction on K-uptake was no significant in rice grain in the both seasons and in rice straw this effect was a significant at 5% level in the 2014 season and in significantly in the 2015 season. Data in Tables 5&6 indicated that nutrients uptake for rice grains and straw in both seasons 2014 and 2015 were obtained with Zn₁₆S₄₀O₁.

Table8- Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium uptake for rice grain as affected by the interaction between zinc fertilization rates and sulfur fertilization with organic matter treatments in 2014 and the 2015 seasons.

| Organic manure. | Treatments | | N-Uptake (kg N fed ⁻¹) | | P-Uptake (kg P fed ⁻¹) | | K-Uptake (kg K fed ⁻¹) | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | Sulfur Fert. | Zinc Fert. | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| O ₀ | S ₀ | Zn ₀ | 29.501 | 30.700 | 5.069 | 5.254 | 3.075 | 3.266 |
| | | Zn ₄ | 37.706 | 39.237 | 6.923 | 7.161 | 6.601 | 6.897 |
| | | Zn ₈ | 37.985 | 39.521 | 6.945 | 7.183 | 6.654 | 6.951 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 46.235 | 47.936 | 9.660 | 10.024 | 9.8 | 10.203 |
| | S ₁₀ | Zn ₀ | 32.906 | 34.382 | 5.757 | 6.022 | 3.545 | 3.775 |
| | | Zn ₄ | 41.234 | 43.092 | 7.370 | 7.661 | 7.105 | 7.421 |
| | | Zn ₈ | 41.525 | 43.390 | 7.393 | 7.683 | 7.126 | 7.443 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 47.909 | 50.048 | 10.139 | 10.598 | 10.353 | 10.893 |
| | S ₂₀ | Zn ₀ | 34.065 | 35.680 | 6.006 | 6.296 | 3.727 | 3.943 |
| | | Zn ₄ | 44.019 | 46.122 | 7.571 | 7.889 | 7.37 | 7.716 |
| | | Zn ₈ | 44.352 | 46.463 | 7.594 | 7.946 | 7.392 | 7.738 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 48.663 | 50.890 | 10.397 | 10.862 | 10.577 | 11.086 |
| | S ₄₀ | Zn ₀ | 35.784 | 37.588 | 6.269 | 6.585 | 3.969 | 4.173 |
| | | Zn ₄ | 46.480 | 48.689 | 8.015 | 8.398 | 7.77 | 8.181 |
| | | Zn ₈ | 46.823 | 49.041 | 8.073 | 8.458 | 7.792 | 8.204 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 51.820 | 54.273 | 10.423 | 10.915 | 10.863 | 11.484 |
| | S ₀ | Zn ₀ | 35.159 | 36.433 | 5.814 | 6.010 | 3.611 | 3.787 |
| | | Zn ₄ | 46.055 | 47.748 | 7.823 | 8.073 | 7.469 | 7.855 |
| | | Zn ₈ | 46.399 | 48.098 | 7.846 | 8.095 | 7.526 | 7.877 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 51.886 | 53.840 | 11.104 | 11.520 | 11.261 | 11.72 |
| | S ₁₀ | Zn ₀ | 39.934 | 41.636 | 6.746 | 7.050 | 4.102 | 4.363 |
| | | Zn ₄ | 49.315 | 51.560 | 8.885 | 9.280 | 8.497 | 8.92 |
| | | Zn ₈ | 49.764 | 52.059 | 8.970 | 9.367 | 8.541 | 8.965 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | 55.678 | 58.073 | 11.844 | 12.361 | 12.007 | 12.612 |
| S ₂₀ | Zn ₀ | 41.243 | 43.090 | 7.024 | 7.354 | 4.36 | 4.641 | |
| | Zn ₄ | 52.866 | 55.121 | 9.385 | 9.792 | 8.91 | 9.384 | |
| | Zn ₈ | 53.372 | 55.637 | 9.472 | 9.881 | 8.955 | 9.471 | |
| | Zn ₁₆ | 61.277 | 63.964 | 12.230 | 12.784 | 12.48 | 13.127 | |
| S ₄₀ | Zn ₀ | 43.772 | 45.802 | 7.384 | 7.744 | 4.615 | 4.881 | |
| | Zn ₄ | 56.080 | 58.605 | 9.640 | 10.077 | 9.16 | 9.582 | |
| | Zn ₈ | 56.602 | 59.138 | 9.728 | 10.168 | 9.246 | 9.67 | |
| | Zn ₁₆ | 64.151 | 67.058 | 12.678 | 13.271 | 13.271 | 13.928 | |
| F. Test | | | ** | ** | * | ns | ns | ns |
| LSD 5% | | | 1.268 | 1.581 | 0.218 | --- | --- | --- |
| LSD 1% | | | 1.686 | 2.103 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Organic manure | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Sulfur fertilization | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Zinc Fertilization | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Organic × Sulfur | | | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** |
| Organic × Zinc | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Sulfur × Zinc | | | ** | ** | ns | ns | ns | ** |
| Organic × Sulfur × Zinc | | | ** | ** | * | ns | ns | ns |

** Significant at 1% level.

O₀ = Control treatment without organic matter.

O₁ = Organic matter "Compost".

Table9 Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium uptake for rice straw as affected by organic manure, sulfur fertilization rates and zinc fertilization treatments in 2014 and the 2015 seasons.

| Treatments | N-Uptake (kg N fed ⁻¹) | | P-Uptake (kg P fed ⁻¹) | | K-Uptake (kg K fed ⁻¹) | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 |
| organic manure Treatments | | | | | | |
| O ₀ | 24.305 | 25.953 | 1.199 | 1.637 | 40.681 | 44.211 |
| O ₁ | 25.950 | 27.683 | 1.617 | 1.981 | 48.050 | 51.993 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.382 | 0.168 | 0.048 | 0.050 | 0.747 | 1.223 |
| LSD 1% | 0.702 | 0.309 | 0.088 | 0.092 | 1.371 | 2.244 |
| Mineral Sulfur Levels | | | | | | |
| S ₀ | 22.858 | 24.300 | 1.045 | 1.339 | 40.851 | 44.407 |
| S ₁₀ | 25.144 | 26.822 | 1.365 | 1.766 | 44.063 | 47.930 |
| S ₂₀ | 25.692 | 27.441 | 1.515 | 1.904 | 45.209 | 48.839 |
| S ₄₀ | 26.816 | 28.709 | 1.707 | 2.227 | 47.339 | 51.232 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.133 | 0.207 | 0.023 | 0.030 | 0.259 | 0.333 |
| LSD 1% | 0.181 | 0.280 | 0.031 | 0.042 | 0.351 | 0.451 |
| Mineral Zinc Levels | | | | | | |
| Zn ₀ | 17.230 | 18.392 | 0.850 | 1.071 | 36.607 | 38.449 |
| Zn ₄ | 25.413 | 27.164 | 1.321 | 1.708 | 44.690 | 48.534 |
| Zn ₈ | 25.660 | 27.398 | 1.327 | 1.716 | 45.109 | 48.955 |
| Zn ₁₆ | 32.207 | 34.318 | 2.135 | 2.740 | 51.057 | 56.471 |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD 5% | 0.219 | 0.104 | 0.022 | 0.026 | 0.254 | 0.434 |
| LSD 1% | 0.291 | 0.139 | 0.030 | 0.034 | 0.337 | 0.578 |

** Significant at 1% level. O₀ = Control treatment without organic manure. O₁ = Organic matter "Compost".

Table 10- Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium uptake for rice straw as affected by the interaction between zinc fertilization rates and sulfur fertilization with organic matter treatments in 2014 and the 2015 seasons.

| Treatments | Zinc Fert. | Sulfur Fert. | Organic Matter | N-Uptake (kg N fed ⁻¹) | | P-Uptake (kg P fed ⁻¹) | | K-Uptake (kg K fed ⁻¹) | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| | | | | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 |
| O ₀ | S ₀ | Zn ₀ | | 13.471 | 14.297 | 0.486 | 0.592 | 27.513 | 29.393 |
| | | Zn ₄ | | 23.546 | 25.233 | 0.838 | 1.154 | 39.129 | 42.506 |
| | | Zn ₈ | | 23.784 | 25.480 | 0.843 | 1.160 | 39.526 | 42.880 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | | 28.174 | 29.840 | 1.432 | 1.840 | 45.898 | 50.760 |
| | S ₁₀ | Zn ₀ | | 14.787 | 15.795 | 0.682 | 0.808 | 30.442 | 32.397 |
| | | Zn ₄ | | 24.507 | 26.158 | 1.064 | 1.476 | 40.818 | 44.362 |
| | | Zn ₈ | | 24.750 | 26.409 | 1.069 | 1.483 | 41.224 | 44.743 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | | 32.590 | 34.746 | 1.808 | 2.616 | 47.452 | 52.280 |
| | S ₂₀ | Zn ₀ | | 17.098 | 18.266 | 0.779 | 0.996 | 35.046 | 37.417 |
| | | Zn ₄ | | 24.875 | 26.579 | 1.154 | 1.656 | 41.710 | 45.333 |
| | | Zn ₈ | | 25.120 | 26.790 | 1.160 | 1.664 | 42.120 | 45.718 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | | 32.321 | 34.473 | 2.045 | 2.627 | 46.589 | 51.506 |
| S ₄₀ | Zn ₀ | | 17.738 | 19.013 | 0.869 | 1.134 | 36.091 | 37.762 | |
| | Zn ₄ | | 25.978 | 27.843 | 1.363 | 1.853 | 43.489 | 47.281 | |
| | Zn ₈ | | 26.228 | 28.058 | 1.370 | 1.862 | 43.907 | 47.673 | |
| | Zn ₁₆ | | 33.914 | 36.261 | 2.225 | 3.271 | 49.940 | 55.363 | |
| O ₁ | S ₀ | Zn ₀ | | 16.744 | 17.751 | 0.708 | 0.915 | 34.692 | 36.673 |
| | | Zn ₄ | | 23.593 | 25.027 | 1.111 | 1.386 | 43.930 | 47.678 |
| | | Zn ₈ | | 23.832 | 25.273 | 1.117 | 1.393 | 44.352 | 48.118 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | | 29.719 | 31.500 | 1.827 | 2.268 | 51.765 | 57.246 |
| | S ₁₀ | Zn ₀ | | 18.528 | 19.778 | 0.965 | 1.206 | 41.032 | 44.099 |
| | | Zn ₄ | | 26.455 | 28.219 | 1.534 | 1.861 | 48.436 | 52.584 |
| | | Zn ₈ | | 26.707 | 28.480 | 1.541 | 1.869 | 48.835 | 53.044 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | | 32.826 | 34.990 | 2.259 | 2.812 | 54.268 | 59.930 |
| | S ₂₀ | Zn ₀ | | 18.739 | 20.040 | 1.075 | 1.320 | 41.741 | 41.880 |
| | | Zn ₄ | | 26.898 | 28.787 | 1.673 | 2.056 | 49.592 | 53.819 |
| | | Zn ₈ | | 27.153 | 29.005 | 1.681 | 2.065 | 50.039 | 54.284 |
| | | Zn ₁₆ | | 33.331 | 35.585 | 2.554 | 2.849 | 54.835 | 60.757 |
| S ₄₀ | Zn ₀ | | 20.733 | 22.197 | 1.239 | 1.595 | 46.297 | 47.970 | |
| | Zn ₄ | | 27.449 | 29.465 | 1.827 | 2.225 | 50.417 | 54.707 | |
| | Zn ₈ | | 27.706 | 29.686 | 1.835 | 2.234 | 50.867 | 55.176 | |
| | Zn ₁₆ | | 34.782 | 37.151 | 2.930 | 3.638 | 57.707 | 63.923 | |
| F. Test | | | ns | ** | * | ** | * | ns | |
| LSD 5% | | | --- | 0.331 | 0.069 | 0.082 | 0.801 | --- | |
| LSD 1% | | | --- | 0.440 | --- | 0.108 | --- | --- | |
| Organic manure | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Sulfur fertilization | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Zinc Fertilization | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Organic × Sulfur | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Organic × Zinc | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Sulfur × Zinc | | | ns | ** | ** | ** | ** | ns | |
| Organic × Sulfur × Zinc | | | ns | ** | * | ** | * | ns | |

** Significant at 1% level. O₀ = Control treatment without organic matter. O₁ = Organic matter "Compost".

CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that preferably add mineral zinc and sulfur fertilization with organic fertilizer to produce high rice crop under saline soil in North Delta.

REFERENCES

- Bharat, S. (2006). Response of rice to nutrients in salt soil. *Farm Sci. J.*, 15(1):15-16.
- Broadley, M.; P. Brown, I. Cakmak, Z. Rengel and F. Zhao (2012). Function of Nutrients: Micronutrients, in Marschner, P., "Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants 3ed". pp. 191-248. Academic Press, London.
- Fahmy, S. H.; M. Sharifi and S. Hann (2008). Pulp fiber residue and supplemental irrigation on yield and nutrient uptake of potato. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 31(4-6): 716-730.
- Ghose, T.J.; P.K. Borkakati; N.G. Barua; A.K. Pathak and R.K. Chowdhury (1999). Effect of varying levels of zinc and phosphorus in kharif rice of Upper Assam. *Indian J. Hill Farming*, 12: 17-21.
- Hussain, F. (2004). Soil fertility monitoring and management in rice-wheat system. Annual report, 2003-04 of the agriculture linkages program Project at land resources research program, National Agric. Res. Centre Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Hussain, N.; G. Sarwar and A. R. Naseem (2006). Appropriate composting technology for rice wheat system in normal and salt affected soils. Final project report, Pakistan Sci. Foundation, Islamabad.
- Jeyabal, A. and G. Kuppuswamy (2001). Recycling of organic wastes for the production of vermicompost and its response in rice-legume cropping system and soil fertility. *Eur. J. agron.* 15 (3): 153-170
- Jackson, M.L. 1967. "Soil Chemical Analysis". Prentice-Hall of India, New Delhi.
- Kuepper, G. (2003). Manures for organic crop production. *Fundamentals of Sustainable Agriculture. Appropriate technology transfer for rural areas (ATTRA)*. U.S.A.
- Khan R.; A.R. Gurmani; M.S. Khan and A.H. Gurman (2009). Residual, direct and cumulative effect of zinc application on wheat and rice yield under rice-wheat system. *Soil Environ.*, 28(1): 24-28.
- McGrath SP, Zhao FJ, Withers PJA (1996). Development of sulphur deficiency in crops and its treatment. *Proceedings of the Fertilizer Society*, No. 379. The Fertilizer Society, Peterborough
- Metwally, T. F. (2011). Performance of Egyptian hybrid rice under different rates, time and methods of zinc application. *J. Agric. Res. Kafer El- Sheikh Univ.*, 37(4): 642-657.
- Ofori, J.; A. Kamidouzon; T. Masunaga and T. Wakatsuki (2005). Organic amendment and soil type effects on dry matter accumulation, grain yield, and nitrogen use efficiency of rice. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 28(8): 1311-1322.
- Olsen, S. R. and L. A. Dean. 1965. "Method of Soil Analysis". Part 2 C.A. Black, Editor-in-chief. P. 1035-1049. Am. Soci. Agron. USA.
- Piper, C. S. 1950. *Soil and Plant Analysis*. Inter. Sci. Publishers Inc. New York.
- Pooniya V. and Y.S. Shivay, 2013. Enrichment of Basmati rice grain and straw with zinc and nitrogen through ferti-fortification and summer green manuring under Indo-gangetic plains of India. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 36, 91-117.
- Rao, C.P. and D.N. Shukla, (1999). Yield and nutrient uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa*) as influenced by sources and levels of phosphorus and zinc under transplanted conditions. *Indian J. Agron.*, 44(1):94-98.
- Roger, P. A. (1996). "Biology And Management Of The Floodwater Ecosystem International Rice Research Institute, P.O. Box 933, Manila, Philippines. 250 p.
- Satyanarayana, V.; P. V. V. Prasad; V. R. K. Murthy and K. J. Boote (2002). Influence of integrated use of farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizers on yield and yield components of irrigated lowland rice. *J. of Plant Nutr.* 25(10):2081-2090.
- Shehata, S.M.; B. A. Zayed ; E. S. Naeem ; S. E. Seedex and A. A. Gohary (2009) Response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) to different levels of Zinc and Sulfur fertilizer under saline soil. *Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci.*, 24(12B): 551-565.
- Tariq, M.; S. Hameed; K.A. Malik and F.Y. Hafeez (2007). Plant root associated bacterial for zinc mobilization in rice. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 39 (1): 245-253.
- Zayed, B. A. (2012). Efficiency of different sulfur fertilizer sources in increasing hybrid rice productivity under saline soil conditions. *Egypt. J. of Agric. Res.*, 90 (4):275-288.
- Zayed, B.A.; E.S. Naeem; H.M. ElSharkawi and E.E. Gewaily (2011). Efficiency of sulfur fertilizer on yield and soil properties of salt stressed paddy fields. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 88 (1):1-11.
- Zia, M.S.; M. Aslam; M.B. Baig and A. Ali (2000). Fertility issues and fertilizer management in rice-wheat system: A review. *Quality Science Vision (COMSATS, Islamabad)* 5: 59-73.

تأثير التسميد العضوي وازفاه الزنك والكبريت علي محصول الارز وامتصاص بعض العناصر الغذائية.
السيد محمود فوزى الحديدي*، ابراهيم سعيد محمد مسعد**، كريم فكرى فوده* و نصره محمد يحي جمعه**
* كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة - مصر.
** معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر.

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان فى محطة البحوث الزراعية بالسرو بمحافظة دمياط خلال الموسمين الصيفيين لعامي ٢٠١٤، ٢٠١٥ لدارسة تأثير كل من التسميد العضوي فى صورة كمبوست بمعدل ٢٠ م٣/الفدان، أربع معدلات من التسميد الكبريتي (٠-١٠-٢٠-٤٠ كجم كبريت/فدان) أربع معدلات من التسميد الزنك (٤، ٠، ٨، و١٦ كجم زنك/فدان) على محصول الأرز من الحبوب والقش، امتصاص كل من النيتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم فى الحبوب والقش لمحصول الأرز صنف جيزة ١٧٨. أوضحت النتائج أن قيم كل من محصول الأرز من الحبوب والقش وامتصاص النيتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم فى الحبوب والقش تزيد مع استخدام معدلات التسميد الزنك حتى ١٦ كجم زنك/فدان، كذلك أوضحت النتائج أن ٤٠ كجم كبريت/فدان ثم ٢٠ كجم كبريت/فدان ثم ١٠ كجم كبريت/فدان على التوالي أعطت أعلى القيم من المدلولات السابقة. أيضا أوضحت النتائج أن استخدام التسميد العضوي فى صورة كومبوست أعطى أعلى قيم المدلولات السابقة. كما أوضحت النتائج أنه باستخدام التسميد العضوي مع ٤٠ كجم كبريت/فدان مع ١٦ كجم زنك/فدان أعطت أعلى القيم لمحصول الحبوب والقش وكذلك امتصاص عناصر النيتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم للحبوب والقش. وبالتالي فى الأراضى المتأثرة بالأملاح بشمال الدلتا يفضل اضافة كبريت معدنى بمعدل ٤٠ كجم كبريت/فدان وزنك بمعدل ١٦ كجم زنك/فدان فى صورة كبريتات زنك مع التسميد العضوي للحصول على محصول أرز على.